#### CITY OF BALTIMORE COUNCIL BILL 07-0716 (First Reader)

Introduced by: Councilmembers Reisinger, Curran, D'Adamo, Kraft, Harris, Young, Holton, Spector, Middleton, Welch, Crider, Clarke, President Rawlings-Blake, Councilmembers Conaway, Mitchell

Introduced and read first time: June 11, 2007

Assigned to: Labor Subcommittee

REFERRED TO THE FOLLOWING AGENCIES: City Solicitor, Department of Public Works, Department of Finance, Labor Commissioner, Baltimore Development Corporation, Department of Transportation, Bureau of Purchases, Baltimore Hotel Corporation, Baltimore Area Convention and Visitors Association, Minority and Women's Opportunity Office

#### A BILL ENTITLED

1	An Ordinance concerning
2	Labor Peace Agreements for Hotel Projects
3	For the purpose of requiring labor peace agreements for hotel development projects in which the
4	City has a proprietary interest; defining certain terms; specifying the tenor and contents of
5	these labor peace agreements; establishing certain procedures and criteria for determining
6 7	proprietary interest; providing for certain exceptions; and generally relating to labor peace agreements in hotel development projects.
8	By adding
9	Article 11 - Labor and Employment
10	Section(s) 13-1 through 13-16, to be under the new subtitle heading,
11	"Subtitle 13. Labor Peace Agreements for Hotel Projects"
12	Baltimore City Code
13	(Edition 2000)
14	SECTION 1. BE IT ORDAINED BY THE MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL OF BALTIMORE, That the
15	Laws of Baltimore City read as follows:
16	Baltimore City Code
17	Article 11. Labor and Employment
18	SUBTITLE 13. LABOR PEACE AGREEMENTS FOR HOTEL PROJECTS
19	§ 13-1. DEFINITIONS.
20	(A) IN GENERAL.
21	In this subtitle, the following terms have the meanings indicated.

**EXPLANATION:** CAPITALS indicate matter added to existing law. [Brackets] indicate matter deleted from existing law.

Γ, LOAN, RTY AND JECT; AND CITY'S
City's
City's
OF PAY,
F ANY
RY, OR
CARRYING
DMENTED
PMENTS,
SIMILAR FICIPATE
C

1	(B) Proprietary interest requires prudent management.
2	In these situations, the City:
3 4	(I) HAS AN ONGOING PROPRIETARY INTEREST IN THE DEVELOPMENTS AND A DIRECT INTEREST IN THEIR FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE; AND
5	(II) MUST MAKE PRUDENT MANAGEMENT DECISIONS, SIMILAR TO ANY PRIVATE
6 7	BUSINESS ENTITY, TO ENSURE EFFICIENT MANAGEMENT OF ITS BUSINESS CONCERNS AND TO MAXIMIZE BENEFITS AND MINIMIZE RISKS.
8	(C) RISK OF LABOR-MANAGEMENT CONFLICT.
9	(1) One risk is the possibility of labor-management conflict.
10	(2) A MAJOR POTENTIAL OUTCOME OF LABOR-MANAGEMENT CONFLICT IS ECONOMIC
11	ACTION BY LABOR ORGANIZATIONS AGAINST EMPLOYERS. EXPERIENCE OF MUNICIPAL
12	AND OTHER INVESTORS DEMONSTRATES, FOR EXAMPLE, THAT ORGANIZING DRIVES
13	UNDER FORMAL AND ADVERSARIAL UNION CERTIFICATION PROCESSES OFTEN
14	DETERIORATE INTO PROTRACTED AND ACRIMONIOUS LABOR-MANAGEMENT CONFLICT.
15	(3) Labor-management conflict can:
16	(I) RESULT IN CONSTRUCTION DELAYS, WORK STOPPAGES, PICKETING, STRIKES,
17	CONSUMER BOYCOTTS, AND OTHER FORMS OF ADVERSE ECONOMIC PRESSURE;
18	AND
19	(II) ADVERSELY AFFECT THE CITY'S FINANCIAL OR OTHER PROPRIETARY BUSINESS
20	INTEREST BY CAUSING DELAY IN THE COMPLETION OF A PROJECT, BY REDUCING
21	THE REVENUES OR INCREASING THE COSTS OF THE PROJECT, AND BY
22	GENERATING NEGATIVE PUBLICITY.
23	(d) Risks heightened in hotel industry.
24	(1) These risks are heightened in the hotel industry, because this industry is
25	SO CLOSELY RELATED TO TOURISM, WHICH IS A LINCHPIN OF THE CITY'S ECONOMY.
26	(2) LABOR-MANAGEMENT CONFLICT IN HOTEL DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS IN WHICH THE
27	CITY IS AN ECONOMIC PARTICIPANT CAN JEOPARDIZE THE OPERATION OF RELATED
28	TOURIST AND COMMERCIAL FACILITIES, AS WELL AS THE CITY'S NATIONAL
29	REPUTATION AS A TOURIST AND CONVENTION DESTINATION.
30	(E) REDUCING RISK THROUGH LABOR PEACE AGREEMENT.
31	One way of reducing the risk to the City's proprietary interests is to require,
32	AS A CONDITION OF THE CITY'S INVESTMENT OR OTHER ECONOMIC PARTICIPATION IN A
33	HOTEL DEVELOPMENT PROJECT, THAT EMPLOYERS PARTICIPATING IN THE PROJECT SEEK
34	AGREEMENTS WITH LABOR ORGANIZATIONS IN WHICH THE LABOR ORGANIZATIONS AGREE
35	TO FORBEAR FROM ADVERSE ECONOMIC ACTION AGAINST THE EMPLOYERS' OPERATIONS.

I	§ 13-3. SCOPE OF SUBTITLE.	
2	This subtitle does not apply to:	
3 4	(1) ANY EMPLOYER THAT EMPLOYS FEWER THAN THE EQUIVALENT OF 15 FULL-TIME OF PART-TIME EMPLOYEES AT A HOTEL DEVELOPMENT PROJECT;	R
5 6 7 8 9	(2) ANY EMPLOYER THAT HAS ENTERED INTO ONE OR MORE AGREEMENTS WITH ONE OF MORE LABOR ORGANIZATIONS REGARDING THOSE EMPLOYEES AT THE HOTEL DEVELOPMENT PROJECT WHO ARE LIKELY TO BE THE SUBJECT OF UNION ORGANIZIN IF THE AGREEMENT PROVIDES AT LEAST EQUAL PROTECTION FROM THE RISKS OF LABOR-MANAGEMENT CONFLICT AS PROVIDED BY THE MINIMUM TERMS OF A LABOR PEACE AGREEMENT;	IG,
11 12 13 14 15	(3) A HOTEL DEVELOPMENT PROJECT FOR WHICH THE MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL DETERMINES THAT THE RISK TO THE CITY'S FINANCIAL OR OTHER NONREGULATOR INTEREST RESULTING FROM LABOR-MANAGEMENT CONFLICT IS SO MINIMAL OR SPECULATIVE AS NOT TO WARRANT CONCERN FOR THE CITY'S INVESTMENT OR OTH NONREGULATORY INTEREST; OR	
16 17	(4) a hotel development project that receives less than $\$100,\!000$ of assistan from the City.	NCE
18	§ 13-4. Construction.	
19	(a) Union recognition.	
20 21	Nothing in this subtitle requires an employer to recognize a particular laborganization.	3OR
22	(B) Collective Bargaining agreement.	
23 24 25	Nothing in this subtitle requires an employer to enter into a collective bargaining agreement establishing the substantive terms and conditions o employment.	F
26	(C) LABOR POLICY.	
27 28 29	This subtitle is not intended, and may not be interpreted, to express any generally applicable policy regarding labor-management relations or to regulate those relations in any way.	
30	(d) Employee preference.	
31 32	This subtitle is not intended to favor any particular outcome in determining the employee preference regarding union representation.	٧G
33	§ 13-5 {Reserved}	

1	§ 13-6. DE	TERMINING NEED FOR AGREEMENT.
2	(A) $ING$	ENERAL.
3		EACH HOTEL DEVELOPMENT PROJECT IN WHICH THE CITY PARTICIPATES OR HAS A
4 5		NCIAL INTEREST, THE MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL SHALL DETERMINE WHETHER THE Y HAS A PROPRIETARY INTEREST IN THE PROJECT.
6	(B) <i>Gov</i>	VERNING CRITERIA.
7 8		City is deemed to have a proprietary interest in a hotel development ject if the $M$ ayor determines that the $C$ ity:
9	(	(1) THROUGH A LEASE OF REAL PROPERTY THAT IS OWNED BY THE ${f C}$ ITY AND USED FOR
10		THE PROJECT, RECEIVES ONGOING REVENUE, EXCLUDING GOVERNMENT FEES, TAX
11		REVENUE, ASSESSMENT REVENUE, OR SIMILAR FEES AND REVENUES, EXCEPT FOR
12 13		TAX REVENUE UNDER THE CIRCUMSTANCES SPECIFIED IN ITEMS $(2)$ AND $(3)$ OF THIS SUBSECTION;
14	(	(2) RECEIVES ONGOING REVENUE FROM THE PROJECT TO REPAY LOANS PROVIDED BY
15		THE CITY TO ASSIST THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE PROJECT, INCLUDING
16		INCREMENTAL TAX REVENUES GENERATED BY THE PROJECT;
17	(	(3) RECEIVES ONGOING REVENUE FROM THE PROJECT TO PAY DEBT SERVICE ON BONDS
18 19		PROVIDED BY THE CITY TO ASSIST THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE PROJECT, INCLUDING INCREMENTAL TAX REVENUES GENERATED BY THE PROJECT;
20	(	(4) HAS SIGNIFICANT ASSETS AT RISK BECAUSE IT HAS AGREED TO UNDERWRITE OR
21	·	GUARANTEE THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE PROJECT OR LOANS RELATED TO THE
22		PROJECT; OR
23	(	(5) HAS A SIGNIFICANT ONGOING ECONOMIC AND NONREGULATORY INTEREST THAT IS
24		AT RISK IN THE PROJECT'S FINANCIAL SUCCESS AND IS LIKELY TO BE ADVERSELY
25		AFFECTED BY LABOR-MANAGEMENT CONFLICT, EXCEPT THAT NO INTEREST IS
26		CONSIDERED "ECONOMIC AND NONREGULATORY" IF IT ARISES FROM THE EXERCISE
27 28		OF REGULATORY OR POLICE POWERS, SUCH AS TAXATION (EXCEPT AS SET FORTH IN ITEMS (2) AND (3) OF THIS SUBSECTION), ZONING, OR THE ISSUANCE OF PERMITS OR
28 29		LICENSES.
30	§ 13-7. AG	REEMENT REQUIRED.
31	IF THE N	MAYOR DETERMINES THAT THE CITY HAS A PROPRIETARY INTEREST AT RISK IN A
32	HOTEL D	DEVELOPMENT PROJECT, ALL CITY CONTRACTS RELATED TO THAT PROJECT MUST
33	INCLUDI	E:
34	(1)	A PROVISION THAT, AS AN ESSENTIAL CONSIDERATION FOR THE $f C$ ITY'S ENTERING INTO
35		THE CONTRACT, REQUIRES ALL EMPLOYERS ON THE PROJECT TO ENTER INTO LABOR
36		PEACE AGREEMENTS WITH THE LABOR ORGANIZATIONS THAT REPRESENT, OR
37	1	REASONABLY MIGHT REPRESENT, WORKERS ON THE PROJECT; AND

1 2	(2) A PROVISION THAT, IN THE EVENT OF A FAILURE OF THIS CONSIDERATION, THE CITY MAY AT ITS OPTION RESCIND THE CONTRACT OR RECOVER THE PRESENT VALUE OF ITS	
3	INVESTMENT OR OTHER ECONOMIC PARTICIPATION IN THE PROJECT.	
4	§ 13-8. TENOR AND CONTENTS OF AGREEMENT.	
5	(A) To be enforceable under LMRA.	
6	THE LABOR PEACE AGREEMENT SHALL BE A WRITTEN AGREEMENT ENFORCEABLE	
7 8	Between the employer and the labor organization under $\S$ 301(a) of the Labor Management Relations Act of 1947, 29 U.S.C. $\S$ 185(a).	
9	(b) Minimum contents.	
10	THE LABOR PEACE AGREEMENT SHALL CONTAIN, AT A MINIMUM, A PROVISION	
11	PROHIBITING THE LABOR ORGANIZATION AND ITS MEMBERS FROM ENGAGING IN ANY	
12	PICKETING, WORK STOPPAGE, BOYCOTT, OR OTHER ECONOMIC INTERFERENCE WITH ANY	
13	OF THE EMPLOYER'S OPERATIONS IN WHICH THE CITY HAS A PROPRIETARY INTEREST, FOR	
14	THE DURATION OF THAT INTEREST.	
15	§ 13-9. VIOLATION OF AGREEMENT BY UNION.	
16	AN EMPLOYER THAT PERFORMS ITS OBLIGATIONS UNDER A LABOR PEACE AGREEMENT IS	
17	RELIEVED OF FURTHER OBLIGATION UNDER THE AGREEMENT IF THE LABOR ORGANIZATION	
18	ENGAGES IN ADVERSE ECONOMIC ACTION SUCH AS STRIKING, PICKETING, OR BOYCOTTING THE	
19	EMPLOYER.	
20	§§ 13-10 to 13-15 {Reserved}	
21	§ 13-16. Notice of subtitle.	
22	(a) Notice required.	
23	A request for proposals, invitation to bid, or similar document issued by the	
24	CITY REGARDING A HOTEL DEVELOPMENT PROJECT MUST INCLUDE A REFERENCE TO AND	
25	SUMMARY OF THIS SUBTITLE.	
26	(B) NO EXEMPTION FOR OMISSION.	
27	Failure to include a reference or summary in a document does not exempt an	
28	EMPLOYER OTHERWISE SUBJECT TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF THIS SUBTITLE.	
29	SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED, That all provisions of this Ordinance are	
30	severable. If a court determines that a word, phrase, clause, sentence, paragraph, subsection,	
31	section, or other provision is invalid or that the application of any part of the provision to any	
32	person or circumstances is invalid, the remaining provisions and the application of those	
33	provisions to other persons or circumstances are not affected by that decision.	
34	SECTION 3. AND BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED, That the catchlines contained in this Ordinance	
35	are not law and may not be considered to have been enacted as a part of this or any prior	
36	Ordinance.	

1 2	<b>SECTION 4. AND BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED,</b> That this Ordinance takes effect on the 30 <sup>th</sup> day after the date it is enacted.