## CITY OF BALTIMORE

BRANDON SCOTT – MAYOR

ZEKE COHEN - COUNCIL PRESIDENT



OFFICE OF COUNCIL SERVICES
NANCY MEAD — DIRECTOR
100 N. HOLIDAY STREET
BALTIMORE MD, 21202

## **HEARING NOTES**

Bill: 25-0065				
Title: Zoning – Eliminating Parking Requirements				
Committee: Land Use & Transportation Chaired by: Ryan Dorsey				
Hearing Date: 9/11/2025 Time (Beginning): 10:05 AM Time (Ending): 12:40: PM Location: Du Burns Council Chamber / Webex Total Attendance: Approximately 75 Committee Members in Attendance: Dorsey, Middleton, Porter, Parker, Bullock, Blanchard, Gray				
Bill Synopsis in the file?	⊠ YES	□ NO	□ N/A	
Attendance sheet in the file?			□ N/A	
Agency reports read?			□ N/A	
Hearing televised or audio-digitally recorded?⊠ YES			□ N/A	
			□ N/A	
Evidence of notification to property owners			⊠ N/A	
Final vote taken at this hearing?		⊠ NO	□ N/A	
Motioned by: Final Vote:				
Major Speakers				
(This <u>is not</u> an attendance record.)				
Zac Blanchard – Councilmember – Bill	Will Holman – Open Works			
Sponsor	Emily Ranson – Clean Water Action Kevin Lindamood – Health Care for the			
Micah Avery – Balt regional Housing partnership	Homeless			
Daniel Herriges – Parking Reform Network	Luciano Diaz – Dept of Transportation			

## **Major Issues Discussed**

- · Chair Dorsey opened the hearing
- The floor was opened to comments from Council President Zeke Cohen
- The floor was opened to comments from the Chair Ryan Dorsey
- The floor was opened to comments from the bill sponsor Councilmember Blanchard

- Several representatives were invited to testify on the bill. This panel included:
  - Micah Avery Balt regional Housing partnership
  - Daniel Herriges Parking Reform Network
  - o Will Holman Open Works
  - o Emily Ranson Clean Water Action
  - Kevin Lindamood Health Care for the Homeless
- The floor was open to questions from the Committee. Topics included
  - o What is the cost of building parking for developments and businesses?
    - The rising cost of materials and other factors, such as types, makes it fluid but between 25-35k per space.
  - Why should this ordinance be city-wide? What will the effect be on renting, and how will this help in residential areas?
    - In order to carve this bill out to specific areas of the city there would be some issues with application, parking management, rpp areas it is not feasible to just carve out the areas that would benefit the most from this legislation but in more "suburban areas" this would have little impact because they have the space so the bill would not preclude parking just not mandate a minimum.
  - How will this meet the needs of elderly and disabled populations & those less connected by public transportation - will people need to park further and increase competition for on-street parking for those who can't
    - This helps with housing and construction affordability will help with housing for seniors (cited the Park Heights senior housing project with a 50% parking variance), residents will still be able to apply for disabled parking permits in front of their house. In zones with smaller lots (R-6 Lakeland and heritage), the sponsor would not expect much change.
  - How do we take into account neighbors with a shortage of parking congested parking?
    - In the implementation, it will be a trade-off in some areas to allow for the construction of housing over the storage of cars.
  - How do we account for underutilizing city parking garages? Can we marry these issues?
    - There may be some flexibility but that is something to explore
- Agency reports

o Planning o DOT o Finance

BDCDHCDParkingMOSBADAuthority

Committee questions

- The MTA Road Show is coming to and the City will be able to advocate for more transportation dollars. DOT says more density will help with Public transportation.
   What does this bill do and not do?
  - In residential areas housing projects 4-19 units are not a common stock but important to infill projects as noted by health care for the homeless.
- o What is the workflow to address the possibility of congested parking in some areas
  - DOT who is moving to LPR and added enforcement
  - Did we increase the DOT budget on parking enforcement?
- What do we see in other cities where the parking minimums have been removed in existing housing stock, particularly – do we use parking spaces that are no longer needed? i.e., could current parking lots or structures be used for something else?
  - David H not really robust data on that question anecdotally done in more suburban areas vs. parking garages. Changes in other places will be fairly slow and iterative.
- The floor was open to public testimony see the attendance list in the bill file.

Further Study		
Was further study requested?	□ Yes ⊠ No	
If yes, describe.		
Committee Vote:		
Chair: Vice Chair: Member: Member: Member:	Choose an item. Choose an item.	

Tony Leva, Date: 9/10/2025

Cc: Bill File OCS Chrono File