

Leva, Anthony F (City Council)

From: Michael Scepaniak <michael@baltpop.org>
Sent: Monday, September 8, 2025 12:18 AM
To: rdorsey
Cc: Leva, Anthony F (City Council); Nicholes, Ash (City Council); Weiss, Sumner (City Council)
Subject: Re: Land Use & Transportation Committee - written testimony
Attachments: Baltimore City Bill 25-0065 Testimony (Zoning – Eliminating Off-street Parking Requirements) 20250907.pdf; Baltimore City Bill 25-0064 Testimony (Zoning – Bulk And Yard Requirements – Amendments) 20250907.pdf; Baltimore City Bill 25-0062 Testimony (Building Code – Single Exit From Residential Occupancy) 20250907.pdf

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of Baltimore City IT Network Systems.
Reminder: DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know that the content is safe. Report any suspicious activities using the Report Phishing Email Button, or by emailing to Phishing@baltimorecity.gov

Attached, please find testimony on behalf of BaltPOP to be considered in the upcoming Land Use & Transportation Committee hearings regarding the following bills:

- Bill 25-0062 (Building Code – Single Exit From Residential Occupancy)
- Bill 25-0064 (Zoning – Bulk And Yard Requirements – Amendments)
- Bill 25-0065 (Zoning – Eliminating Off-street Parking Requirements)

Please confirm receipt. Thank you for your time and efforts. Good luck!

Mike....

[BaltPOP - Baltimoreans for People-Oriented Places](#)

On Thu, Aug 28, 2025, at 11:07 AM, Weiss, Sumner (City Council) wrote:

Best to send it in a couple of days before. But the earlier, the better- it will give committee members more time to review.

Sumner Weiss (he/him)



Baltimore City Council

Director of Legislative Affairs
Councilman Ryan Dorsey
Baltimore City Council, District 3
sumner.weiss@baltimorecity.gov
(410) 396-4812

From: Michael Scepaniak <michael@baltpop.org>
Sent: Tuesday, August 26, 2025 4:16 PM
To: rdorsey <Ryan.Dorsey@baltimorecity.gov>
Cc: Leva, Anthony F (City Council) <anthony.leva@baltimorecity.gov>; Weiss, Sumner (City Council) <Sumner.Weiss@baltimorecity.gov>; Nicholes, Ash (City Council) <Ash.Nicholes@baltimorecity.gov>
Subject: Re: Land Use & Transportation Committee - written testimony

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of Baltimore City IT Network Systems.
Reminder: DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know that the content is safe. Report any suspicious activities using the Report Phishing Email Button, or by emailing to Phishing@baltimorecity.gov

Thank you. Is there a deadline other than "before the hearing starts"? Or do you need it by EOD one or two days before?

Mike.....

[BaltPOP - Baltimoreans for People-Oriented Places](#)

On Tue, Aug 26, 2025, at 3:34 PM, rdorsey wrote:

Send them as a PDF attachment to me at this address and CC the three others I've CC'd here.

Ryan Dorsey
Baltimore City Council
District 3
o [410-396-4812](tel:410-396-4812)
c [410-925-4156](tel:410-925-4156)

"To be on the side of people who are struggling for something doesn't necessarily mean you are being political." -Bob Dylan

From: Michael Scepaniak <michael@baltpop.org>
Sent: Tuesday, August 26, 2025 1:47:50 PM
To: rdorsey <Ryan.Dorsey@baltimorecity.gov>
Subject: Land Use & Transportation Committee - written testimony

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of Baltimore City IT Network Systems.
Reminder: DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know that the content is safe. Report any suspicious

activities using the Report Phishing Email Button, or by emailing to Phishing@baltimorecity.gov

Councilmember Dorsey,

We'd like to submit written testimony in support of the bills being considered in the Land Use & Transportation Committee on September 11th and 18th. What are the procedures for doing that? To whom should I send the testimony and by when? I don't see instructions posted for these meetings or prior meetings. Thank you.

Mike.....

[BaltPOP - Baltimoreans for People-Oriented Places](#)

Leva, Anthony F (City Council)

From: Kathleen Kotarba <kathleenkotarba@icloud.com>
Sent: Sunday, September 7, 2025 2:22 PM
To: Testimony
Subject: Oppose City Council Bill #25-0065 -Zoning - Eliminating Off-Street Parking Requirements

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of Baltimore City IT Network Systems.

Reminder: DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know that the content is safe. Report any suspicious activities using the Report Phishing Email Button, or by emailing to Phishing@baltimorecity.gov

PLEASE GIVE THIS YOUR IMMEDIATE ATTENTION AND ADD TO THE LEGISLATIVE RECORD (LEGISTAR) IMMEDIATELY. THANK YOU.

Dear Honorable President and Members of the City Council

Re: **Oppose** City Council Bill #25-0065 – Zoning – Eliminating Off-Street Parking Requirements

As Baltimore City residents and homeowners for over four decades, we **strongly oppose** City Council Bill #25-0065. Please share this letter with the members of the City Council and include it in the legislative record for this bill.

Baltimore's citizens are already well served by the recently updated Zoning Code. There is no defensible rationale to upend the legislated Off-Street Parking Requirements.

Homeowners have invested in Baltimore City and depend upon residential zoning that supports their neighborhoods. Citizens and communities already count on zoning that:

- 1- encourages owner occupancy and long term commitment,
- 2- discourages institutional and absentee investors,
- 3- provides a reliable and stable tax base,
- 4- maintains and encourages greenspaces, including homeowner and public yards and gardens
- 5- preserves thoughtful community design that builds upon existing community assets.

There are actually three current bills (#25-0064, #25-0065). If all three bills become law there will be a layering effect. The resulting impacts are unknown and not addressed in the legislation. We have no idea what this will look like if the legislation is enacted. We encourage the City Council to review the three bills as a whole and not make piecemeal decisions about such major changes.

Here are reasons the reasons we **oppose Bill #25-0065**:

1- Treats all neighborhoods as though they have the same characteristics and needs. They do not. It is self evident that Baltimore's neighborhoods offer a variety of uses and densities of structures. Many neighborhoods include both residential and commercial properties of a variety of sizes and scales. Many include churches,

schools, health care facilities, restaurants, grocery stores and specialty businesses. Each kind of use will have distinct parking needs associated with their specific location. Eliminating off-street parking requirements in all zoning categories will discourage homeowners, renters, church-goers, business customers, health care patients, tourists and people of all categories who need to find a parking place.

2- Creates incentives to cut corners and not include parking in new construction and business plans. Many Baltimore neighborhoods already lack sufficient parking spaces to accommodate existing stores, restaurants, churches, health care facilities and group homes. Already some households, both homeowner and rental, have multiple vehicles and resort to parking on front yards. Already there is inadequate Zoning enforcement of this type of illegal parking. Matters will only become worse if there are no minimum off-street parking requirements. It would be a serious mistake to discourage Baltimore City's homeowners by thwarting their current expectations to park near their house. Homeowners are key to the City's tax base and should be respected for their support of Baltimore's stability.

3- Does not address the additional zoning, housing, building and transportation inspection staffing requirements. There likely will be more double parking and illegal parking in general. There should be a plan to provide adequate parking and a plan for enforcement for those who violate the rules.

4- There is no fiscal analysis or data to support this legislation. It does not address fiscal implications for the future, including potential increases to the City budget. This short-sighted planning also ignores the needs of

visitors to our city. We seek the financial benefits of additional tourism, but frustrate our visitors when they come to see us by car. We want more residents, but not all are carless, Many of our residents and visitors have disabilities that require special transportation consideration. What is the physical and fiscal impact upon these individuals? Before passing this “one size fits all” legislation we need to consider some actual data that addresses the potential outcomes. It is not too much to expect before we take the risk.

5- While the legislation suggests an optimistic view of a Baltimore City with fewer cars, it fails to address our current realities. It is an admirable goal to increase the use of bicycles as a mode of transportation, but not all Baltimoreans are able to regularly use bikes. It is also an admirable goal to wean ourselves away from reliance on cars, but we do not have a fully viable public transportation system. While admirable as an idea, this legislation cannot assure public transportation funding. A highly functioning transportation system relies upon State and Federal dollars, and they are becoming increasingly scarce. Major public transportation projects often require decades to complete, assuming that there is sufficient political alignment to support construction. It would be irresponsible to eliminate off-street parking minimum requirements prior to achieving a fully viable public transportation system.

In conclusion, we strongly **OPPOSE Bill #25-0065**. This bill represents top down decision making that imposes upon the people of Baltimore City. It is one bill in a package of bills that, when combined, constitute an experiment that could be detrimental to Baltimore’s neighborhoods. Bill #25-0065 contradicts the effort that went into the recently updated Zoning Code. Baltimoreans did not vote for this and expect better of their City government.

Respectfully,

Kathleen and Michael Kotarba

3021 Iona Terrace

Baltimore MD 21214

Leva, Anthony F (City Council)

From: Kathleen Kotarba <kathleenkotarba@icloud.com>
Sent: Sunday, September 7, 2025 2:15 PM
To: Testimony
Subject: OPPOSE City Council Bill 25-0064 Zoning - Bulk and Yard Requirements - Amendments

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of Baltimore City IT Network Systems.
Reminder: DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know that the content is safe. Report any suspicious activities using the Report Phishing Email Button, or by emailing to Phishing@baltimorecity.gov

PLEASE GIVE THIS YOUR IMMEDIATE ATTENTION AND ADD TO THE LEGISLATIVE FILE (LEGISTAR) IMMEDIATELY. THANK YOU.

Dear Honorable President and Members of
the Baltimore City Council

Re: **Oppose** City Council Bills #25-0064

As Baltimore City residents and homeowners for over four decades, we **strongly oppose** City Council Bill #25-0064. Please share this letter with all members of the City Council and include it in the legislative record for this bill.

Baltimore's citizens are already well served by the recently updated Zoning Code. There is no defensible rationale to upend the legislated Bulk and Yard Requirements. Homeowners have invested in Baltimore City and depend upon residential zoning that supports their

neighborhoods. Citizens and communities already count on zoning that:

- 1- encourages owner occupancy and long term commitment,
- 2- discourages institutional and absentee investors,
- 3- provides a reliable and stable tax base,
- 4-maintains and encourages greenspaces, including homeowner and public yards and gardens
- 5-preserves thoughtful community design that builds upon existing community assets.

There are actually three current bills (#25-0064, #25-0065 and #25-0066) that raise interconnected concerns. If all three bills become law there will be a layering effect. The resulting impacts are unknown and not addressed in the legislation. We have no idea what this will look like if the legislation is enacted. We encourage the City Council to review the three bills as a whole and not make piecemeal decisions about such major changes.

Here are the reasons we **oppose Bill #25-0064**:

- 1- Treats all neighborhoods as though they have the same characteristics and needs.**

They do not. The City Council knows that Baltimore's neighborhoods offer a variety of designs with greenspace that is generally proportionate to the building types. This is an asset, offering a range of choices for everyone. Imposing a uniform change that reduces open and green space is misguided at best. This can lead to more hardscaping, increased run off and loss of green space overall. This is counter to decades of Baltimore City's sustainability planning and this is counter to the City's Comprehensive Plan.

2- Creates incentives for ill-conceived additions and "tear downs" that destroy the character and desirability of neighborhoods. Will there be any enforceable design standards that apply to new construction and additions? There is no evidence of that in the proposed legislation. With the exception of properties in CHAP Baltimore City Historic Districts, property owners need not follow any particular design standards. This legislation will allow property owners to cover more lot area and further reduce green space. The resulting additions and new construction could be in visual conflict with existing communities. No one will review the visual impact. There will be no recourse for bad design.

3- Does not address the additional housing and building permit and inspection staffing requirements. There will be more construction activity that requires

additional monitoring and additional City attorneys when legal action is needed.

4- There is no fiscal analysis or data to support this legislation. It does not address fiscal implications for the future, including potential increases to the City budget.

5- Does not address overall environmental impacts resulting from increased density.

In conclusion, we strongly **OPPOSE Bill #25-0064**. This bill represents top down decision making that imposes upon the people of Baltimore City. It is one bill in a package of bills that, when combined, constitute an experiment that could be detrimental to Baltimore's neighborhoods. Bill #25-0064 contradicts the thoughtful years long effort that went into the recently updated Zoning Code of several years ago. Baltimoreans did not vote for this and expect better of their City government.

Respectfully,

Kathleen and Michael Kotarba

3021 Iona Terrace

Baltimore MD 21214

Leva, Anthony F (City Council)

From: ahinz61@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of Andrew Hinz <ahinz61@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Saturday, September 6, 2025 6:07 PM
To: Testimony
Subject: Support for CB #25-0062, CB #25-0064, CB #25-0065

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of Baltimore City IT Network Systems.

Reminder: DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know that the content is safe. Report any suspicious activities using the Report Phishing Email Button, or by emailing to Phishing@baltimorecity.gov

Dear City Council Testimony,

I am writing in support of Baltimore City Council bills #25-0062, #25-0064, and #25-0065.

In order for Baltimore to grow, it must be a city that accommodates young families. #25-0062 will allow more diverse building designs in Baltimore City that more easily accommodate multiple bedrooms for families and allow for more windows in and better cross-ventilation of apartments.

Much of our built environment in Baltimore pre-dates present bulk and yard requirements. Adjusting those requirements, as #25-0064 seeks to do, will also further a diversity of building types in the city, allowing us to build both larger and smaller kinds of homes and enabling more people of different incomes and needs to live in more neighborhoods.

One of the largest costs associated with construction of new housing is parking. The city's remaining parking minimums are arbitrary and force up the cost of housing for everyone living in a building, even if they choose not to own a car. Devoting too much space to parking also degrades the walkability of neighborhoods, removing potential space for housing and small businesses in favor of car storage. #25-0065 would remove arbitrary parking minimums, and allow a developer or homeowner to determine their parking needs. Cities across the country have successfully repealed arbitrary parking minimums, and seen improvements to the walkability, affordability, and small business climate as a result.

All three of these bills are consistent with goals outlined in the city's recently adopted comprehensive plan, and will work to make Baltimore a more affordable, walkable, and family-friendly place to call home.

I encourage the Land Use and Transportation Committee to vote favorably, and for the full council to pass Baltimore City Council bills #25-0062, #25-0064, and #25-0065.

Sincerely,
Mr. Andrew Hinz
1427 Park Ave Baltimore, MD 21217-4231
ahinz61@outlook.com

Leva, Anthony F (City Council)

From: weberse2@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of Sarah Weber <weberse2@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Saturday, September 6, 2025 4:22 PM
To: Testimony
Subject: Support for CB #25-0062, CB #25-0064, CB #25-0065

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of Baltimore City IT Network Systems.

Reminder: DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know that the content is safe. Report any suspicious activities using the Report Phishing Email Button, or by emailing to Phishing@baltimorecity.gov

Dear City Council Testimony,

I am writing in support of Baltimore City Council bills #25-0062, #25-0064, and #25-0065.

In order for Baltimore to grow, it must be a city that accommodates young families. #25-0062 will allow more diverse building designs in Baltimore City that more easily accommodate multiple bedrooms for families and allow for more windows in and better cross-ventilation of apartments.

Much of our built environment in Baltimore pre-dates present bulk and yard requirements. Adjusting those requirements, as #25-0064 seeks to do, will also further a diversity of building types in the city, allowing us to build both larger and smaller kinds of homes and enabling more people of different incomes and needs to live in more neighborhoods.

One of the largest costs associated with construction of new housing is parking. The city's remaining parking minimums are arbitrary and force up the cost of housing for everyone living in a building, even if they choose not to own a car. Devoting too much space to parking also degrades the walkability of neighborhoods, removing potential space for housing and small businesses in favor of car storage. #25-0065 would remove arbitrary parking minimums, and allow a developer or homeowner to determine their parking needs. Cities across the country have successfully repealed arbitrary parking minimums, and seen improvements to the walkability, affordability, and small business climate as a result.

Less parking lots, more green space, more SAFETY.

All three of these bills are consistent with goals outlined in the city's recently adopted comprehensive plan, and will work to make Baltimore a more affordable, walkable, and family-friendly place to call home.

I encourage the Land Use and Transportation Committee to vote favorably, and for the full council to pass Baltimore City Council bills #25-0062, #25-0064, and #25-0065.

Sincerely,
Ms. Sarah Weber
141 N Kenwood Ave Baltimore, MD 21224-1244 weberse2@gmail.com

Leva, Anthony F (City Council)

From: Gabriel Kroiz <kroizarch@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, September 6, 2025 2:41 PM
To: Testimony
Subject: Support for CB #25-0062, CB #25-0064, CB #25-0065 Inbox

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of Baltimore City IT Network Systems.
Reminder: DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know that the content is safe. Report any suspicious activities using the Report Phishing Email Button, or by emailing to Phishing@baltimorecity.gov

Dear Council,
Please consider my testimony regarding CB #25-0062, CB #25-0064, CB #25-0065:

For Baltimore to grow, it must be a city that accommodates young families. #25-0062 will enable more diverse building designs in Baltimore City that can more easily accommodate multiple bedrooms for families and feature more windows, leading to improved cross-ventilation of apartments.

Much of our built environment in Baltimore pre-dates the present bulk and yard requirements. Adjusting those requirements, as #25-0064 seeks to do, will also further a diversity of building types in the city, allowing us to build both larger and smaller kinds of homes and enabling more people of different incomes and needs to live in more neighborhoods.

One of the largest costs associated with the construction of new housing is parking. The city's remaining parking minimums are arbitrary and force up the cost of housing for everyone living in a building, even if they choose not to own a car. Devoting too much space to parking also degrades the walkability of neighborhoods, removing potential space for housing and small businesses in favor of car storage. #25-0065 would remove arbitrary parking minimums and allow a developer or homeowner to determine their parking needs. Cities across the country have successfully repealed arbitrary parking minimums and seen improvements to the walkability, affordability, and small business climate as a result.

All three of these bills are consistent with goals outlined in the city's recently adopted comprehensive plan, and will work to make Baltimore a more affordable, walkable, and family-friendly place to call home.

I encourage the Land Use and Transportation Committee to vote favorably, and for the full council to pass Baltimore City Council bills #25-0062, #25-0064, and #25-0065.

Gabriel Kroiz

2213 Winterling Court | Baltimore, MD 21231
410.499.7571 | kroizarch@gmail.com

Leva, Anthony F (City Council)

From: renejcardona27@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of Rene Cardona <renejcardona27@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Saturday, September 6, 2025 2:26 PM
To: Testimony
Subject: Oppose the Jones Falls Transfer Station proposal, especially without safety improvements

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of Baltimore City IT Network Systems.

Reminder: DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know that the content is safe. Report any suspicious activities using the Report Phishing Email Button, or by emailing to Phishing@baltimorecity.gov

Dear City Council Testimony,

I am writing in opposition to the city's proposal to relocate the Sisson Street Transfer Facility to the Potts & Callahan site along Falls Road in the Jones Falls Valley.

For years, the Mayor's Office and the Baltimore City Department of Transportation have made promises for improvements to this corridor that would make it safe for people of all ages and abilities to walk and bike from Maryland Avenue all the way to Union Avenue.

These promises included:

- 1) Widening the Jones Falls Trail to high quality trail standards between Maryland Avenue and the Round Falls switchbacks, as the trail along this section is below minimum trail width standards. Part of this proposal is currently in planning as part of the Jones Falls Gateway project.
- 2) Maintaining the closure to through traffic north of the Potts and Callahan site. This commitment was made by Mayor Scott in his first term to the Greater Remington Improvement Association to expand safe outdoor recreational space during the pandemic. Unfortunately, the commitment was broken and the road reopened. Baltimore City Department of Transportation was subsequently instructed to produce several designs including restoration of that traffic diverter, which were presented to the community with an anticipated installation timeline of 12 months. No improvements were installed.
- 3) Conversion of a small section of Falls Road and Clipper Mill Road to one-way, which would allow installation of a walking and biking sidepath on the most dangerous and narrow section of the corridor.

All three of these unrealized improvements--improvements already promised by the administration--are necessary to make the corridor safe and accessible to people of all ages and abilities walking and biking TODAY. Potential introduction of increased truck and personal vehicle traffic to the corridor with a relocation of the Sisson Street Transfer Facility makes all of these improvements even more urgent and necessary.

It's important to note that these improvements would not restrict vehicle access to any businesses or residences along the corridor. All would still be accessible by car, and via the routes currently most commonly used to access those residences and businesses. The only thing this proposal would do is prevent the most dangerous behavior on the corridor: through speeding by drivers seeking to avoid the JFX.

There is no safe path to relocation of the transfer facility to the Jones Falls Valley without all three of these improvements being installed in advance of or concurrently with relocation. Anyone supporting relocation without committing to these improvements is endorsing a plan that will injure and kill pedestrians and bicyclists.

I do not believe the proposed relocation site is a good one, but I urge you to commit to these improvements regardless of the outcome of the relocation proposal.

Sincerely,
Sir Rene Cardona
1832 Gough St Baltimore, MD 21231-2610
renejcardona27@gmail.com
(228) 239-7197

Leva, Anthony F (City Council)

From: natsbar@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of Nathan Sbar
<natsbar@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Friday, September 5, 2025 9:22 PM
To: Testimony
Subject: Support for CB #25-0062, CB #25-0064, CB #25-0065

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of Baltimore City IT Network Systems.

Reminder: DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know that the content is safe. Report any suspicious activities using the Report Phishing Email Button, or by emailing to Phishing@baltimorecity.gov

Dear City Council Testimony,

I am writing in support of Baltimore City Council bills #25-0062, #25-0064, and #25-0065.

I know that the following message is a form letter, but I do sincerely approve the message. I believe that a city should be a city. Baltimore will never thrive if we can only imagine suburbs then try to force our city into that straightjacket of a development pattern. Allowing for developers in a city to build outside of the suburban pattern is necessary, even if not sufficient, to leading Baltimore to prosperity. The rest is the form letter part:

In order for Baltimore to grow, it must be a city that accommodates young families. #25-0062 will allow more diverse building designs in Baltimore City that more easily accommodate multiple bedrooms for families and allow for more windows in and better cross-ventilation of apartments.

Much of our built environment in Baltimore pre-dates present bulk and yard requirements. Adjusting those requirements, as #25-0064 seeks to do, will also further a diversity of building types in the city, allowing us to build both larger and smaller kinds of homes and enabling more people of different incomes and needs to live in more neighborhoods.

One of the largest costs associated with construction of new housing is parking. The city's remaining parking minimums are arbitrary and force up the cost of housing for everyone living in a building, even if they choose not to own a car. Devoting too much space to parking also degrades the walkability of neighborhoods, removing potential space for housing and small businesses in favor of car storage. #25-0065 would remove arbitrary parking minimums, and allow a developer or homeowner to determine their parking needs. Cities across the country have successfully repealed arbitrary parking minimums, and seen improvements to the walkability, affordability, and small business climate as a result.

All three of these bills are consistent with goals outlined in the city's recently adopted comprehensive plan, and will work to make Baltimore a more affordable, walkable, and family-friendly place to call home.

I encourage the Land Use and Transportation Committee to vote favorably, and for the full council to pass Baltimore City Council bills #25-0062, #25-0064, and #25-0065.

Sincerely,
Mr. Nathan Sbar
1736 Patapsco St Baltimore, MD 21230-4824 natsbar@pm.me

Leva, Anthony F (City Council)

From: JBeall0114@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of Jaden Beall <JBeall0114@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Friday, September 5, 2025 1:48 PM
To: Testimony
Subject: Support for CB #25-0062, CB #25-0064, CB #25-0065

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of Baltimore City IT Network Systems.

Reminder: DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know that the content is safe. Report any suspicious activities using the Report Phishing Email Button, or by emailing to Phishing@baltimorecity.gov

Dear City Council Testimony,

I am writing in support of Baltimore City Council bills #25-0062, #25-0064, and #25-0065.

In order for Baltimore to grow, it must be a city that accommodates young families. #25-0062 will allow more diverse building designs in Baltimore City that more easily accommodate multiple bedrooms for families and allow for more windows in and better cross-ventilation of apartments.

Much of our built environment in Baltimore pre-dates present bulk and yard requirements. Adjusting those requirements, as #25-0064 seeks to do, will also further a diversity of building types in the city, allowing us to build both larger and smaller kinds of homes and enabling more people of different incomes and needs to live in more neighborhoods.

One of the largest costs associated with construction of new housing is parking. The city's remaining parking minimums are arbitrary and force up the cost of housing for everyone living in a building, even if they choose not to own a car. Devoting too much space to parking also degrades the walkability of neighborhoods, removing potential space for housing and small businesses in favor of car storage. #25-0065 would remove arbitrary parking minimums, and allow a developer or homeowner to determine their parking needs. Cities across the country have successfully repealed arbitrary parking minimums, and seen improvements to the walkability, affordability, and small business climate as a result.

All three of these bills are consistent with goals outlined in the city's recently adopted comprehensive plan, and will work to make Baltimore a more affordable, walkable, and family-friendly place to call home.

I encourage the Land Use and Transportation Committee to vote favorably, and for the full council to pass Baltimore City Council bills #25-0062, #25-0064, and #25-0065.

Sincerely,
Jaden Beall
2203 Guilford Ave Baltimore, MD 21218-5816 JBeall0114@mail.com

Leva, Anthony F (City Council)

From: dhbjorndalen@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of David Bjorndalen
<dhbjorndalen@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Friday, September 5, 2025 1:45 PM
To: Testimony
Subject: Support for CB #25-0062, CB #25-0064, CB #25-0065

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of Baltimore City IT Network Systems.

Reminder: DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know that the content is safe. Report any suspicious activities using the Report Phishing Email Button, or by emailing to Phishing@baltimorecity.gov

Dear City Council Testimony,

I am writing in support of Baltimore City Council bills #25-0062, #25-0064, and #25-0065.

In order for Baltimore to grow, it must be a city that accommodates young families. #25-0062 will allow more diverse building designs in Baltimore City that more easily accommodate multiple bedrooms for families and allow for more windows in and better cross-ventilation of apartments.

Much of our built environment in Baltimore pre-dates present bulk and yard requirements. Adjusting those requirements, as #25-0064 seeks to do, will also further a diversity of building types in the city, allowing us to build both larger and smaller kinds of homes and enabling more people of different incomes and needs to live in more neighborhoods.

One of the largest costs associated with construction of new housing is parking. The city's remaining parking minimums are arbitrary and force up the cost of housing for everyone living in a building, even if they choose not to own a car. Devoting too much space to parking also degrades the walkability of neighborhoods, removing potential space for housing and small businesses in favor of car storage. #25-0065 would remove arbitrary parking minimums, and allow a developer or homeowner to determine their parking needs. Cities across the country have successfully repealed arbitrary parking minimums, and seen improvements to the walkability, affordability, and small business climate as a result.

All three of these bills are consistent with goals outlined in the city's recently adopted comprehensive plan, and will work to make Baltimore a more affordable, walkable, and family-friendly place to call home.

I encourage the Land Use and Transportation Committee to vote favorably, and for the full council to pass Baltimore City Council bills #25-0062, #25-0064, and #25-0065.

Sincerely,

David Bjorndalen

710 S Beechfield Ave Baltimore, MD 21229-4423 dhbjorndalen@gmail.com

Leva, Anthony F (City Council)

From: mel@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of Melanie Scheirer
<mel@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Friday, September 5, 2025 1:11 PM
To: Testimony
Subject: Support for CB #25-0062, CB #25-0064, CB #25-0065

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of Baltimore City IT Network Systems.

Reminder: DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know that the content is safe. Report any suspicious activities using the Report Phishing Email Button, or by emailing to Phishing@baltimorecity.gov

Dear City Council Testimony,

I am writing in support of Baltimore City Council bills #25-0062, #25-0064, and #25-0065.

In order for Baltimore to grow, it must be a city that accommodates young families. #25-0062 will allow more diverse building designs in Baltimore City that more easily accommodate multiple bedrooms for families and allow for more windows in and better cross-ventilation of apartments.

Much of our built environment in Baltimore pre-dates present bulk and yard requirements. Adjusting those requirements, as #25-0064 seeks to do, will also further a diversity of building types in the city, allowing us to build both larger and smaller kinds of homes and enabling more people of different incomes and needs to live in more neighborhoods.

One of the largest costs associated with construction of new housing is parking. The city's remaining parking minimums are arbitrary and force up the cost of housing for everyone living in a building, even if they choose not to own a car. Devoting too much space to parking also degrades the walkability of neighborhoods, removing potential space for housing and small businesses in favor of car storage. #25-0065 would remove arbitrary parking minimums, and allow a developer or homeowner to determine their parking needs. Cities across the country have successfully repealed arbitrary parking minimums, and seen improvements to the walkability, affordability, and small business climate as a result.

All three of these bills are consistent with goals outlined in the city's recently adopted comprehensive plan, and will work to make Baltimore a more affordable, walkable, and family-friendly place to call home.

I encourage the Land Use and Transportation Committee to vote favorably, and for the full council to pass Baltimore City Council bills #25-0062, #25-0064, and #25-0065.

Sincerely,
Melanie Scheirer
1623 W Pratt St Baltimore, MD 21223-2446 mel@mountclare.net

Leva, Anthony F (City Council)

From: cohenrachels@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of Rae Cohen
<cohenrachels@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Friday, September 5, 2025 8:05 AM
To: Testimony
Subject: Support for CB #25-0062, CB #25-0064, CB #25-0065

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of Baltimore City IT Network Systems.

Reminder: DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know that the content is safe. Report any suspicious activities using the Report Phishing Email Button, or by emailing to Phishing@baltimorecity.gov

Dear City Council Testimony,

I am writing in support of Baltimore City Council bills #25-0062, #25-0064, and #25-0065.

In order for Baltimore to grow, it must be a city that accommodates young families. #25-0062 will allow more diverse building designs in Baltimore City that more easily accommodate multiple bedrooms for families and allow for more windows in and better cross-ventilation of apartments.

Much of our built environment in Baltimore pre-dates present bulk and yard requirements. Adjusting those requirements, as #25-0064 seeks to do, will also further a diversity of building types in the city, allowing us to build both larger and smaller kinds of homes and enabling more people of different incomes and needs to live in more neighborhoods.

One of the largest costs associated with construction of new housing is parking. The city's remaining parking minimums are arbitrary and force up the cost of housing for everyone living in a building, even if they choose not to own a car. Devoting too much space to parking also degrades the walkability of neighborhoods, removing potential space for housing and small businesses in favor of car storage. #25-0065 would remove arbitrary parking minimums, and allow a developer or homeowner to determine their parking needs. Cities across the country have successfully repealed arbitrary parking minimums, and seen improvements to the walkability, affordability, and small business climate as a result.

All three of these bills are consistent with goals outlined in the city's recently adopted comprehensive plan, and will work to make Baltimore a more affordable, walkable, and family-friendly place to call home.

I encourage the Land Use and Transportation Committee to vote favorably, and for the full council to pass Baltimore City Council bills #25-0062, #25-0064, and #25-0065.

Sincerely,
Miss Rae Cohen
119 Station North Mews Baltimore, MD 21202-2998 cohenrachels@gmail.com

Leva, Anthony F (City Council)

From: cohenrachels@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of Rae Cohen
<cohenrachels@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Friday, September 5, 2025 8:04 AM
To: Testimony
Subject: Support for CB #25-0062, CB #25-0064, CB #25-0065

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of Baltimore City IT Network Systems.

Reminder: DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know that the content is safe. Report any suspicious activities using the Report Phishing Email Button, or by emailing to Phishing@baltimorecity.gov

Dear City Council Testimony,

I am writing in support of Baltimore City Council bills #25-0062, #25-0064, and #25-0065.

In order for Baltimore to grow, it must be a city that accommodates young families. #25-0062 will allow more diverse building designs in Baltimore City that more easily accommodate multiple bedrooms for families and allow for more windows in and better cross-ventilation of apartments.

Much of our built environment in Baltimore pre-dates present bulk and yard requirements. Adjusting those requirements, as #25-0064 seeks to do, will also further a diversity of building types in the city, allowing us to build both larger and smaller kinds of homes and enabling more people of different incomes and needs to live in more neighborhoods.

One of the largest costs associated with construction of new housing is parking. The city's remaining parking minimums are arbitrary and force up the cost of housing for everyone living in a building, even if they choose not to own a car. Devoting too much space to parking also degrades the walkability of neighborhoods, removing potential space for housing and small businesses in favor of car storage. #25-0065 would remove arbitrary parking minimums, and allow a developer or homeowner to determine their parking needs. Cities across the country have successfully repealed arbitrary parking minimums, and seen improvements to the walkability, affordability, and small business climate as a result.

All three of these bills are consistent with goals outlined in the city's recently adopted comprehensive plan, and will work to make Baltimore a more affordable, walkable, and family-friendly place to call home.

I encourage the Land Use and Transportation Committee to vote favorably, and for the full council to pass Baltimore City Council bills #25-0062, #25-0064, and #25-0065.

Sincerely,
Miss Rae Cohen
119 Station North Mews Baltimore, MD 21202-2998 cohenrachels@gmail.com

Leva, Anthony F (City Council)

From: shoestalk@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of Frank Szustak
<shoestalk@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Friday, September 5, 2025 6:40 AM
To: Testimony
Subject: Support for CB #25-0062, CB #25-0064, CB #25-0065

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of Baltimore City IT Network Systems.

Reminder: DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know that the content is safe. Report any suspicious activities using the Report Phishing Email Button, or by emailing to Phishing@baltimorecity.gov

Dear City Council Testimony,

I am writing in support of Baltimore City Council bills #25-0062, #25-0064, and #25-0065.

In order for Baltimore to grow, it must be a city that accommodates young families. #25-0062 will allow more diverse building designs in Baltimore City that more easily accommodate multiple bedrooms for families and allow for more windows in and better cross-ventilation of apartments.

Much of our built environment in Baltimore pre-dates present bulk and yard requirements. Adjusting those requirements, as #25-0064 seeks to do, will also further a diversity of building types in the city, allowing us to build both larger and smaller kinds of homes and enabling more people of different incomes and needs to live in more neighborhoods.

One of the largest costs associated with construction of new housing is parking. The city's remaining parking minimums are arbitrary and force up the cost of housing for everyone living in a building, even if they choose not to own a car. Devoting too much space to parking also degrades the walkability of neighborhoods, removing potential space for housing and small businesses in favor of car storage. #25-0065 would remove arbitrary parking minimums, and allow a developer or homeowner to determine their parking needs. Cities across the country have successfully repealed arbitrary parking minimums, and seen improvements to the walkability, affordability, and small business climate as a result.

All three of these bills are consistent with goals outlined in the city's recently adopted comprehensive plan, and will work to make Baltimore a more affordable, walkable, and family-friendly place to call home.

I encourage the Land Use and Transportation Committee to vote favorably, and for the full council to pass Baltimore City Council bills #25-0062, #25-0064, and #25-0065.

Sincerely,
Mr. Frank Szustak
101 W 23rd St Baltimore, MD 21218-5607
shoestalk@outlook.com

Leva, Anthony F (City Council)

From: arianna.koudounas@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of Arianna Koudounas
<arianna.koudounas@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Friday, September 5, 2025 4:00 AM
To: Testimony
Subject: Support for CB #25-0062, CB #25-0064, CB #25-0065

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of Baltimore City IT Network Systems.

Reminder: DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know that the content is safe. Report any suspicious activities using the Report Phishing Email Button, or by emailing to Phishing@baltimorecity.gov

Dear City Council Testimony,

I am writing in support of Baltimore City Council bills #25-0062, #25-0064, and #25-0065.

In order for Baltimore to grow, it must be a city that accommodates young families. #25-0062 will allow more diverse building designs in Baltimore City that more easily accommodate multiple bedrooms for families and allow for more windows in and better cross-ventilation of apartments.

Much of our built environment in Baltimore pre-dates present bulk and yard requirements. Adjusting those requirements, as #25-0064 seeks to do, will also further a diversity of building types in the city, allowing us to build both larger and smaller kinds of homes and enabling more people of different incomes and needs to live in more neighborhoods.

One of the largest costs associated with construction of new housing is parking. The city's remaining parking minimums are arbitrary and force up the cost of housing for everyone living in a building, even if they choose not to own a car. Devoting too much space to parking also degrades the walkability of neighborhoods, removing potential space for housing and small businesses in favor of car storage. #25-0065 would remove arbitrary parking minimums, and allow a developer or homeowner to determine their parking needs. Cities across the country have successfully repealed arbitrary parking minimums, and seen improvements to the walkability, affordability, and small business climate as a result.

All three of these bills are consistent with goals outlined in the city's recently adopted comprehensive plan, and will work to make Baltimore a more affordable, walkable, and family-friendly place to call home.

I encourage the Land Use and Transportation Committee to vote favorably, and for the full council to pass Baltimore City Council bills #25-0062, #25-0064, and #25-0065.

Sincerely,
Ms. Arianna Koudounas
2203 Gough St Baltimore, MD 21231-2636
arianna.koudounas@gmail.com

Leva, Anthony F (City Council)

From: murdock_ls@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of Lauren Murdock
<murdock_ls@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 4, 2025 2:43 PM
To: Testimony
Subject: Support for CB #25-0062, CB #25-0064, CB #25-0065

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of Baltimore City IT Network Systems.

Reminder: DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know that the content is safe. Report any suspicious activities using the Report Phishing Email Button, or by emailing to Phishing@baltimorecity.gov

Dear City Council Testimony,

I am writing in support of Baltimore City Council bills #25-0062, #25-0064, and #25-0065.

In order for Baltimore to grow, it must be a city that accommodates young families. #25-0062 will allow more diverse building designs in Baltimore City that more easily accommodate multiple bedrooms for families and allow for more windows in and better cross-ventilation of apartments.

Much of our built environment in Baltimore pre-dates present bulk and yard requirements. Adjusting those requirements, as #25-0064 seeks to do, will also further a diversity of building types in the city, allowing us to build both larger and smaller kinds of homes and enabling more people of different incomes and needs to live in more neighborhoods.

One of the largest costs associated with construction of new housing is parking. The city's remaining parking minimums are arbitrary and force up the cost of housing for everyone living in a building, even if they choose not to own a car. Devoting too much space to parking also degrades the walkability of neighborhoods, removing potential space for housing and small businesses in favor of car storage. #25-0065 would remove arbitrary parking minimums, and allow a developer or homeowner to determine their parking needs. Cities across the country have successfully repealed arbitrary parking minimums, and seen improvements to the walkability, affordability, and small business climate as a result.

All three of these bills are consistent with goals outlined in the city's recently adopted comprehensive plan, and will work to make Baltimore a more affordable, walkable, and family-friendly place to call home.

I encourage the Land Use and Transportation Committee to vote favorably, and for the full council to pass Baltimore City Council bills #25-0062, #25-0064, and #25-0065.

Sincerely,
Ms Lauren Murdock
3940 Via Lucero Santa Barbara, CA 93110-1669 murdock_ls@hotmail.com

Leva, Anthony F (City Council)

From: jesskell@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of Jessie Keller
<jesskell@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 4, 2025 1:50 PM
To: Testimony
Subject: Support for CB #25-0062, CB #25-0064, CB #25-0065

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of Baltimore City IT Network Systems.

Reminder: DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know that the content is safe. Report any suspicious activities using the Report Phishing Email Button, or by emailing to Phishing@baltimorecity.gov

Dear City Council Testimony,

I am writing in support of Baltimore City Council bills #25-0062, #25-0064, and #25-0065.

In order for Baltimore to grow, it must be a city that accommodates young families. #25-0062 will allow more diverse building designs in Baltimore City that more easily accommodate multiple bedrooms for families and allow for more windows in and better cross-ventilation of apartments.

Much of our built environment in Baltimore pre-dates present bulk and yard requirements. Adjusting those requirements, as #25-0064 seeks to do, will also further a diversity of building types in the city, allowing us to build both larger and smaller kinds of homes and enabling more people of different incomes and needs to live in more neighborhoods.

One of the largest costs associated with construction of new housing is parking. The city's remaining parking minimums are arbitrary and force up the cost of housing for everyone living in a building, even if they choose not to own a car. Devoting too much space to parking also degrades the walkability of neighborhoods, removing potential space for housing and small businesses in favor of car storage. #25-0065 would remove arbitrary parking minimums, and allow a developer or homeowner to determine their parking needs. Cities across the country have successfully repealed arbitrary parking minimums, and seen improvements to the walkability, affordability, and small business climate as a result.

All three of these bills are consistent with goals outlined in the city's recently adopted comprehensive plan, and will work to make Baltimore a more affordable, walkable, and family-friendly place to call home.

I encourage the Land Use and Transportation Committee to vote favorably, and for the full council to pass Baltimore City Council bills #25-0062, #25-0064, and #25-0065.

Sincerely,
Ms Jessie Keller
3806 Beech Ave Baltimore, MD 21211-2222 jesskell@yahoo.com

Leva, Anthony F (City Council)

From: asadahiro@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of Artie Sadahiro
<asadahiro@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 4, 2025 10:15 AM
To: Testimony
Subject: Support for CB #25-0062, CB #25-0064, CB #25-0065

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of Baltimore City IT Network Systems.

Reminder: DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know that the content is safe. Report any suspicious activities using the Report Phishing Email Button, or by emailing to Phishing@baltimorecity.gov

Dear City Council Testimony,

I am writing in support of Baltimore City Council bills #25-0062, #25-0064, and #25-0065.

In order for Baltimore to grow, it must be a city that accommodates young families. #25-0062 will allow more diverse building designs in Baltimore City that more easily accommodate multiple bedrooms for families and allow for more windows in and better cross-ventilation of apartments.

Much of our built environment in Baltimore pre-dates present bulk and yard requirements. Adjusting those requirements, as #25-0064 seeks to do, will also further a diversity of building types in the city, allowing us to build both larger and smaller kinds of homes and enabling more people of different incomes and needs to live in more neighborhoods.

One of the largest costs associated with construction of new housing is parking. The city's remaining parking minimums are arbitrary and force up the cost of housing for everyone living in a building, even if they choose not to own a car. Devoting too much space to parking also degrades the walkability of neighborhoods, removing potential space for housing and small businesses in favor of car storage. #25-0065 would remove arbitrary parking minimums, and allow a developer or homeowner to determine their parking needs. Cities across the country have successfully repealed arbitrary parking minimums, and seen improvements to the walkability, affordability, and small business climate as a result.

All three of these bills are consistent with goals outlined in the city's recently adopted comprehensive plan, and will work to make Baltimore a more affordable, walkable, and family-friendly place to call home.

I encourage the Land Use and Transportation Committee to vote favorably, and for the full council to pass Baltimore City Council bills #25-0062, #25-0064, and #25-0065.

Sincerely,
Mr. Artie Sadahiro
1602 Park Ave # 3R Baltimore, MD 21217-4305 asadahiro@mica.edu

Leva, Anthony F (City Council)

From: mystereogotmono@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of Elyce Bloomfield
<mystereogotmono@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 4, 2025 9:38 AM
To: Testimony
Subject: Support for CB #25-0062, CB #25-0064, CB #25-0065

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of Baltimore City IT Network Systems.

Reminder: DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know that the content is safe. Report any suspicious activities using the Report Phishing Email Button, or by emailing to Phishing@baltimorecity.gov

Dear City Council Testimony,

I am writing in support of Baltimore City Council bills #25-0062, #25-0064, and #25-0065.

In order for Baltimore to grow, it must be a city that accommodates young families. #25-0062 will allow more diverse building designs in Baltimore City that more easily accommodate multiple bedrooms for families and allow for more windows in and better cross-ventilation of apartments.

Much of our built environment in Baltimore pre-dates present bulk and yard requirements. Adjusting those requirements, as #25-0064 seeks to do, will also further a diversity of building types in the city, allowing us to build both larger and smaller kinds of homes and enabling more people of different incomes and needs to live in more neighborhoods.

One of the largest costs associated with construction of new housing is parking. The city's remaining parking minimums are arbitrary and force up the cost of housing for everyone living in a building, even if they choose not to own a car. Devoting too much space to parking also degrades the walkability of neighborhoods, removing potential space for housing and small businesses in favor of car storage. #25-0065 would remove arbitrary parking minimums, and allow a developer or homeowner to determine their parking needs. Cities across the country have successfully repealed arbitrary parking minimums, and seen improvements to the walkability, affordability, and small business climate as a result.

All three of these bills are consistent with goals outlined in the city's recently adopted comprehensive plan, and will work to make Baltimore a more affordable, walkable, and family-friendly place to call home.

I encourage the Land Use and Transportation Committee to vote favorably, and for the full council to pass Baltimore City Council bills #25-0062, #25-0064, and #25-0065.

Sincerely,
Ms. Elyce Bloomfield
425 Nottingham Rd Baltimore, MD 21229-2439 mystereogotmono@gmail.com

Leva, Anthony F (City Council)

From: morah129@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of Tracy Barnes-Malone <morah129@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 4, 2025 9:21 AM
To: Testimony
Subject: Support for CB #25-0062, CB #25-0064, CB #25-0065

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of Baltimore City IT Network Systems.

Reminder: DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know that the content is safe. Report any suspicious activities using the Report Phishing Email Button, or by emailing to Phishing@baltimorecity.gov

Dear City Council Testimony,

I am writing in support of Baltimore City Council bills #25-0062, #25-0064, and #25-0065.

In order for Baltimore to grow, it must be a city that accommodates young families. #25-0062 will allow more diverse building designs in Baltimore City that more easily accommodate multiple bedrooms for families and allow for more windows in and better cross-ventilation of apartments.

Much of our built environment in Baltimore pre-dates present bulk and yard requirements. Adjusting those requirements, as #25-0064 seeks to do, will also further a diversity of building types in the city, allowing us to build both larger and smaller kinds of homes and enabling more people of different incomes and needs to live in more neighborhoods.

One of the largest costs associated with construction of new housing is parking. The city's remaining parking minimums are arbitrary and force up the cost of housing for everyone living in a building, even if they choose not to own a car. Devoting too much space to parking also degrades the walkability of neighborhoods, removing potential space for housing and small businesses in favor of car storage. #25-0065 would remove arbitrary parking minimums, and allow a developer or homeowner to determine their parking needs. Cities across the country have successfully repealed arbitrary parking minimums, and seen improvements to the walkability, affordability, and small business climate as a result.

All three of these bills are consistent with goals outlined in the city's recently adopted comprehensive plan, and will work to make Baltimore a more affordable, walkable, and family-friendly place to call home.

I encourage the Land Use and Transportation Committee to vote favorably, and for the full council to pass Baltimore City Council bills #25-0062, #25-0064, and #25-0065.

Sincerely,
Mrs. Tracy Barnes-Malone
3607 Clifmar Rd Windsor Mill, MD 21244-3114 morah129@gmail.com

Leva, Anthony F (City Council)

From: Ryan Kingsbury <ryankingsbury766@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, September 4, 2025 8:35 AM
To: Testimony
Subject: Support for CB #25-0062, CB #25-0064, CB #25-0065

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of Baltimore City IT Network Systems.
Reminder: DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know that the content is safe. Report any suspicious activities using the Report Phishing Email Button, or by emailing to Phishing@baltimorecity.gov

I am writing in support of Baltimore City Council bills #25-0062, #25-0064, and #25-0065.

In order for Baltimore to grow, it must be a city that accommodates young families. #25-0062 will allow more diverse building designs in Baltimore City that more easily accommodate multiple bedrooms for families and allow for more windows in and better cross-ventilation of apartments.

Much of our built environment in Baltimore pre-dates present bulk and yard requirements. Adjusting those requirements, as #25-0064 seeks to do, will also further a diversity of building types in the city, allowing us to build both larger and smaller kinds of homes and enabling more people of different incomes and needs to live in more neighborhoods.

One of the largest costs associated with construction of new housing is parking. The city's remaining parking minimums are arbitrary and force up the cost of housing for everyone living in a building, even if they choose not to own a car. Devoting too much space to parking also degrades the walkability of neighborhoods, removing potential space for housing and small businesses in favor of car storage. #25-0065 would remove arbitrary parking minimums, and allow a developer or homeowner to determine their parking needs. Cities across the country have successfully repealed arbitrary parking minimums, and seen improvements to the walkability, affordability, and small business climate as a result.

All three of these bills are consistent with goals outlined in the city's recently adopted comprehensive plan, and will work to make Baltimore a more affordable, walkable, and family-friendly place to call home.

I encourage the Land Use and Transportation Committee to vote favorably, and for the full council to pass Baltimore City Council bills #25-0062, #25-0064, and #25-0065.

Sincerely,
Mr. Ryan Kingsbury
2 E Wells St Apt 551 Baltimore, MD 21230-4948
ryankingsbury766@gmail.com

Leva, Anthony F (City Council)

From: michael.b.guyiii@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of Michael Guy
<michael.b.guyiii@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Wednesday, September 3, 2025 10:52 PM
To: Testimony
Subject: Support for CB #25-0062, CB #25-0064, CB #25-0065

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of Baltimore City IT Network Systems.

Reminder: DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know that the content is safe. Report any suspicious activities using the Report Phishing Email Button, or by emailing to Phishing@baltimorecity.gov

Dear City Council Testimony,

I am writing in support of Baltimore City Council bills #25-0062, #25-0064, and #25-0065.

In order for Baltimore to grow, it must be a city that accommodates young families. #25-0062 will allow more diverse building designs in Baltimore City that more easily accommodate multiple bedrooms for families and allow for more windows in and better cross-ventilation of apartments.

Much of our built environment in Baltimore pre-dates present bulk and yard requirements. Adjusting those requirements, as #25-0064 seeks to do, will also further a diversity of building types in the city, allowing us to build both larger and smaller kinds of homes and enabling more people of different incomes and needs to live in more neighborhoods.

One of the largest costs associated with construction of new housing is parking. The city's remaining parking minimums are arbitrary and force up the cost of housing for everyone living in a building, even if they choose not to own a car. Devoting too much space to parking also degrades the walkability of neighborhoods, removing potential space for housing and small businesses in favor of car storage. #25-0065 would remove arbitrary parking minimums, and allow a developer or homeowner to determine their parking needs. Cities across the country have successfully repealed arbitrary parking minimums, and seen improvements to the walkability, affordability, and small business climate as a result.

All three of these bills are consistent with goals outlined in the city's recently adopted comprehensive plan, and will work to make Baltimore a more affordable, walkable, and family-friendly place to call home.

I encourage the Land Use and Transportation Committee to vote favorably, and for the full council to pass Baltimore City Council bills #25-0062, #25-0064, and #25-0065.

Sincerely,
Mr Michael Guy
1315 Winston Ave Baltimore, MD 21239-3414 michael.b.guyiii@gmail.com

Leva, Anthony F (City Council)

From: pessoa.stoner@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of Cecilia Pessoa Gingerich
<pessoa.stoner@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Wednesday, September 3, 2025 9:09 PM
To: Testimony
Subject: Support for CB #25-0062, CB #25-0064, CB #25-0065

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of Baltimore City IT Network Systems.

Reminder: DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know that the content is safe. Report any suspicious activities using the Report Phishing Email Button, or by emailing to Phishing@baltimorecity.gov

Dear City Council Testimony,

I am writing in support of Baltimore City Council bills #25-0062, #25-0064, and #25-0065.

As my representative, please support these three important bills to improve our city. As the adage about trees goes, the best time to implement was 10 years ago, but the second-best time is today. These bills, especially the removal of parking minimums, make me excited to envision the Baltimore of 10 years from now!

In order for Baltimore to grow, it must be a city that accommodates young families. #25-0062 will allow more diverse building designs in Baltimore City that more easily accommodate multiple bedrooms for families and allow for more windows in and better cross-ventilation of apartments.

Much of our built environment in Baltimore pre-dates present bulk and yard requirements. Adjusting those requirements, as #25-0064 seeks to do, will also further a diversity of building types in the city, allowing us to build both larger and smaller kinds of homes and enabling more people of different incomes and needs to live in more neighborhoods.

One of the largest costs associated with construction of new housing is parking. The city's remaining parking minimums are arbitrary and force up the cost of housing for everyone living in a building, even if they choose not to own a car. Devoting too much space to parking also degrades the walkability of neighborhoods, removing potential space for housing and small businesses in favor of car storage. #25-0065 would remove arbitrary parking minimums, and allow a developer or homeowner to determine their parking needs. Cities across the country have successfully repealed arbitrary parking minimums, and seen improvements to the walkability, affordability, and small business climate as a result.

All three of these bills are consistent with goals outlined in the city's recently adopted comprehensive plan, and will work to make Baltimore a more affordable, walkable, and family-friendly place to call home.

I encourage the Land Use and Transportation Committee to vote favorably, and for the full council to pass Baltimore City Council bills #25-0062, #25-0064, and #25-0065.

Sincerely,
Cecilia Pessoa Gingerich
2105 Liberty Heights Ave Baltimore, MD 21217-2027 pessoa.stoner@gmail.com

Leva, Anthony F (City Council)

From: gtherk@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of Gary Therkildsen
<gtherk@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Wednesday, September 3, 2025 5:52 PM
To: Testimony
Subject: Support for CB #25-0062, CB #25-0064, CB #25-0065

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of Baltimore City IT Network Systems.

Reminder: DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know that the content is safe. Report any suspicious activities using the Report Phishing Email Button, or by emailing to Phishing@baltimorecity.gov

Dear City Council Testimony,

I am writing in support of Baltimore City Council bills #25-0062, #25-0064, and #25-0065.

In order for Baltimore to grow, it must be a city that accommodates young families. #25-0062 will allow more diverse building designs in Baltimore City that more easily accommodate multiple bedrooms for families and allow for more windows in and better cross-ventilation of apartments.

Much of our built environment in Baltimore pre-dates present bulk and yard requirements. Adjusting those requirements, as #25-0064 seeks to do, will also further a diversity of building types in the city, allowing us to build both larger and smaller kinds of homes and enabling more people of different incomes and needs to live in more neighborhoods.

One of the largest costs associated with construction of new housing is parking. The city's remaining parking minimums are arbitrary and force up the cost of housing for everyone living in a building, even if they choose not to own a car. Devoting too much space to parking also degrades the walkability of neighborhoods, removing potential space for housing and small businesses in favor of car storage. #25-0065 would remove arbitrary parking minimums, and allow a developer or homeowner to determine their parking needs. Cities across the country have successfully repealed arbitrary parking minimums, and seen improvements to the walkability, affordability, and small business climate as a result.

All three of these bills are consistent with goals outlined in the city's recently adopted comprehensive plan, and will work to make Baltimore a more affordable, walkable, and family-friendly place to call home.

I encourage the Land Use and Transportation Committee to vote favorably, and for the full council to pass Baltimore City Council bills #25-0062, #25-0064, and #25-0065.

Sincerely,
Mr. Gary Therkildsen
2439 E North Ave Baltimore, MD 21213-1517 gtherk@gmail.com

Leva, Anthony F (City Council)

From: kroizarch@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of Gabriel Kroiz
<kroizarch@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Wednesday, September 3, 2025 5:39 PM
To: Testimony
Subject: Support for CB #25-0062, CB #25-0064, CB #25-0065

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of Baltimore City IT Network Systems.

Reminder: DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know that the content is safe. Report any suspicious activities using the Report Phishing Email Button, or by emailing to Phishing@baltimorecity.gov

Dear City Council Testimony,

I am writing in support of Baltimore City Council bills #25-0062, #25-0064, and #25-0065.

In order for Baltimore to grow, it must be a city that accommodates young families. #25-0062 will allow more diverse building designs in Baltimore City that more easily accommodate multiple bedrooms for families and allow for more windows in and better cross-ventilation of apartments.

Much of our built environment in Baltimore pre-dates present bulk and yard requirements. Adjusting those requirements, as #25-0064 seeks to do, will also further a diversity of building types in the city, allowing us to build both larger and smaller kinds of homes and enabling more people of different incomes and needs to live in more neighborhoods.

One of the largest costs associated with construction of new housing is parking. The city's remaining parking minimums are arbitrary and force up the cost of housing for everyone living in a building, even if they choose not to own a car. Devoting too much space to parking also degrades the walkability of neighborhoods, removing potential space for housing and small businesses in favor of car storage. #25-0065 would remove arbitrary parking minimums, and allow a developer or homeowner to determine their parking needs. Cities across the country have successfully repealed arbitrary parking minimums, and seen improvements to the walkability, affordability, and small business climate as a result.

All three of these bills are consistent with goals outlined in the city's recently adopted comprehensive plan, and will work to make Baltimore a more affordable, walkable, and family-friendly place to call home.

I encourage the Land Use and Transportation Committee to vote favorably, and for the full council to pass Baltimore City Council bills #25-0062, #25-0064, and #25-0065.

Sincerely,
Mr. Gabriel Kroiz
251 W Lafayette Ave Baltimore, MD 21217-4217 kroizarch@gmail.com

Leva, Anthony F (City Council)

From: kroizarch@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of Gabriel Kroiz
<kroizarch@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Wednesday, September 3, 2025 5:36 PM
To: Testimony
Subject: Support for CB #25-0062, CB #25-0064, CB #25-0065

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of Baltimore City IT Network Systems.

Reminder: DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know that the content is safe. Report any suspicious activities using the Report Phishing Email Button, or by emailing to Phishing@baltimorecity.gov

Dear City Council Testimony,

I am writing in support of Baltimore City Council bills #25-0062, #25-0064, and #25-0065.

In order for Baltimore to grow, it must be a city that accommodates young families. #25-0062 will allow more diverse building designs in Baltimore City that more easily accommodate multiple bedrooms for families and allow for more windows in and better cross-ventilation of apartments.

Much of our built environment in Baltimore pre-dates present bulk and yard requirements. Adjusting those requirements, as #25-0064 seeks to do, will also further a diversity of building types in the city, allowing us to build both larger and smaller kinds of homes and enabling more people of different incomes and needs to live in more neighborhoods.

One of the largest costs associated with construction of new housing is parking. The city's remaining parking minimums are arbitrary and force up the cost of housing for everyone living in a building, even if they choose not to own a car. Devoting too much space to parking also degrades the walkability of neighborhoods, removing potential space for housing and small businesses in favor of car storage. #25-0065 would remove arbitrary parking minimums, and allow a developer or homeowner to determine their parking needs. Cities across the country have successfully repealed arbitrary parking minimums, and seen improvements to the walkability, affordability, and small business climate as a result.

All three of these bills are consistent with goals outlined in the city's recently adopted comprehensive plan, and will work to make Baltimore a more affordable, walkable, and family-friendly place to call home.

I encourage the Land Use and Transportation Committee to vote favorably, and for the full council to pass Baltimore City Council bills #25-0062, #25-0064, and #25-0065.

Sincerely,
Mr. Gabriel Kroiz
251 W Lafayette Ave Baltimore, MD 21217-4217 kroizarch@gmail.com

Leva, Anthony F (City Council)

From: kroizarch@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of Gabriel Kroiz
<kroizarch@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Wednesday, September 3, 2025 5:35 PM
To: Testimony
Subject: Support for CB #25-0062, CB #25-0064, CB #25-0065

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of Baltimore City IT Network Systems.

Reminder: DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know that the content is safe. Report any suspicious activities using the Report Phishing Email Button, or by emailing to Phishing@baltimorecity.gov

Dear City Council Testimony,

I am writing in support of Baltimore City Council bills #25-0062, #25-0064, and #25-0065.

In order for Baltimore to grow, it must be a city that accommodates young families. #25-0062 will allow more diverse building designs in Baltimore City that more easily accommodate multiple bedrooms for families and allow for more windows in and better cross-ventilation of apartments.

Much of our built environment in Baltimore pre-dates present bulk and yard requirements. Adjusting those requirements, as #25-0064 seeks to do, will also further a diversity of building types in the city, allowing us to build both larger and smaller kinds of homes and enabling more people of different incomes and needs to live in more neighborhoods.

One of the largest costs associated with construction of new housing is parking. The city's remaining parking minimums are arbitrary and force up the cost of housing for everyone living in a building, even if they choose not to own a car. Devoting too much space to parking also degrades the walkability of neighborhoods, removing potential space for housing and small businesses in favor of car storage. #25-0065 would remove arbitrary parking minimums, and allow a developer or homeowner to determine their parking needs. Cities across the country have successfully repealed arbitrary parking minimums, and seen improvements to the walkability, affordability, and small business climate as a result.

All three of these bills are consistent with goals outlined in the city's recently adopted comprehensive plan, and will work to make Baltimore a more affordable, walkable, and family-friendly place to call home.

I encourage the Land Use and Transportation Committee to vote favorably, and for the full council to pass Baltimore City Council bills #25-0062, #25-0064, and #25-0065.

Sincerely,
Mr. Gabriel Kroiz
251 W Lafayette Ave Baltimore, MD 21217-4217 kroizarch@gmail.com

Leva, Anthony F (City Council)

From: george@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of George Bourozikas
<george@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Wednesday, September 3, 2025 1:17 PM
To: Testimony
Subject: Support for CB #25-0062, CB #25-0064, CB #25-0065

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of Baltimore City IT Network Systems.

Reminder: DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know that the content is safe. Report any suspicious activities using the Report Phishing Email Button, or by emailing to Phishing@baltimorecity.gov

Dear City Council Testimony,

I am writing in support of Baltimore City Council bills #25-0062, #25-0064, and #25-0065.

In order for Baltimore to grow, it must be a city that accommodates young families. #25-0062 will allow more diverse building designs in Baltimore City that more easily accommodate multiple bedrooms for families and allow for more windows in and better cross-ventilation of apartments.

Much of our built environment in Baltimore pre-dates present bulk and yard requirements. Adjusting those requirements, as #25-0064 seeks to do, will also further a diversity of building types in the city, allowing us to build both larger and smaller kinds of homes and enabling more people of different incomes and needs to live in more neighborhoods.

One of the largest costs associated with construction of new housing is parking. The city's remaining parking minimums are arbitrary and force up the cost of housing for everyone living in a building, even if they choose not to own a car. Devoting too much space to parking also degrades the walkability of neighborhoods, removing potential space for housing and small businesses in favor of car storage. #25-0065 would remove arbitrary parking minimums, and allow a developer or homeowner to determine their parking needs. Cities across the country have successfully repealed arbitrary parking minimums, and seen improvements to the walkability, affordability, and small business climate as a result.

All three of these bills are consistent with goals outlined in the city's recently adopted comprehensive plan, and will work to make Baltimore a more affordable, walkable, and family-friendly place to call home.

I encourage the Land Use and Transportation Committee to vote favorably, and for the full council to pass Baltimore City Council bills #25-0062, #25-0064, and #25-0065.

Sincerely,
Mr George Bourozikas
719 Park Ave Baltimore, MD 21201-4712
george@bourozikas.net

Leva, Anthony F (City Council)

From: nickybainbridge@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of Nicky Meara-Bainbridge
<nickybainbridge@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Wednesday, September 3, 2025 12:30 PM
To: Testimony
Subject: Support for CB #25-0062, CB #25-0064, CB #25-0065

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of Baltimore City IT Network Systems.

Reminder: DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know that the content is safe. Report any suspicious activities using the Report Phishing Email Button, or by emailing to Phishing@baltimorecity.gov

Dear City Council Testimony,

I am writing in support of Baltimore City Council bills #25-0062, #25-0064, and #25-0065.

In order for Baltimore to grow, it must be a city that accommodates young families. #25-0062 will allow more diverse building designs in Baltimore City that more easily accommodate multiple bedrooms for families and allow for more windows in and better cross-ventilation of apartments.

Much of our built environment in Baltimore pre-dates present bulk and yard requirements. Adjusting those requirements, as #25-0064 seeks to do, will also further a diversity of building types in the city, allowing us to build both larger and smaller kinds of homes and enabling more people of different incomes and needs to live in more neighborhoods.

One of the largest costs associated with construction of new housing is parking. The city's remaining parking minimums are arbitrary and force up the cost of housing for everyone living in a building, even if they choose not to own a car. Devoting too much space to parking also degrades the walkability of neighborhoods, removing potential space for housing and small businesses in favor of car storage. #25-0065 would remove arbitrary parking minimums, and allow a developer or homeowner to determine their parking needs. Cities across the country have successfully repealed arbitrary parking minimums, and seen improvements to the walkability, affordability, and small business climate as a result.

All three of these bills are consistent with goals outlined in the city's recently adopted comprehensive plan, and will work to make Baltimore a more affordable, walkable, and family-friendly place to call home.

I encourage the Land Use and Transportation Committee to vote favorably, and for the full council to pass Baltimore City Council bills #25-0062, #25-0064, and #25-0065.

Sincerely,
Mr. Nicky Meara-Bainbridge
929 Homestead St Baltimore, MD 21218-3607 nickybainbridge@gmail.com

Leva, Anthony F (City Council)

From: Brian Line <brian.a.line@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, September 3, 2025 12:00 PM
To: Testimony
Subject: Fwd: Support for CB #25-0062, CB #25-0064, CB #25-0065

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of Baltimore City IT Network Systems.
Reminder: DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know that the content is safe. Report any suspicious activities using the Report Phishing Email Button, or by emailing to Phishing@baltimorecity.gov

Dear Council President Zeke Cohen,

I am writing in support of Baltimore City Council bills #25-0062, #25-0064, and #25-0065.

In order for Baltimore to grow, it must be a city that accommodates young families. #25-0062 will allow more diverse building designs in Baltimore City that more easily accommodate multiple bedrooms for families and allow for more windows in and better cross-ventilation of apartments.

Much of our built environment in Baltimore pre-dates present bulk and yard requirements. Adjusting those requirements, as #25-0064 seeks to do, will also further a diversity of building types in the city, allowing us to build both larger and smaller kinds of homes and enabling more people of different incomes and needs to live in more neighborhoods.

One of the largest costs associated with construction of new housing is parking. The city's remaining parking minimums are arbitrary and force up the cost of housing for everyone living in a building, even if they choose not to own a car. Devoting too much space to parking also degrades the walkability of neighborhoods, removing potential space for housing and small businesses in favor of car storage. #25-0065 would remove arbitrary parking minimums, and allow a developer or homeowner to determine their parking needs. Cities across the country have successfully repealed arbitrary parking minimums, and seen improvements to the walkability, affordability, and small business climate as a result.

All three of these bills are consistent with goals outlined in the city's recently adopted comprehensive plan, and will work to make Baltimore a more affordable, walkable, and family-friendly place to call home.

I encourage the Land Use and Transportation Committee to vote favorably, and for the full council to pass Baltimore City Council bills #25-0062, #25-0064, and #25-0065.

Sincerely,
Mr. Brian Line
2917 Guilford Ave Baltimore, MD 21218-4116
brian.a.line@gmail.com

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Cohen, Zeke (City Council)** <Zeke.Cohen@baltimorecity.gov>
Date: Wed, Sep 3, 2025 at 10:11 AM
Subject: Re: Support for CB #25-0062, CB #25-0064, CB #25-0065
To: brian.a.line@gmail.com <brian.a.line@gmail.com>

Good morning,

Thank you for your email. To ensure your comments are included in the official bill file and received by the committee, please submit your testimony to testimony@baltimorecity.gov.

Thank you,
Hailey Kancherla

Office of the Baltimore City Council President Zeke Cohen
Baltimore City Council
100 Holliday Street, Room 400
Baltimore, MD 21202
Office: (410) 396-4804

From: brian.a.line@everyactionadvocacy.com <brian.a.line@everyactionadvocacy.com> on behalf of Brian Line <brian.a.line@everyactionadvocacy.com>

Sent: Wednesday, September 3, 2025 8:32 AM

To: Cohen, Zeke (City Council) <Zeke.Cohen@baltimorecity.gov>

Subject: Support for CB #25-0062, CB #25-0064, CB #25-0065

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of Baltimore City IT Network Systems.

Reminder: DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know that the content is safe. Report any suspicious activities using the Report Phishing Email Button, or by emailing to Phishing@baltimorecity.gov

Dear Council President Zeke Cohen,

I am writing in support of Baltimore City Council bills #25-0062, #25-0064, and #25-0065.

In order for Baltimore to grow, it must be a city that accommodates young families. #25-0062 will allow more diverse building designs in Baltimore City that more easily accommodate multiple bedrooms for families and allow for more windows in and better cross-ventilation of apartments.

Much of our built environment in Baltimore pre-dates present bulk and yard requirements. Adjusting those requirements, as #25-0064 seeks to do, will also further a diversity of building types in the city, allowing us to build both larger and smaller kinds of homes and enabling more people of different incomes and needs to live in more neighborhoods.

One of the largest costs associated with construction of new housing is parking. The city's remaining parking minimums are arbitrary and force up the cost of housing for everyone living in a building, even if they choose not to own a car. Devoting too much space to parking also degrades the walkability of neighborhoods, removing potential space for housing and small businesses in favor of car storage. #25-0065 would remove arbitrary parking minimums, and allow a developer or homeowner to determine their parking needs. Cities across the country have successfully repealed arbitrary parking minimums, and seen improvements to the walkability, affordability, and small business climate as a result.

All three of these bills are consistent with goals outlined in the city's recently adopted comprehensive plan, and will work to make Baltimore a more affordable, walkable, and family-friendly place to call home.

I encourage the Land Use and Transportation Committee to vote favorably, and for the full council to pass

Baltimore City Council bills #25-0062, #25-0064, and #25-0065.

Sincerely,

Mr. Brian Line

2917 Guilford Ave Baltimore, MD 21218-4116

brian.a.line@gmail.com

Leva, Anthony F (City Council)

From: Andrew Waldman <andrew.r.waldman@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, September 3, 2025 11:46 AM
To: Testimony
Subject: Please record my SUPPORT for Baltimore City Council bills #25-0062, #25-0064, and #25-0065.

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of Baltimore City IT Network Systems.

Reminder: DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know that the content is safe. Report any suspicious activities using the Report Phishing Email Button, or by emailing to Phishing@baltimorecity.gov

I am writing in support of Baltimore City Council bills #25-0062, #25-0064, and #25-0065.

I am very supportive of moving our housing policies beyond the stagnation they have suffered at the hands of the feckless leadership of Baltimore's past. We cannot afford to let our city's housing policies fester in a toxic soup of outdated thinking. There is nothing holding us back from doing this now, and we must do so. We will be left behind if we do not find ways to make housing more available to more people who want to live in Baltimore.

All three of these bills are consistent with goals outlined in the city's recently adopted comprehensive plan, and will work to make Baltimore a more affordable, walkable, and family-friendly place to call home.

I encourage the Land Use and Transportation Committee to vote favorably, and for the full council to pass Baltimore City Council bills #25-0062, #25-0064, and #25-0065.

Sincerely,
Mr. Andrew Waldman
2635 Hampden Ave Baltimore, MD 21211-3107
andrew.r.waldman@gmail.com

Leva, Anthony F (City Council)

From: melissafreilich@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of Melissa Freilich
<melissafreilich@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Wednesday, September 3, 2025 11:45 AM
To: Testimony
Subject: Support for CB #25-0062, CB #25-0064, CB #25-0065

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of Baltimore City IT Network Systems.

Reminder: DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know that the content is safe. Report any suspicious activities using the Report Phishing Email Button, or by emailing to Phishing@baltimorecity.gov

Dear City Council Testimony,

I am writing in support of Baltimore City Council bills #25-0062, #25-0064, and #25-0065.

I'm a young professional and parent planning to spend my life in Baltimore, and I want Baltimore to thrive. In order for Baltimore to grow, it must be a city that accommodates young families. #25-0062 will allow more diverse building designs in Baltimore City that more easily accommodate multiple bedrooms for families and allow for more windows in and better cross-ventilation of apartments.

Much of our built environment in Baltimore pre-dates present bulk and yard requirements. Adjusting those requirements, as #25-0064 seeks to do, will also further a diversity of building types in the city, allowing us to build both larger and smaller kinds of homes and enabling more people of different incomes and needs to live in more neighborhoods.

One of the largest costs associated with construction of new housing is parking. The city's remaining parking minimums are arbitrary and force up the cost of housing for everyone living in a building, even if they choose not to own a car. Devoting too much space to parking also degrades the walkability of neighborhoods, removing potential space for housing and small businesses in favor of car storage. #25-0065 would remove arbitrary parking minimums, and allow a developer or homeowner to determine their parking needs. Cities across the country have successfully repealed arbitrary parking minimums, and seen improvements to the walkability, affordability, and small business climate as a result.

All three of these bills are consistent with goals outlined in the city's recently adopted comprehensive plan, and will work to make Baltimore a more affordable, walkable, and family-friendly place to call home.

I encourage the Land Use and Transportation Committee to vote favorably, and for the full council to pass Baltimore City Council bills #25-0062, #25-0064, and #25-0065.

Sincerely,
Ms. Melissa Freilich
400 W 28th St Baltimore, MD 21211-3026
melissafreilich@gmail.com

Leva, Anthony F (City Council)

From: Blake Barnett <blakebarnett43@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, September 3, 2025 10:19 AM
To: Testimony
Subject: Support for Bills #25-0062, #25-0064, and #25-0065.

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of Baltimore City IT Network Systems.
Reminder: DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know that the content is safe. Report any suspicious activities using the Report Phishing Email Button, or by emailing to Phishing@baltimorecity.gov

Dear Council President Zeke Cohen,

I am writing in support of Baltimore City Council bills #25-0062, #25-0064, and #25-0065.

In order for Baltimore to grow, it must be a city that accommodates young families. #25-0062 will allow more diverse building designs in Baltimore City that more easily accommodate multiple bedrooms for families and allow for more windows in and better cross-ventilation of apartments.

Much of our built environment in Baltimore pre-dates present bulk and yard requirements. Adjusting those requirements, as #25-0064 seeks to do, will also further a diversity of building types in the city, allowing us to build both larger and smaller kinds of homes and enabling more people of different incomes and needs to live in more neighborhoods.

One of the largest costs associated with construction of new housing is parking. The city's remaining parking minimums are arbitrary and force up the cost of housing for everyone living in a building, even if they choose not to own a car. Devoting too much space to parking also degrades the walkability of neighborhoods, removing potential space for housing and small businesses in favor of car storage. #25-0065 would remove arbitrary parking minimums, and allow a developer or homeowner to determine their parking needs. Cities across the country have successfully repealed arbitrary parking minimums, and seen improvements to the walkability, affordability, and small business climate as a result.

All three of these bills are consistent with goals outlined in the city's recently adopted comprehensive plan, and will work to make Baltimore a more affordable, walkable, and family-friendly place to call home.

I encourage the Land Use and Transportation Committee to vote favorably, and for the full council to pass Baltimore City Council bills #25-0062, #25-0064, and #25-0065.

Sincerely,
Dr Blake Barnett
1201 Berry St Baltimore, MD 21211-1994
blakebarnett43@gmail.com

Leva, Anthony F (City Council)

From: PSINNIS@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of Photini Sinnis
<PSINNIS@everyactioncustom.com>
Sent: Wednesday, September 3, 2025 8:39 AM
To: Testimony
Subject: Support for CB #25-0062, CB #25-0064, CB #25-0065

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of Baltimore City IT Network Systems.

Reminder: DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know that the content is safe. Report any suspicious activities using the Report Phishing Email Button, or by emailing to Phishing@baltimorecity.gov

Dear City Council Testimony,

I am writing in support of Baltimore City Council bills #25-0062, #25-0064, and #25-0065.

In order for Baltimore to grow, it must be a city that accommodates young families. #25-0062 will allow more diverse building designs in Baltimore City that more easily accommodate multiple bedrooms for families and allow for more windows in and better cross-ventilation of apartments.

Much of our built environment in Baltimore pre-dates present bulk and yard requirements. Adjusting those requirements, as #25-0064 seeks to do, will also further a diversity of building types in the city, allowing us to build both larger and smaller kinds of homes and enabling more people of different incomes and needs to live in more neighborhoods.

One of the largest costs associated with construction of new housing is parking. The city's remaining parking minimums are arbitrary and force up the cost of housing for everyone living in a building, even if they choose not to own a car. Devoting too much space to parking also degrades the walkability of neighborhoods, removing potential space for housing and small businesses in favor of car storage. #25-0065 would remove arbitrary parking minimums, and allow a developer or homeowner to determine their parking needs. Cities across the country have successfully repealed arbitrary parking minimums, and seen improvements to the walkability, affordability, and small business climate as a result.

All three of these bills are consistent with goals outlined in the city's recently adopted comprehensive plan, and will work to make Baltimore a more affordable, walkable, and family-friendly place to call home.

I encourage the Land Use and Transportation Committee to vote favorably, and for the full council to pass Baltimore City Council bills #25-0062, #25-0064, and #25-0065.

Sincerely,
Dr. Photini Sinnis
719 Park Ave Apt 1 Baltimore, MD 21201-4712 PSINNIS@JHSPH.EDU