

TWENTY-SECOND DAY

FOURTH COUNCILMANIC YEAR - SESSION OF 2020-2024

JOURNAL
CITY COUNCIL OF BALTIMORE

October 21, 2024

The meeting opened with a moment of silent reflection.

The President and members of the Council recited the Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag.

The City Council of Baltimore met pursuant to adjournment. Present: Councilmembers Cohen, Dorsey, Conway, Schleifer, Middleton, Torrence, Bullock, Costello, Stokes, Ramos. Absent: Nicholas J. Mosby, President and Councilmembers Glover, Porter, Burnett, McCray.

JOURNAL APPROVED

The Journal of October 7, 2024 was read and approved.

Journal of the City Council**COMMUNICATIONS FROM THE MAYOR****APPROVED BY THE MAYOR****OFFICE OF THE MAYOR**

October 18, 2024

The Honorable Nick J. Mosby, Council President
Baltimore City Hall
100 N. Holliday Street
Baltimore, MD 21202

Dear Honorable President Mosby and Members of the City Council:

I am pleased to inform your Honorable Body that I have signed into law this day the following bills:

22-0217 – Franchise – Storm Drain Outfall

For the purpose of granting a franchise to 701 Caton, LLC to construct, use, and maintain the storm drain outfall located in the City’s Lower Gwynns Falls Park, adjacent to 707 South Caton Avenue, Baltimore, Maryland 21229, subject to certain terms, conditions, and reservations; and providing for a special effective date.

23-0454 – Zoning – Conditional Use Conversion of a Single-Family Dwelling Unit to 2 Dwelling Units in the R-8 Zoning District - 1022 West Lanvale Street

For the purpose of permitting, subject to certain conditions, the conversion of a single-family dwelling unit into 2 dwelling units in the R-8 Zoning District on the property known as 1022 West Lanvale Street (Block 0092, Lot 030), as outlined in red on the accompanying plat; and providing for a special effective date.

23-0469 – Zoning – Conditional Use Conversion of a Single-Family Dwelling Unit to 2 Dwelling Units in the R-8 Zoning District - Variances - 1014 Edmondson Avenue

For the purpose of permitting, subject to certain conditions, the conversion of a single-family dwelling unit to 2 dwelling units in the R-8 Zoning District on the property known as 1014 Edmondson Avenue (Block 0115, Lot 037), as outlined in red on the accompanying plat; and granting a variance from certain bulk regulations (lot area size); and providing for a special effective date.

24-0475 – Release of a 20' Right-Of-Way identified as parcel 3 as shown on plat RW20-34176 And Release of a 10' Right-Of-Way identified as parcel 2 as shown on plat RW20-34429

For the purpose of authorizing the release and surrender by the Mayor and City Council of Baltimore of all of its interests in and to a 20 foot wide right-of-way and a 10 foot wide right-of-way through the property of Weldon Heights Homes, Inc., as shown on plats RW20-34176 and RW20-34429 on file in the Office of the Department of Transportation; and providing for a special effective date.

24-0476 – Partial Release of Right-of-Way for Municipal Utilities and Services through the Residence at Roland Heights (Formerly Hedwin Property)

For the purpose of authorizing the release and surrender by the Mayor and City Council of Baltimore of all of its interests in and to the variable width right of way heretofore granted the City of Baltimore; the location and course of the variable width right of way to be released being shown on a plat numbered RW 20-36464; and providing for a special effective date.

24-0478 – Rezoning – 1214-1224 Hollins Street, 21-23 South Carey Street, and 14 South Stockton Street

For the purpose of changing the zoning for the properties known as 1214-1224 Hollins Street (Block 0216, Lots 053-058), 21-23 South Carey Street (Block 0216, Lots 047-048), and 14 South Stockton Street (Block 0216, Lot 074), as outlined in red on the accompanying plat, from the R-8 Zoning District to the C-1 Zoning District; and providing for a special effective date.

24-0497 – Zoning – Conditional Use Conversion of Single-Family Dwelling Units to 2 Dwelling Units in the R-8 Zoning District - Variances - 1005 West Lanvale Street

For the purpose of permitting, subject to certain conditions, the conversion of a single-family dwelling unit to 2 dwelling units in the R-8 Zoning District on the property known as 1005 West Lanvale Street (Block 0104, Lot 003), as outlined in red on the accompanying plat; granting variances from off-street parking requirements; and providing for a special effective date.

24-0515 – Zoning - Conditional Use Conversion of a Single-Family Dwelling Unit to 2 Dwelling Units in the R-8 Zoning District - Variances - 223 South Stricker Street

For the purpose of permitting, subject to certain conditions, the conversion of a single-family dwelling unit to 2 dwelling units in the R-8 Zoning District on the property know as 223 South Stricker Street (Block 264, Lot 19) as outlined in red on the accompanying plat; granting variances to certain bulk regulations (lot area size) and off-street parking requirements; and providing for a special effective date.

In service,

Brandon M. Scott
Mayor

Journal of the City Council

EXECUTIVE NOMINATIONS

LETRICE GANT, as a member of the Community Reinvestment and Reparations Commission from District 8, was withdrawn.

INTRODUCTION AND READ FIRST TIME

The President laid before the City Council the following bills and Resolutions of the Mayor and City Council for first reading:

Bill No. 24-0602 – By the Council President (Administration – Department of Finance)

An Ordinance providing a Supplementary General Fund Operating Appropriation in the amount of \$25,000 to the Department of Transportation - Service 692 (Bridge and Culvert Management), to provide funding for bridge assessments; and providing for a special effective date.

The bill was read the first time and referred to the Ways and Means Committee.

Bill No. 24-0603 – By the Council President (Administration – Department of Finance)

An Ordinance providing a Supplementary General Fund Operating Appropriation in the aggregate amount of \$905,000 to the Department of Recreation and Parks, specifically, \$55,000 to Service 646 (Park Maintenance) to provide funding for playground and court repairs, \$50,000 to Service 647 (Youth and Adult Sports) to provide capital planning support for Upton Boxing, and \$800,000 to Service 648 (Community Recreation Centers) to expand after-school programming; and providing for a special effective date.

The bill was read the first time and referred to the Ways and Means Committee.

Bill No. 24-0604 – By the Council President (Administration – Department of Finance)

An Ordinance providing a Supplementary General Fund Operating Appropriation in the aggregate amount of \$41,355,000 to the Mayoralty, specifically, \$100,000 to Service 109 (Mayoralty: Mayor's Office of Children and Family Success - Administration) to provide funding for summer programming, \$39,990,000 to Service 122 (Mayoralty: Miscellaneous General Expenses) to provide funding for multi-year purchasing activity, Economic Development projects, and increase in PAYGO Capital for Recreation and Parks and Transportation projects, \$250,000 to Service 125 (Mayoralty - Executive Direction and Control) to provide funding for priority projects, \$200,000 to Service 617 (Mayoralty: Mayor's Office of Neighborhood Safety and Engagement - Criminal Justice Coordination) to provide funding for the Human Trafficking Grant Program, \$500,000 to Service 618 (Mayoralty: Mayor's Office of Neighborhood Safety and Engagement - Neighborhood Safety and Engagement) to provide

funding for increased staff costs, and \$315,000 to Service 834 (Mayoralty: Mayor's Office of Small and Minority Business Advocacy Development - MWB Opportunity Office) to provide funding for increasing funding for Main Streets; and providing for a special effective date.

The bill was read the first time and referred to the Ways and Means Committee.

Bill No. 24-0605 – By the Council President (Administration – Department of Finance)

An Ordinance providing a Supplementary General Fund Operations Appropriation in the amount of \$315,000 to the Department of Housing and Community Development - Service 745 (Housing Code Enforcement), to provide funding for additional staffing costs; and providing for a special effective date.

The bill was read the first time and referred to the Ways and Means Committee.

Bill No. 24-0606 – By the Council President (Administration – Department of Finance)

An Ordinance providing a Supplementary General Fund Operating Appropriation in the amount of \$6,000,000 to the Department of Public Works - Service 663 (Waste Removal & Recycling), to provide funding for solid waste staffing support; and providing for a special effective date.

The bill was read the first time and referred to the Ways and Means Committee.

Bill No. 24-0607 – By the Council President (Administration – Department of Finance)

An Ordinance providing a Supplementary General Fund Operating Appropriation in the amount of \$5,250,000 to the City Council - Service 100 (City Council), to provide funding for priority projects; and providing for a special effective date.

The bill was read the first time and referred to the Ways and Means Committee.

Bill No. 24-0608 – By the Council President (Administration – Department of Finance)

An Ordinance requiring the Departments of Housing and Community Development and Planning to submit a joint report to the City Council prior to seeking the approval of the Board of Estimates of an agreement between the City and an owner or owners of a qualifying affordable or inclusionary housing development that provides for the payment to the City of a negotiated amount in lieu of the payment of City real property taxes for a set term of years.

The bill was read the first time and referred to the Ways and Means Committee.

Journal of the City Council

CONSENT CALENDAR

CEREMONIAL RESOLUTIONS NO. 2444, 2445, 2446, 2447, 2448, 2449, 2450, 2451, 2452, 2453, 2454, 2455, 2456, 2457, 2458, 2459, 2460, 2461, 2462, 2463, 24 64, 2465, 2466, 2467, 2468, 2469, 2470, 2471, 2472, 2473, 2474 AND 2475 ADOPTED UNDER RULE 3-9.

The resolutions were read.

The roll was called on the adoption of the resolutions, resulting as follows:

Yeas - Councilmembers Cohen, Dorsey, Conway, Schleifer, Middleton, Torrence, Bullock, Costello, Stokes, Ramos - Total 10.

Nays - 0.

Absent - President and Councilmembers Glover, Porter, Burnett, McCray - Total 5.

The President declared the resolutions adopted under Rule 3-9.

Ceremonial Resolutions will be found at the end of the Journal.

ACTION ON EXECUTIVE APPOINTMENTS

Councilmember Schleifer made a motion, which was duly seconded, that the favorable report of the Committee on Executive Appointments be adopted.

The Appointment of

ERIC JACKSON

Member - District 10

BOARD:

Community Reinvestment and Reparations
Commission

The motion prevailed.

The President declared the favorable report adopted.

Councilmember Schleifer made a motion, which was duly seconded, that the appointment of Eric Jackson be confirmed.

The roll was called, resulting as follows:

Yeas - Councilmembers Cohen, Dorsey, Conway, Schleifer, Middleton, Torrence, Bullock, Costello, Stokes, Ramos - Total 10.

Nays - 0.

Absent - President and Councilmembers Glover, Porter, Burnett, McCray - Total 5.

The President declared the appointment of Eric Jackson confirmed.

Councilmember Schleifer made a motion, which was duly seconded, that the favorable report of the Committee on Executive Appointments be adopted.

The Appointment of

ANDRE TURNER

Member - District 2

BOARD:

Community Reinvestment and Reparations Commission

The motion prevailed.

The President declared the favorable report adopted.

Councilmember Schleifer made a motion, which was duly seconded, that the appointment of Andre Turner be confirmed.

The roll was called, resulting as follows:

Yeas - Councilmembers Cohen, Dorsey, Conway, Schleifer, Middleton, Torrence, Bullock, Costello, Stokes, Ramos - Total 10.

Nays - 0.

Absent - President and Councilmembers Glover, Porter, Burnett, McCray - Total 5.

The President declared the appointment of Andre Turner confirmed.

Councilmember Schleifer made a motion, which was duly seconded, that the favorable report of the Committee on Executive Appointments be adopted.

The Appointment of

ROBERT A. TURNER

Member - District 5

BOARD:

Community Reinvestment and Reparations Commission

Journal of the City Council

The motion prevailed.

The President declared the favorable report adopted.

Councilmember Schleifer made a motion, which was duly seconded, that the appointment of Robert A. Turner be confirmed.

The roll was called, resulting as follows:

Yeas - Councilmembers Cohen, Dorsey, Conway, Schleifer, Middleton, Torrence, Bullock, Costello, Stokes, Ramos - Total 10.

Nays - 0.

Absent - President and Councilmembers Glover, Porter, Burnett, McCray - Total 5.

The President declared the appointment of Robert A. Turner confirmed.

Councilmember Schleifer made a motion, which was duly seconded, that the favorable report of the Committee on Executive Appointments be adopted.

The Appointment of

ANTHONY FRANCIS

Member - District 9

BOARD:

Community Reinvestment and Reparations
Commission

The motion prevailed.

The President declared the favorable report adopted.

Councilmember Schleifer made a motion, which was duly seconded, that the appointment of Anthony Francis be confirmed.

The roll was called, resulting as follows:

Yeas - Councilmembers Cohen, Dorsey, Conway, Schleifer, Middleton, Torrence, Bullock, Costello, Stokes, Ramos - Total 10.

Nays - 0.

Absent - President and Councilmembers Glover, Porter, Burnett, McCray - Total 5.

The President declared the appointment of Anthony Francis confirmed.

COMMITTEE REPORTS

**BILL NO. 24-0529 REPORTED FAVORABLY
AND ORDERED PRINTED FOR THIRD READING**

Councilmember Costello, for the Ways and Means Committee, reported Bill No. 24-0529 favorably.

An Ordinance amending Ordinance 23-232; supporting the designation of an expanded State Transit-Oriented Development for Reisterstown Plaza, the area surrounding and including the Reisterstown Plaza metro Subway Link Station; and providing for a special effective date.

Favorable report adopted.

The bill was read the second time and ordered printed for third reading.

**BILL NO. 24-0530 REPORTED FAVORABLY
AND ORDERED PRINTED FOR THIRD READING**

Councilmember Costello, for the Ways and Means Committee, reported Bill No. 24-0530 favorably.

An Ordinance supporting a State Transit-Oriented Development designation for the area surrounding and including the West Baltimore MARC Station; and providing for a special effective date.

Favorable report adopted.

The bill was read the second time and ordered printed for third reading.

**BILL NO. 24-0549 REPORTED FAVORABLY
AND ORDERED PRINTED FOR THIRD READING**

Councilmember Costello, for the Ways and Means Committee, reported that the Committee has complied with the Land Use Article of the Maryland Code and recommends the adoption of Bill No. 24-0549 favorably.

An Ordinance permitting, subject to certain conditions, the conversion of a single-family dwelling unit to 2 dwelling units in the R-8 Zoning District on the property known as 1002 West Lanvale Street (Block 0092, Lot 040), as outlined in red on the accompanying plat; and granting variances from off-street parking requirements; and providing for a special effective date.

Councilmember Costello made a motion, duly seconded, that pursuant to Article 32, § 5-406 of the Baltimore City Code the Council adopt these findings of fact concerning a conditional use.

Findings of Fact

City Council Bill No. 24-0549

**Zoning - Conditional Use Conversion of a Single-Family Dwelling Unit to 2 Dwelling Units
in the R-8 Zoning District - Variances 1002 West Lanvale Street**

- (1) the establishment, location, construction, maintenance, or operation of the conditional use **will not** be detrimental to or endanger the public health, safety, or welfare **for the following reasons:**

Multi-family dwellings are permitted in the R-8 district and staff finds that this use would not be detrimental to or endanger the public health, safety, or welfare.

- (2) the use **would not** be precluded by any other law, including an applicable Urban Renewal Plan:

The use is permitted by the R-8 district and would not conflict with the Harlem Park Urban Renewal Plan.

- (3) the authorization **would not** be contrary to the public interest **for the following reasons:**

Use of this property as a multi-family dwelling is in the public interest because it will provide additional housing options in the community. The proposed use has support from the local community organization.

- (4) the authorization **would** be in harmony with the purpose and intent of this Code **for the following reasons:**

Use of this property as a multi-family dwelling will provide additional housing options in the community with no negative impact on public health, safety, or welfare.

After consideration of the following, where applicable (**fill out all that are *only* relevant**):

- (1) the nature of the proposed site, including its size and shape and the proposed size, shape and arrangement of structures;

1002 Lanvale Street is located on the northwest corner of the street. The lot is irregular and contains approximately 4,400 square feet of space. The lot has an 18' X 52' three-story rowhome which would be the structure converted into two dwelling units.

- (2) the resulting traffic patterns and adequacy of proposed off-street parking and loading;

Staff find that there would be no change in traffic patterns and the Parking Authority finds that there is sufficient on-street parking to accommodate demand.

- (3) the nature of the surrounding area and the extent to which the proposed use might impair its present and future development;

This property is in the eastern Harlem Park neighborhood, which is predominantly residential, with the housing stock largely comprised of row homes. There were no concerns about future or current development presented in the hearing.

- (4) the proximity of dwellings, churches, schools, public structures, and other places of public gathering;

There is reasonable proximity to other dwellings, churches, and other places of worship, schools, public structures, and places of public gathering.

- (5) accessibility of the premises for emergency vehicles;

There is adequate accessibility for emergency vehicles.

- (6) accessibility of light and air to the premises and to the property in the vicinity;

There is adequate accessibility of light and air to the premises.

Journal of the City Council

- (7) the type and location of adequate utilities, access roads, drainage, and other necessary facilities that have been or will be provided;

There are adequate utilities, roads, drainage, and other necessary facilities.

- (8) the preservation of cultural and historic landmarks and structures;

The proposed use of the existing structure would not affect the preservation of cultural and historical landmarks and structures.

- (9) the character of the neighborhood;

This property is in the Harlem Park neighborhood, which is predominantly residential in nature, with the housing stock largely comprised of row homes. The Committee has received a letter of support from the Harlem Park Neighborhood Council.

- (10) the provisions of the City's Comprehensive Master Plan;

While consistent with provisions of the City's Comprehensive Master Plan, the proposed use is not prevented or limited by any Urban Renewal Plan.

- (11) the provisions of any applicable Urban Renewal Plan;

The proposed use is not prevented or limited by the Harlem Park Urban Renewal Plan which applies to the property.

- (12) all applicable standards and requirements of this Code;

The proposed use requires a variance from the off-street parking requirements. With the variance, the use meets all applicable standards and requirements of the Zoning Code. The Parking Authority has noted that the rear of the lot does not currently support any parking and does not seem accessible via a public right of way.

- (13) the intent and purpose of this Code; and

The proposed use is consistent with the intent and purpose of the Zoning Code.

- (14) any other matters considered to be in the interest of the general welfare.

The proposed use is consistent with any other matters considered to be in the interest of the general welfare.

Councilmember Costello made a motion, duly seconded and pursuant to the applicable sections of Article 32 of the Baltimore City Code, that the Council adopt these findings of fact concerning any variances of applicable standards for:

City Council Bill No. 24-0459

1002 West Lanvale Street

**Variance for:
Off-Street Parking Requirements**

Threshold Question:

[x] *In accordance with Section 5-305(c), it has been determined that there is no written decision by the Board of Municipal and Zoning Appeals on an application for this same subject matter.*

Hardship or Practical Difficulty:

The City Council has considered at least one of the following:
(check all that apply to evidence consideration)

[x] The physical surroundings around the **Structure/ Land** involved;
(underline one)

[x] The shape of the **Structure/ Land** involved;
(underline one)

[] The topographical conditions of the **Structure/ Land** involved;
(underline one)

and finds either that:

(1) An unnecessary hardship **Would / **Would Not**** exist if the strict letter of the applicable requirement from which the variance is sought were applied because:

The Parking Authority has noted that the rear of the lot does not currently support any parking and does not seem accessible via a public right of way. The Parking Authority also finds that there is sufficient on-street parking to meet demand.

or that:

(2) Practical difficulty **Would / **Would Not**** exist if the strict letter of the applicable

requirement from which the variance is sought were applied because:

Source of Findings:

(check all that apply)

Planning Report – dated August 2, 2024, including the Department of Planning Staff Report, dated July 11, 2024

Testimony presented at the Committee hearing:

Oral – Witnesses Names:

Michele Toth – Law Dept
Hillary Ruley – Law Dept
Eric Tiso, Planning Commission
Christian McNeill, Department of Transportation
Jason Wright, Department of Housing and Community Development
Kris Misage, Parking Authority
Ty’lor Schnella – Mayor’s Office of Government Relations

Written – Authors’ Names:

Department of Transportation, Agency Report – Dated August 2, 2024
Board of Municipal and Zoning Appeals, Agency Report – Dated June 17, 2024
Law Department, Agency Report – Dated August 27, 2024
Department of Housing and Community Development, Agency Report – July 23, 2024
Baltimore Development Corporation, Agency Report – Dated July 15, 2024
Fire Department, Agency Report – June 28, 2024
Parking Authority, Agency Report – Dated July 22, 2024

Ways and Means Committee:

Eric Costello, Chair
Danielle McCray
Sharon Green Middleton
Robert Stokes

Findings of Fact adopted.

Favorable report adopted.

The bill was read the second time and ordered printed for third reading.

**BILL NO. 24-0572 REPORTED FAVORABLY
AND ORDERED PRINTED FOR THIRD READING**

Councilmember Costello, for the Ways and Means Committee, reported that the Committee has complied with the Land Use Article of the Maryland Code and recommends the adoption of Bill No. 24-0572 favorably.

An Ordinance permitting, subject to certain conditions, the conversion of a single-family dwelling unit to 3 dwelling units in the R-8 Zoning District on the property known as 1730 North Broadway (Block 1109, Lot 053), as outlined in red on the accompanying plat; and granting variances from certain bulk regulations (lot area size), gross floor area per unit type, and off-street parking requirements; and providing for a special effective date.

Councilmember Costello made a motion, duly seconded, that pursuant to Article 32, § 5-406 of the Baltimore City Code the Council adopt these findings of fact concerning a conditional use.

Findings of Fact

City Council Bill No. 24-0572

**Zoning - Conditional Use Conversion of a Single-Family Dwelling Unit to 2 Dwelling Units
in the R-8 Zoning District - Variances 1730 North Broadway**

- (1) the establishment, location, construction, maintenance, or operation of the conditional use **will not** be detrimental to or endanger the public health, safety, or welfare **for the following reasons:**

Multi-family dwellings are permitted in the R-8 district and staff finds that this use would not be detrimental to or endanger the public health, safety, or welfare.

- (2) the use **would not** be precluded by any other law, including an applicable Urban Renewal Plan:

The use is permitted by the R-8 district and would not conflict with the Oliver Urban Renewal Plan.

- (3) the authorization **would not** be contrary to the public interest **for the following reasons:**

Use of this property as a multi-family dwelling is in the public interest because it will provide additional housing options in the community. The proposed use has support from the local community organization.

- (4) the authorization **would** be in harmony with the purpose and intent of this Code **for the following reasons:**

Use of this property as a multi-family dwelling will provide additional housing options in the community with no negative impact on public health, safety, or welfare.

After consideration of the following, where applicable (**fill out all that are *only* relevant**):

- (1) the nature of the proposed site, including its size and shape and the proposed size, shape and arrangement of structures;

1730 North Broadway is located on the west side of the street. The lot is 15'5" X 100'. It as a three-story rowhome measuring 15'5" x 68'.

- (2) the resulting traffic patterns and adequacy of proposed off-street parking and loading;

Staff find that there would be no change in traffic patterns and the Parking Authority finds that there is sufficient on-street parking to accommodate demand.

- (3) the nature of the surrounding area and the extent to which the proposed use might impair its present and future development;

This property is in the northeastern Oliver neighborhood, which is predominantly residential in nature, with the housing stock largely comprised of row homes. There are scattered institutional and small commercial uses throughout the neighborhood.

- (4) the proximity of dwellings, churches, schools, public structures, and other places of public gathering;

There is reasonable proximity to other dwellings, churches, and other places of worship, schools, public structures, and places of public gathering.

- (5) accessibility of the premises for emergency vehicles;

There is adequate accessibility for emergency vehicles.

- (6) accessibility of light and air to the premises and to the property in the vicinity;

There is adequate accessibility of light and air to the premises.

- (7) the type and location of adequate utilities, access roads, drainage, and other necessary facilities that have been or will be provided;

There are adequate utilities, roads, drainage, and other necessary facilities.

- (8) the preservation of cultural and historic landmarks and structures;

The proposed use of the existing structure would not affect the preservation of cultural and historical landmarks and structures.

- (9) the character of the neighborhood;

This property is in the Oliver neighborhood, which is predominantly residential in nature, with the housing stock largely comprised of row homes. The Committee has received a letter of support from the Historic Oliver Community Association.

- (10) the provisions of the City's Comprehensive Master Plan;

While consistent with provisions of the City's Comprehensive Master Plan, the proposed use is not prevented or limited by any Urban Renewal Plan.

- (11) the provisions of any applicable Urban Renewal Plan;

The proposed use is not prevented or limited by the Oliver Urban Renewal Plan which applies to the property.

- (12) all applicable standards and requirements of this Code;

The proposed use requires a variance from the off-street parking requirements. With the variance, the use meets all applicable standards and requirements of the Zoning Code.

- (13) the intent and purpose of this Code; and

The proposed use is consistent with the intent and purpose of the Zoning Code.

- (14) any other matters considered to be in the interest of the general welfare.

The proposed use is consistent with any other matters considered to be in the interest of the general welfare.

Councilmember Costello made a motion, duly seconded and pursuant to the applicable sections of Article 32 of the Baltimore City Code, that the Council adopt these findings of fact concerning any variances of applicable standards for:

Journal of the City Council

City Council Bill No. 24-0572

1730 North Broadway

**Variance for:
Off-Street Parking Requirements**

Threshold Question:

[x] *In accordance with Section 5-305(c), it has been determined that there is no written decision by the Board of Municipal and Zoning Appeals on an application for this same subject matter.*

Hardship or Practical Difficulty:

The City Council has considered at least one of the following:
(check all that apply to evidence consideration)

- [] The physical surroundings around the **Structure/ Land** involved;
(underline one)
- [x] The shape of the **Structure/ Land** involved;
(underline one)
- [] The topographical conditions of the **Structure/ Land** involved;
(underline one)

*and finds **either** that:*

- (1) An unnecessary hardship **Would / Would Not** exist if the strict letter of the applicable requirement from which the variance is sought were applied because:

The property has one off-street space in the rear of the property – staff finds that the lot cannot support additional spaces – the lot itself is noted to be irregular in the planning staff report. The Parking Authority finds that there is sufficient on-street parking to meet demand.

or that:

- (2) Practical difficulty **Would / Would Not** exist if the strict letter of the applicable requirement from which the variance is sought were applied because:

City Council Bill No. 24-0572

1730 North Broadway

Variance for:
Gross Floor Area

Threshold Question:

[x] *In accordance with Section 5-305(c), it has been determined that there is no written decision by the Board of Municipal and Zoning Appeals on an application for this same subject matter.*

Hardship or Practical Difficulty:

The City Council has considered at least one of the following:
(check all that apply to evidence consideration)

- [] The physical surroundings around the **Structure/ Land** involved;
(underline one)
- [x] The shape of the **Structure/ Land** involved;
(underline one)
- [] The topographical conditions of the **Structure/ Land** involved;
(underline one)

and finds either that:

- (1) An unnecessary hardship **Would / Would Not** exist if the strict letter of the applicable
(underline one)
requirement from which the variance is sought were applied because:

or that:

- (2) Practical difficulty **Would / Would Not** exist if the strict letter of the applicable
(underline one)
requirement from which the variance is sought were applied because:

The property will need variances for the second and third-floor units because they will lack the 1,000 square feet of space for the conversion standards. According to a statement by the applicant, this is due to the irregularity of the lot.

Journal of the City Council

City Council Bill No. 24-0572

1730 North Broadway

**Variance for:
Bulk Yard - Lot Area**

Threshold Question:

[x] *In accordance with Section 5-305(c), it has been determined that there is no written decision by the Board of Municipal and Zoning Appeals on an application for this same subject matter.*

Hardship or Practical Difficulty:

The City Council has considered at least one of the following:
(check all that apply to evidence consideration)

[] The physical surroundings around the **Structure/ Land** involved;
(underline one)

[x] The shape of the **Structure/ Land** involved;
(underline one)

[] The topographical conditions of the **Structure/ Land** involved;
(underline one)

and finds either that:

(1) An unnecessary hardship **Would / Would Not** exist if the strict letter of the applicable
(underline one)
requirement from which the variance is sought were applied because:

or that:

(2) Practical difficulty **Would / Would Not** exist if the strict letter of the applicable
(underline one)
requirement from which the variance is sought were applied because:

The property will need a variance for the size of the lot which does not meet the conversion standard listed in the zoning code. The zoning code requires 1,875' and the lot provides 1,465.75'. Thus, a variance is needed.

Source of Findings:

(check all that apply)

Planning Report – dated August 23, 2024, including the Department of Planning Staff Report, dated August 22, 2024.

Testimony presented at the Committee hearing:

Oral – Witnesses Names:

Michele Toth – Law Dept
Hillary Ruley – Law Dept
Eric Tiso – Planning Commission
Christian McNeill – Department of Transportation
Jason Wright – Department of Housing and Community Development
Kris Misage – Parking Authority
Ty’lor Schnella – Mayor’s Office of Government Relations

Written – Authors’ Names:

Department of Transportation, Agency Report – Dated August 7, 2024
Board of Municipal and Zoning Appeals, Agency Report – Dated July 22, 2024
Law Department, Agency Report – Dated September 24, 2024
Department of Housing and Community Development, Agency Report –
September 10, 2024
Baltimore Development Corporation, Agency Report – Dated August 27, 2024
Fire Department, Agency Report – Dated July 30, 2024
Parking Authority, Agency Report – Dated August 13, 2024

Ways and Means Committee:

Eric Costello, Chair
Danielle McCray
Sharon Green Middleton
Robert Stokes

Findings of Fact adopted.

Favorable report adopted.

The bill was read the second time and ordered printed for third reading.

**BILL NO. 24-0575 REPORTED FAVORABLY, WITH AMENDMENTS,
AND ORDERED PRINTED FOR THIRD READING**

Councilmember Costello, for the Ways and Means Committee, reported Bill No. 24-0575 favorably, with amendments.

An Ordinance condemning and closing certain streets and alleys, bounded by West Mulberry Street, North Schroeder Street, West Fairmount Avenue and North Stockton Street, lying within the Poppleton Urban Renewal Area, as shown on a plat numbered 343-A-27A, Sheets 1 through 6, dated January 16, 2024, prepared by the Survey Section and filed in the Office of the Department of Transportation; and providing for a special effective date.

Committee Amendments to City Council Bill No. 24-0575

Amendment No. 1

On page 1, in line 7, strike “1 through 6,” and substitute “1, 3, 5, and 6,”; and, strike beginning with line 34 on page 2 down through and including line 31 on page 3; and, on page 4, strike lines 13 through 29 in their entirety.

The amendments were read and adopted.

Favorable report, as amended, adopted.

The bill, as amended, was read the second time and ordered printed for third reading.

**BILL NO. 24-0578 REPORTED FAVORABLY
AND ORDERED PRINTED FOR THIRD READING**

Councilmember Costello, for the Ways and Means Committee, reported that the Committee has complied with the Land Use Article of the Maryland Code and recommends the adoption of Bill No. 24-0578 favorably.

An Ordinance permitting, subject to certain conditions, the conversion of a single-family dwelling unit to 3 dwelling units in the R-8 Zoning District on the property known as 2732 Reisterstown Road (Block 3250, Lot 017), as outlined in red on the accompanying plat; and granting variances from off-street parking requirements; and providing for a special effective date.

Councilmember Costello made a motion, duly seconded, that pursuant to Article 32, § 5-406 of the Baltimore City Code the Council adopt these findings of fact concerning a conditional use.

Findings of Fact

City Council Bill No. 24-0578

Zoning – Conditional Use Conversion of a Single-Family Dwelling Unit to a 3 Dwelling Unit in the R-8 Zoning District – 2732 Reisterstown Road

- (1) the establishment, location, construction, maintenance, or operation of the conditional use **will not** be detrimental to or endanger the public health, safety, or welfare **for the following reasons:**

Staff reporting on this found that the proposed use would not be detrimental or endanger public health.

- (2) the use **would not** be precluded by any other law, including an applicable Urban Renewal Plan:

The subject property is not located in an area or subject to any law that would preclude this use.

- (3) the authorization **would not** be contrary to the public interest **for the following reasons:**

The proposed use would not contradict the public interest and has received support from a local community organization.

- (4) the authorization **would** be in harmony with the purpose and intent of this Code **for the following reasons:**

Staff finds that this use would be in harmony with the Code. In its equity consideration, the Planning Department has found that given its prior use as multifamily housing – there would be no additional or negative impacts from this use.

After consideration of the following, where applicable (**fill out all that are *only* relevant**):

- (1) the nature of the proposed site, including its size and shape and the proposed size, shape and arrangement of structures;

This property is located on the southwest side of the street. It measures 25'X 145' and includes a 3-story building measuring 25'X56'

Journal of the City Council

- (2) the resulting traffic patterns and adequacy of proposed off-street parking and loading;

Staff found no predictable change to the traffic flow that would result from the approval of this use.

- (3) the nature of the surrounding area and the extent to which the proposed use might impair its present and future development;

This is a primarily residential area, with scattered non-residential uses such as religious institutions and small street-corner commercial uses. There are no predicted impacts on current or future development as a result of this use.

- (4) the proximity of dwellings, churches, schools, public structures, and other places of public gathering;

There is reasonable proximity of other dwellings, churches, and other places of worship, schools, public structures, and places of public gathering.

- (5) accessibility of the premises for emergency vehicles;

There is adequate accessibility of the premises for emergency vehicles.

- (6) accessibility of light and air to the premises and to the property in the vicinity;

There is adequate light and air to the premises and properties in the vicinity.

- (7) the type and location of adequate utilities, access roads, drainage, and other necessary facilities that have been or will be provided;

Adequate utilities, access roads, drainage, and other necessary facilities have been provided.

- (8) the preservation of cultural and historic landmarks and structures;

The proposed use of the existing structure would not affect the preservation of cultural and historical landmarks and structures.

- (9) the character of the neighborhood;

This site is part of the Liberty Square neighborhood. It is primarily residential.

- (10) the provisions of the City's Comprehensive Master Plan;

The proposed use is consistent with the Comprehensive Master Plan for Baltimore.

(11) the provisions of any applicable Urban Renewal Plan;

The proposed use is not prevented or limited by any Urban Renewal Plan.

(12) all applicable standards and requirements of this Code;

The proposed use would meet all applicable standards and requirements of the Zoning Code.

(13) the intent and purpose of this Code; and

The proposed use is consistent with the intent and purpose of the Zoning Code.

(14) any other matters considered to be in the interest of the general welfare.

The proposed use is consistent with any other matters considered to be in the interest of the general welfare.

Source of Findings:

(check all that apply)

Planning Report – dated September 13, 2024, including the Department of Planning Staff Report, dated September 12, 2024

Testimony presented at the Committee hearing:

Oral – Witnesses Names:

Elena DiPetro – Law Dept
Jason Wright – DHCD
Eric Tiso – Planning Dept
Sean Eames – Fire Dept
Ty’lor Schnellla – Office of Government Relations
Christian McNeil – Dept of Transportation
Kris Misage – Parking Authority

Written – Authors’ Names:

Department of Transportation, Agency Report – Dated September 3, 2024
Board of Municipal and Zoning Appeals, Agency Report – Dated August 27, 2024
Law Department, Agency Report – Dated October 4, 2024
Department of Housing and Community Development, Agency Report – Dated October 8, 2024

Baltimore Development Corporation, Agency Report – Dated September 24, 2024
 Parking Authority, Agency Report – Dated October 4, 2024
 Baltimore Fire Department Report – Dated September 5, 2024

Ways and Means Committee:

Eric Costello, Chair
 Sharon Green Middleton
 Robert Stokes
 Danielle McCray

Findings of Fact adopted.

Favorable report adopted.

The bill was read the second time and ordered printed for third reading.

**BILL NO. 24-0579 REPORTED FAVORABLY
 AND ORDERED PRINTED FOR THIRD READING**

Councilmember Costello, for the Ways and Means Committee, reported that the Committee has complied with the Land Use Article of the Maryland Code and recommends the adoption of Bill No. 24-0579 favorably.

An Ordinance permitting, subject to certain conditions, the conversion of a single-family dwelling unit to 2 dwelling units in the R-8 Zoning District on the property known as 523 North Calhoun Street (Block 0125, Lot 043), as outlined in red on the accompanying plat; and granting variances from off-street parking requirements; and providing for a special effective date.

Councilmember Costello made a motion, duly seconded, that pursuant to Article 32, § 5-406 of the Baltimore City Code the Council adopt these findings of fact concerning a conditional use.

Findings of Fact

City Council Bill No. 24-0579

**Zoning - Conditional Use Conversion of a Single-Family Dwelling Unit to 2 Dwelling Units
 in the R-8 Zoning District - Variances - 523 North Calhoun Street**

- (1) the establishment, location, construction, maintenance, or operation of the conditional use **will not** be detrimental to or endanger the public health, safety, or welfare **for the following reasons:**

Multi-family dwellings are permitted in the R-8 district and staff finds that this use would not be detrimental to or endanger the public health, safety, or welfare.

- (2) the use **would not** be precluded by any other law, including an applicable Urban Renewal Plan:

The use is permitted by the R-8 district and would not conflict with the Harlem Park II Urban Renewal Plan.

- (3) the authorization **would not** be contrary to the public interest **for the following reasons:**

Use of this property as a multi-family dwelling is in the public interest because it will provide additional housing options in the community. The proposed use has support from the local community organization.

- (4) the authorization **would** be in harmony with the purpose and intent of this Code **for the following reasons:**

Use of this property as a multi-family dwelling will provide additional housing options in the community with no negative impact on public health, safety, or welfare.

After consideration of the following, where applicable (**fill out all that are *only* relevant**):

- (1) the nature of the proposed site, including its size and shape and the proposed size, shape and arrangement of structures;

523 North Calhoun Street is located on the east side of the street, the property itself measures 16'9" X 108'. It currently has a three-story rowhome measuring 16'9" x 60'.

- (2) the resulting traffic patterns and adequacy of proposed off-street parking and loading;

Staff find that there would be no change in traffic patterns and the Parking Authority finds that there is sufficient on-street parking to accommodate demand.

- (3) the nature of the surrounding area and the extent to which the proposed use might impair its present and future development;

This property is in the Harlem Park neighborhood, which is predominantly residential in nature, with the housing stock largely comprised of row homes. There are scattered institutional and small commercial uses throughout the neighborhood.

Journal of the City Council

- (4) the proximity of dwellings, churches, schools, public structures, and other places of public gathering;

There is reasonable proximity of other dwellings, churches, and other places of worship, schools, public structures, and places of public gathering.

- (5) accessibility of the premises for emergency vehicles;

There is adequate accessibility for emergency vehicles.

- (6) accessibility of light and air to the premises and to the property in the vicinity;

There is adequate accessibility of light and air to the premises.

- (7) the type and location of adequate utilities, access roads, drainage, and other necessary facilities that have been or will be provided;

There are adequate utilities, roads, drainage, and other necessary facilities.

- (8) the preservation of cultural and historic landmarks and structures;

The proposed use of the existing structure would not affect the preservation of cultural and historical landmarks and structures.

- (9) the character of the neighborhood;

This property is located in the Harlem Park neighborhood, which is predominantly residential in nature, with the housing stock largely comprised of row homes. The Committee has received a letter of support from the Harlem Park Neighborhood Council.

- (10) the provisions of the City's Comprehensive Master Plan;

While consistent with provisions of the City's Comprehensive Master Plan, the proposed use is not prevented or limited by any Urban Renewal Plan.

- (11) the provisions of any applicable Urban Renewal Plan;

The proposed use is not prevented or limited by the Harlem Park II Urban Renewal Plan which is applicable to the property.

(12) all applicable standards and requirements of this Code;

The proposed use requires a variance from the off-street parking requirements. With the variance, the use meets all applicable standards and requirements of the Zoning Code.

(13) the intent and purpose of this Code; and

The proposed use is consistent with the intent and purpose of the Zoning Code.

(14) any other matters considered to be in the interest of the general welfare.

The proposed use is consistent with any other matters considered to be in the interest of the general welfare.

Councilmember Costello made a motion, duly seconded and pursuant to the applicable sections of Article 32 of the Baltimore City Code, that the Council adopt these findings of fact concerning any variances of applicable standards for:

City Council Bill No. 24-0579

523 North Calhoun Street

Variance from Off-street Parking Requirements

Threshold Question:

In accordance with Section 5-305(c), it has been determined that there is no written decision by the Board of Municipal and Zoning Appeals on an application for this same subject matter.

Hardship or Practical Difficulty:

The City Council has considered at least one of the following:
(check all that apply to evidence consideration)

The physical surroundings around the **Structure/ Land** involved;
(underline one)

The shape of the **Structure/ Land** involved;
(underline one)

[] The topographical conditions of the **Structure/ Land** involved;
(underline one)

and finds either that:

(1) An unnecessary hardship **Would / Would Not** exist if the strict letter of the applicable
(underline one)
 requirement from which the variance is sought were applied because:

The property was previously used as a 3-dwelling unit building – but never provided parking. Previous parking requirements were waived. According to the report from the Parking Authority the rear of the lot does not appear to be accessible by vehicle from a public right of way.

or that:

(2) Practical difficulty **Would / Would Not** exist if the strict letter of the applicable
(underline one)
 requirement from which the variance is sought were applied because:

Source of Findings:

(check all that apply)

[x] Planning Report – dated September 13, 2024, including the Department of Planning Staff Report, dated September 12, 2024

[x] Testimony presented at the Committee hearing:

[x] Oral – Witnesses Names:

Michele Toth, Law Department
 Hillary Ruley, Dept of Law
 Eric Tiso, Planning Commission
 Christian McNeill, Department of Transportation
 Jason Wright, Department of Housing and Community Development
 Kris Misage, Parking Authority
 Ty’lor Schnella – Mayor’s Office of Government Relations

[x] Written – Authors’ Names:

Department of Transportation, Agency Report – Dated September 3, 2024
 Board of Municipal and Zoning Appeals, Agency Report – Dated August 27,
 2024
 Law Department, Agency Report – Dated September 20, 2024

Department of Housing and Community Development, Agency Report – October 8, 2024
Baltimore Development Corporation, Agency Report – Dated September 4, 2024
Fire Department, Agency Report –
Parking Authority, Agency Report – Dated September 27, 2024

Ways and Means Committee:

Eric Costello, Chair
Danielle McCray
Sharon Green Middleton
Robert Stokes

Findings of Fact adopted.

Favorable report adopted.

The bill was read the second time and ordered printed for third reading.

**BILL NO. 24-0581 REPORTED FAVORABLY, WITH AMENDMENTS
AND ORDERED PRINTED FOR THIRD READING**

Councilmember Costello, for the Ways and Means Committee, reported that the Committee has complied with §§ 10-304 and 10-305 of the Land Use Article of the Maryland Annotated Code and Article 32 § 5-508 of the Baltimore City Code and recommends the adoption of Bill No. 24-0581 favorably.

An Ordinance changing the zoning for the property known as 200 North Central Avenue (Block 1320, Lot 001), as outlined in red on the accompanying plat, from the EC-2 Zoning District to the C-2 Zoning District.

Findings of Fact

**City Council Bill No. 24-0581
Rezoning - 200 North Central Avenue**

Upon finding as follows with regard to:

(1) Population changes;

The area has seen a decrease in population between the 2010 & 2020 census. However recent development north of the property will likely lead to an increase in population.

(2) The availability of public facilities;

Journal of the City Council

The area is well-served by public facilities.

(3) Present and future transportation patterns:

The Department of Transportation supports this bill and with the Department of Planning does not foresee any major changes to the traffic in the area.

(4) Compatibility with existing and proposed development for the area;

This would be consistent with the proposed development in the area. The area's PSO (Perkins, Somerset, Oldtown) Transformation Plan calls for this rezoning. The Planning Department is supporting an amendment to the bill that would include an additional address to this rezoning.

(5) The recommendations of the City agencies and officials, including the Baltimore City Planning Commission and the Board of Municipal and Zoning Appeals;

City Solicitor:	Approve for form and sufficiency
Dept. of Housing & Community Development:	Favorable
Baltimore Development Corporation:	Favorable
Parking Authority:	Favorable
Department of Transportation:	No Objection
BMZA:	Defers to Planning
Planning Commission:	Favorable with Amendment

(6) The proposed amendment's relationship to and consistency with the City's Comprehensive Master Plan;

This rezoning will not impact any relevant or currently proposed plan.

(7) Existing uses of property within the general area of the property in question;

The area around the property currently includes fast food, senior housing, a public library, secondary school & multi-family housing.

(8) The zoning classification of other property within the general area of the property in question;

There are properties zoned C-2 & R-10 along Orleans Street & to the south properties are zoned R-8

(9) The suitability of the property in question for the uses permitted under its existing zoning classification;

The property is a former city school which agency reports believe is well suited for C-2 purposes including multi-family residences.

- (10) The trend of development, if any, in the general area of the property in question, including changes, if any, that have taken place since the property in question was placed in its present classification;

There is a trend of increasing development in the area driven by the PSO Transformation plan which will include new multi-family housing, a grocery store, and a park.

- (11) For a rezoning based on a SUBSTANTIAL CHANGE IN THE CHARACTER OF THE NEIGHBORHOOD, the following facts establish the substantial change since the time of the last comprehensive rezoning;

A dynamic population that has seen some decline in recent years but is positioned to see increased density with the proposed development currently happening in the area.

- (12) For a rezoning based on a MISTAKE in the existing zoning classification, the following facts establish that at the time of the last comprehensive zoning the Council failed to consider then existing facts, or projects or trends which were reasonably foreseeable and/or that events occurring subsequent to the comprehensive zoning have proven that the Council’s initial premises were incorrect;

SOURCE OF FINDINGS (Check all that apply):

- Planning Report – Planning Commission’s Memo, dated September 12, 2024
- Testimony presented at the Committee hearing

Oral – Witness Name:

- Desiree Luckey – Department of Law
- Eric Tiso – Department of Planning
- Jason Wright - Department of Housing and Community Development
- Christian McNeill – Department of Transportation
- Ty’lor Schnellla – Mayor’s Office of Government Relations
- Kris Misage – Parking Authority

Written – Submitted by:

- Planning Department Staff Report – Dated September 12, 2024
- Baltimore Development Corporation Report – Dated September 25, 2024
- Department of Transportation, Agency Report – Dated September 3, 2024
- Board of Municipal and Zoning Appeals, Agency Report – Dated August 27, 2024

Law Department, Agency Report – Dated October 4, 2024

Department of Housing and Community Development, Agency Report – Dated October 8, 2024

Parking Authority, Agency Report – Dated September 25, 2024

Ways and Means Committee:

Eric Costello, Chair
Sharon Green Middleton
Robert Stokes
Danielle McCray

Findings of Fact adopted.

Committee Amendments to City Council Bill No. 24-0581

Amendment No. 1

On page 1, in line 2, after “Avenue” insert “and 249 Aisquith Street”; and, on that same page, in line 3, strike “property” and substitute “properties”; and, on that same page, in line 4, strike “(Block 1320, Lot 001),” and substitute “(Block 1320, Lot 001) and 249 Aisquith Street (Block 1320, Lot 001A),”; and, on that same page, in line 14, strike “property” and substitute “properties”; and, on that same page, in that same line, strike “(Block 1320, Lot 001),” and substitute “(Block 1320, Lot 001) and 249 Aisquith Street (Block 1320, Lot 001A),”.

The amendments were read and adopted.

Favorable report, as amended, adopted.

The bill, as amended, was read the second time and ordered printed for third reading.

**BILL NO. 24-0592 REPORTED FAVORABLY
AND ORDERED PRINTED FOR THIRD READING**

Councilmember Costello, for the Ways and Means Committee, reported that the Committee has complied with the Land Use Article of the Maryland Code and recommends the adoption of Bill No. 24-0592 favorably.

An Ordinance reauthorizing and continuing the permission for, subject to certain conditions, the establishment, maintenance, and operation of an open off-street parking area on the property known as 301 East Lombard Street (aka 300 East Pratt Street) (Block 1381, Lot 002), as outlined in red on the accompanying plat; and providing for a special effective date.

Councilmember Costello made a motion, duly seconded, that pursuant to Article 32, § 5-406 of the Baltimore City Code the Council adopt these findings of fact concerning a conditional use.

Findings of Fact

City Council Bill No. 24-0592

Zoning - Conditional Use Parking Lot - 301 East Lombard Street (a/k/a 300 East Pratt Street)

- (1) the establishment, location, construction, maintenance, or operation of the conditional use **will not** be detrimental to or endanger the public health, safety, or welfare **for the following reasons:**

The Property has operated as a surface parking lot before this authorization. No issues with public health, safety, or welfare have arisen because of this use.

- (2) the use **would not** be precluded by any other law, including an applicable Urban Renewal Plan:

The Property is located within the Inner Harbor Project I Urban Renewal Plan. The Property is designated "commercial residential," for which parking is a permitted use. The use of a surface parking lot is not precluded by any other law.

- (3) the authorization **would not** be contrary to the public interest **for the following reasons:**

The operation of a surface parking lot to serve downtown and Inner Harbor visitors is not contrary to the public interest.

- (4) the authorization **would** be in harmony with the purpose and intent of this Code **for the following reasons:**

The surface parking lot use is in harmony with the purpose of the Zoning Code, as outlined in Section 2-101. Section 2-101 (7) sets forth one of the purposes of the zoning code as "to preserve, protect, and promote the city's employment base." The parking lot use provides access to downtown.

After consideration of the following, where applicable (**fill out all that are *only* relevant**):

- (1) the nature of the proposed site, including its size and shape and the proposed size, shape and arrangement of structures;

Journal of the City Council

The site is narrow and rectangular, with four street frontages (Pratt Street, Lombard Street, Commerce Street, and South Street). There are no structures on the site, and none are planned to be constructed according to this authorization.

- (2) the resulting traffic patterns and adequacy of proposed off-street parking and loading;

The entrances for the parking lot are located on both Commerce Street and South Street, where they can be accessed by north-south traffic traveling in either direction.

The Parking Authority of Baltimore City (PABC) reviewed the proposed legislation and noted a favorable stance.

- (3) the nature of the surrounding area and the extent to which the proposed use might impair its present and future development;

This site is located within the Inner Harbor area, and is located to the east of the Renaissance Harbor Place Hotel and north of the Pratt Street Pavilion and the World Trade Center across East Pratt Street to the south.

The property has operated as a surface parking lot since 1992 and has been continually granted limit-limited authorization, via 5 conditional use ordinances, since then. This ordinance will authorize the parking lot use without a sunset date.

- (4) the proximity of dwellings, churches, schools, public structures, and other places of public gathering;

The Property is located across Pratt Street from the Inner Harbor. Many visitors to the Inner Harbor utilize the parking lot.

- (5) accessibility of the premises for emergency vehicles;

The Property is fully accessible from both South Street and Commerce Street.

- (6) accessibility of light and air to the premises and to the property in the vicinity;

Accessibility of light and air is not an issue, as no structures are being constructed in connection with this ordinance.

- (7) the type and location of adequate utilities, access roads, drainage, and other necessary facilities that have been or will be provided;

The parking lot will continue to utilize the existing utilities, access, and drainage.

(8) the preservation of cultural and historic landmarks and structures;

There are no cultural or historic landmarks or structures on the Property.

(9) the character of the neighborhood;

The Property is located downtown, where there is a significant need for visitor parking.

(10) the provisions of the City’s Comprehensive Master Plan;

The authorization is consistent with the Live-Earn-Play-Learn tenets in the Comprehensive Master Plan, as it allows visitors to access downtown businesses and tourist attractions.

(11) the provisions of any applicable Urban Renewal Plan;

The property is located within the Inner Harbor Project I Urban Renewal Plan. The Property is designated "commercial residential," for which parking is a permitted use. The Property is not within a "Development Area" under the Plan, and no specific land use controls are imposed.

(12) all applicable standards and requirements of this Code;

The parking lot is currently, and will continue to be, compliant with all applicable standards of the Zoning Code.

(13) the intent and purpose of this Code; and

For the reasons discussed in find (4) above, the authorization is consistent with the intent and purpose of the Zoning Code.

(14) any other matters considered to be in the interest of the general welfare.

SOURCE OF FINDINGS (Check all that apply):

[X] Planning Report – dated October 11, 2024.

[X] Testimony presented at the Committee hearing

Oral – Witness Name:

Desiree Luckey, Law Department
Eric Tiso, Planning Commission

Luciano Diaz, Department of Transportation
Jason Wright, Department of Housing and Community Development
Sean Eames, Fire Department
Mica Fetz, Baltimore Development Corporation
Kris Misage, Parking Authority
Ty'lor Schnellla, Mayor's Office
Zachary Wellman, Office of Equity & Civil Rights

Written – Submitted by:

Department of Transportation, Agency Report - Dated October 3, 2024
Board of Municipal and Zoning Appeals, Agency Report - Dated September 16, 2024
Law Department, Agency Report - Dated October 11, 2024
Office of Equity & Civil Rights - Dated October 15, 2024
Department of Housing and Community Development, Agency Report - Dated October 15, 2024
Baltimore Development Corporation, Agency Report - Dated October 8, 2024
Fire Department, Agency Report - Dated September 26, 2024
Parking Authority, Agency Report - Dated October 3, 2024

Ways and Means Committee:

Eric Costello, Chair
Kristerfer Burnett
Danielle McCray
Robert Stokes

Findings of Fact adopted.

Favorable report adopted.

The bill was read the second time and ordered printed for third reading.

**BILL NO. 24-0593 REPORTED FAVORABLY
AND ORDERED PRINTED FOR THIRD READING**

Councilmember Costello, for the Ways and Means Committee, reported Bill No. 24-0593 favorably.

An Ordinance repealing and replacing Ordinance 24-362 due to clerical error; maintaining the City's authorization to issue revenue obligations within the maximum aggregate principal amount of the revenue obligations that may be outstanding authorized and calculated, as of June 30 of each year by the City to finance or refinance water facilities and, in that connection, amending and restating Ordinance 02-331, as amended by Ordinances 04-687, 7-420, 11-429, and 14-292; authorizing the Board of Finance to specify, prescribe, determine, provide for, approve, and amend the form, terms, provisions, manner or method of issuing and selling, the time or times of issuance, and all other details of the revenue obligations and any other matters necessary or desirable in connection with the authorization, issuance, sale, and payment of these revenue obligations; providing for a special effective date; and generally relating to the issuance and payment of revenue obligations.

Favorable report adopted.

The bill was read the second time and ordered printed for third reading.

**BILL NO. 24-0596 REPORTED FAVORABLY
AND ORDERED RETURNED TO COMMITTEE**

Councilmember Costello, for the Ways and Means Committee, reported Bill No. 24-0593 favorably.

An Ordinance authorizing the Mayor and City Council of Baltimore to sell, at either public or private sale, all its interest in certain property that is located at 1400 Leadenhall Street (Block 0987, Lot 003) and is no longer needed for public use; and providing for a special effective date.

Councilmember Costello moved Council Rule 10-13 to return the bill to Committee.

The bill was ordered returned to the Ways and Means Committee for further proceedings.

THIRD READING

The President laid before the City Council:

BILL NO. 24-0552 - An Ordinance permitting, subject to certain conditions, the continued operation and expansion of a retail goods establishment (with alcoholic beverage sales) on the property known as the Pinehurst Wine Shoppe, 6242 Bellona Avenue (Block 4976, Lot 011), as outlined in red on the accompanying plat; granting a variance from corner side-yard setback requirements; and providing for a special effective date.

It was read the third time.

The bill being on its final passage, the yeas and nays were called, resulting as follows:

Yeas - Councilmembers Cohen, Dorsey, Conway, Schleifer, Middleton, Torrence, Bullock, Costello, Stokes, Ramos - Total 10.

Nays - 0.

Absent - President and Councilmembers Glover, Porter, Burnett, McCray - Total 5.

The bill was read and approved, and the bill was declared "Passed".

The President laid before the City Council:

BILL NO. 24-0585 - An Ordinance providing a Supplementary Special Revenue Fund Operating Appropriation in the amount of \$2,165,000.00 to M-R: Miscellaneous General Expenses - Service 122 (Miscellaneous General Expenses), Project Fund 6000-SPC006011-RC0609 (SI002046 - Baltimore County - Center Place Road Extension Project), to provide funding for the purchase of real property; and providing for a special effective date.

It was read the third time.

The bill being on its final passage, the yeas and nays were called, resulting as follows:

Yeas - Councilmembers Cohen, Dorsey, Conway, Schleifer, Middleton, Torrence, Bullock, Costello, Stokes, Ramos - Total 10.

Nays - 0.

Absent - President and Councilmembers Glover, Porter, Burnett, McCray - Total 5.

The bill was read and approved, and the bill was declared "Passed".

REQUEST FOR RETURN PURSUANT TO RULE 13-3

Councilmember Dorsey made a motion, duly seconded to return Bill No. 23-0367 due to a clerical error.

The motion carried without exception. Councilmember Dorsey presented the following amendments to correct for the clerical error.

Corrective Amendments to Bill No. 23-0367

Amendment No. 1

Strike beginning with line 2 on page 1 down through and including line 31 on page 6 and substitute:

“FOR the purpose of prohibiting the use of gas-powered debris removal equipment in Baltimore City; defining certain terms; establishing procedures for reporting the use of gas-powered debris removal equipment; establishing certain penalties; and providing for a special effective date. special effective dates.

BY repealing and re-ordaining with amendments

Article 1. Mayor, City Council, and Municipal Agencies
Section Sections 40-14(e)(7) and 41-14(6)
Baltimore City Revised Code
(Edition 2000)

BY renumbering

Health Article
Sections ~~8-301~~ and ~~8-302~~
to be new sections ~~8-401~~ and ~~8-402~~; Section 8-301, to be new section 8-401, to be
under the new subtitle designation,
“Subtitle 4. Penalties”
Baltimore City Revised Code
(Edition 2000)

BY adding

Health Article
New sections 8-301 to 8-303, to be under the new subtitle designation,
“Subtitle 3. Gas-Powered Debris Removal Equipment”
Baltimore City Revised Code
(Edition 2000)

BY adding

Health Article
Section ~~8-403~~ 8-402
Baltimore City Revised Code
(Edition 2000)

SECTION 1. BE IT ORDAINED BY THE MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL OF BALTIMORE, That the Laws of Baltimore City read as follows:

Baltimore City Code

Article 1. Mayor, City Council, and Municipal Agencies

Subtitle 40. Environmental Control Board

§ 40-14. Violations to which subtitle applies.

(e) Provisions and penalties enumerated.

(7) Health Code

...

Title 8: Air Pollution \$100

SUBTITLE 3: GAS-POWERED DEBRIS REMOVAL EQUIPMENT

§ 8-303. PROHIBITION OF GAS-POWERED DEBRIS REMOVAL EQUIPMENT
SUBSEQUENT OFFENSE \$250

Subtitle 41. Civil Citations

§ 41-14. Offenses to which subtitle applies – Listing.

...

(6) Health Code

...

Title 8: Air Pollution \$100

SUBTITLE 3: GAS-POWERED DEBRIS REMOVAL EQUIPMENT

§ 8-303. PROHIBITION OF GAS-POWERED DEBRIS REMOVAL
EQUIPMENT
SUBSEQUENT OFFENSE \$250

ALL OTHER OFFENSES \$1,000

...

Article – Health

SUBTITLE 3. GAS-POWERED DEBRIS REMOVAL EQUIPMENT

§ 8-301. DEFINITIONS

(A) IN GENERAL.

IN THIS SUBTITLE, THE FOLLOWING WORDS HAVE THE MEANINGS INDICATED.

(B) AIR POLLUTION.

“AIR POLLUTION” HAS THE MEANING STATED IN § 8-101(B) OF THIS TITLE.

(C) CONTRACTOR.

“CONTRACTOR” MEANS A PERSON, FIRM, OR LEGAL ENTITY WITH WHICH THE CITY HAS ENTERED INTO AN AGREEMENT.

(D) ~~(E)~~ DEBRIS.

(1) IN GENERAL.

“DEBRIS” MEANS ANY SOLID MATERIAL OR PORTION OF A SOLID MATERIAL INTENDED FOR DISPOSAL.

(2) INCLUSIONS.

“DEBRIS” INCLUDES:

(I) MANUFACTURED OBJECTS;

(II) PLANT MATERIALS;

(III) ANIMAL MATERIALS; AND

(IV) NATURAL GEOLOGIC MATERIALS.

(E) ~~(D)~~ ENFORCEMENT OFFICER.

“ENFORCEMENT OFFICER” HAS THE MEANING STATED IN CITY CODE ARTICLE 1 § 41-1(C) {“DEFINITIONS: ENFORCEMENT OFFICER”}.

(F) ~~(E)~~ GAS-POWERED DEBRIS REMOVAL EQUIPMENT.

(1) IN GENERAL.

“GAS-POWERED DEBRIS REMOVAL EQUIPMENT” MEANS ANY DEVICE POWERED BY AN INTERNAL COMBUSTION OR ROTARY ENGINE USING GASOLINE, ALCOHOL, OR ANY OTHER FUEL THAT IS USED TO BLOW, VACUUM, DISPLACE, OR GATHER DEBRIS.

(2) INCLUSIONS.

“GAS-POWERED DEBRIS REMOVAL EQUIPMENT” INCLUDES HANDHELD LEAF BLOWERS WITH A VACUUM FUNCTION. ~~INCLUDES:~~

~~(I) LEAF BLOWERS; AND~~

Journal of the City Council

(H) LEAF VACUUMS.

(3) EXCLUSIONS.

“GAS-POWERED DEBRIS REMOVAL EQUIPMENT” DOES NOT INCLUDE:

(I) LAWN MOWERS;

(II) LAWN TRIMMERS;

(III) SNOW BLOWERS; ~~OR~~

(IV) PRESSURE ~~WASHERS~~; WASHERS; OR

(V) SELF-PROPELLED MOTORIZED LEAF VACUUMS, INCLUDING WALK-BEHIND, RIDING, AND HITCH MOUNT LEAF VACUUMS; AND

(VI) LEAF VACUUM TRUCKS.

(G) LANDSCAPE MAINTENANCE COMPANY.

“LANDSCAPE MAINTENANCE COMPANY” MEANS A PERSON WHO RECEIVES COMPENSATION, INCLUDING MONEY OR MATERIAL GOODS, IN EXCHANGE FOR SERVICES THAT INCLUDE:

(1) CARING FOR:

(I) LAWNS;

(II) GARDENS;

(III) TREES;

(IV) PLANTS; AND

(V) HARDSCAPE FEATURES; OR

(2) OTHERWISE ENHANCING THE AESTHETICS AND FUNCTIONALITY OF AN OUTDOOR SPACE.

(H) PERSON.

(1) IN GENERAL.

“PERSON” HAS THE MEANING STATED IN § 1-107(A) {“PERSON: IN GENERAL”} OF THE CITY CODE’S GENERAL PROVISIONS ARTICLE.

(2) INCLUSION OF GOVERNMENTAL ENTITIES.

NOTWITHSTANDING § 1-107(B) {"PERSON: EXCLUSION"} OF THE GENERAL PROVISIONS ARTICLE, IN THIS SUBTITLE "PERSON" ALSO INCLUDES A GOVERNMENTAL ENTITY OR AN INSTRUMENTALITY OR UNIT OF A GOVERNMENTAL ENTITY.

(1) SUBCONTRACTOR.

"SUBCONTRACTOR" MEANS A BUSINESS ENTERPRISE THAT HAS A DIRECT CONTRACT WITH A CONTRACTOR TO PERFORM PART OF THE WORK ON A CONTRACT.

§ 8-302. PROHIBITION OF GAS-POWERED DEBRIS REMOVAL EQUIPMENT.

A PERSON PERSON, INDIVIDUAL, CONTRACTOR, OR SUBCONTRACTOR MAY NOT USE GAS-POWERED DEBRIS REMOVAL EQUIPMENT IN BALTIMORE CITY.

Subtitle 4. [3.] Penalties.

§ 8-401 [8-301]. Enforcement by citation.

(a) In general.

In addition to any other civil or criminal remedy or enforcement procedure, this title may be enforced by issuance of:

(1) an environmental citation under City Code Article 1, Subtitle 40 {"Environmental Control Board"}; or

(2) a civil citation under City Code Article 1, Subtitle 41 {"Civil Citations"}.

(b) Process not exclusive.

The issuance of a citation to enforce this title does not preclude pursuing any other civil or criminal remedy or enforcement action authorized by law.

§ 8-402 [8-302]. Criminal penalties: \$1,000.

(a) In general.

Except as otherwise specified, any person who violates any provision of this title is guilty of a misdemeanor and, on conviction, is subject to a fine of not more than \$1,000 for each offense.

(b) Each day a separate offense.

Each day that a violation continues is a separate offense.

§ 8-402. § 8-403. CRIMINAL CIVIL PENALTIES: GAS-POWERED DEBRIS REMOVAL EQUIPMENT.

(A) IN GENERAL.

Journal of the City Council

EXCEPT AS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED IN THIS SUBTITLE, AN ENFORCEMENT OFFICER MAY ISSUE A CIVIL CITATION FOR THE USE OF GAS-POWERED DEBRIS REMOVAL EQUIPMENT IF THE ENFORCEMENT OFFICER:

(1) WITNESSES THE VIOLATION; OR

(2) RECEIVES FROM AT LEAST 1 WITNESS A WRITTEN COMPLAINT ACCOMPANIED BY PHOTOGRAPHIC EVIDENCE.

(B) WARNING TO CEASE AND DESIST.

AN ENFORCEMENT OFFICER WHO FINDS ANY ~~PERSON~~ PERSON, INDIVIDUAL, CONTRACTOR, OR SUBCONTRACTOR VIOLATING THIS SUBTITLE SHALL ORDER, ON A FIRST OFFENSE, THAT THE PERSON CEASE AND DESIST THE USE OF GAS-POWERED DEBRIS REMOVAL EQUIPMENT.

(C) SUBSEQUENT OFFENSE.

A ~~PERSON~~ PERSON, INDIVIDUAL, CONTRACTOR, OR SUBCONTRACTOR WHO COMMITS A SUBSEQUENT OFFENSE IS SUBJECT TO A FINE OF \$250 FOR EACH SUBSEQUENT OFFENSE.

~~SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED, That this Ordinance takes effect 1 year after the date it is enacted.~~

SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED, That on December 15, 2024 this Ordinance takes full effect for all landscape maintenance companies, City entities, City contractors, and City subcontractors.

SECTION 3. AND BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED, That all landscape maintenance companies and all other persons may use gas powered debris removal equipment between October 15 and December 15 of each year, until December 15, 2026, when this Ordinance takes full effect for all persons.”.

The amendments were read.

The yeas and nays were called on the amendments, resulting as follows:

Yeas - Councilmembers Cohen, Dorsey, Conway, Middleton, Torrence, Bullock,
Ramos - Total 7.

Nays - Schleifer, Costello, Stokes - Total 3.

Absent - President and Councilmembers Glover, Porter, Burnett, McCray - Total 5.

The amendments did not pass.

The bill was held over until the next meeting.

**MOTION TO SUSPEND CITY COUNCIL RULES 10-2 AND 10-3
WITH REGARD TO BILL NO. 24-0602**

Councilmember Costello made a motion, duly seconded, to suspend Rules 10-2 and 10-3 in order to have an early hearing on Bill No. 24-0602.

The motion carried without exception, and the President declared the rules suspended with regard to the bill. Then Councilmember Costello announced a hearing date for the bill.

**MOTION TO SUSPEND CITY COUNCIL RULES 10-2 AND 10-3
WITH REGARD TO BILL NO. 24-0603**

Councilmember Costello made a motion, duly seconded, to suspend Rules 10-2 and 10-3 in order to have an early hearing on Bill No. 24-0603.

The motion carried without exception, and the President declared the rules suspended with regard to the bill. Then Councilmember Costello announced a hearing date for the bill.

**MOTION TO SUSPEND CITY COUNCIL RULES 10-2 AND 10-3
WITH REGARD TO BILL NO. 24-0604**

Councilmember Costello made a motion, duly seconded, to suspend Rules 10-2 and 10-3 in order to have an early hearing on Bill No. 24-0604.

The motion carried without exception, and the President declared the rules suspended with regard to the bill. Then Councilmember Costello announced a hearing date for the bill.

**MOTION TO SUSPEND CITY COUNCIL RULES 10-2 AND 10-3
WITH REGARD TO BILL NO. 24-0605**

Councilmember Costello made a motion, duly seconded, to suspend Rules 10-2 and 10-3 in order to have an early hearing on Bill No. 24-0605.

The motion carried without exception, and the President declared the rules suspended with regard to the bill. Then Councilmember Costello announced a hearing date for the bill.

**MOTION TO SUSPEND CITY COUNCIL RULES 10-2 AND 10-3
WITH REGARD TO BILL NO. 24-0606**

Councilmember Costello made a motion, duly seconded, to suspend Rules 10-2 and 10-3 in order to have an early hearing on Bill No. 24-0606.

The motion carried without exception, and the President declared the rules suspended with regard to the bill. Then Councilmember Costello announced a hearing date for the bill.

**MOTION TO SUSPEND CITY COUNCIL RULES 10-2 AND 10-3
WITH REGARD TO BILL NO. 24-0607**

Councilmember Costello made a motion, duly seconded, to suspend Rules 10-2 and 10-3 in order to have an early hearing on Bill No. 24-0607.

The motion carried without exception, and the President declared the rules suspended with regard to the bill. Then Councilmember Costello announced a hearing date for the bill.

**MOTION TO SUSPEND CITY COUNCIL RULE 10-2
WITH REGARD TO BILL NO. 24-0607**

Councilmember Costello made a motion, duly seconded, to suspend Rule 10-2 in order to have an early hearing on Bill No. 24-0599.

The motion carried without exception, and the President declared the rules suspended with regard to the bill. Then Councilmember Costello announced a hearing date for the bill.

ADJOURNMENT

On motion of Councilmember Schleifer, duly seconded, the City Council adjourned to meet on Monday, November 4, 2024, at 5:00 p.m.

Consent Calendar

- CR 2444 President Mosby, All Members
A Baltimore City Council Resolution congratulating Tiffany Maclin on your 44th Birthday, may your special day be as wonderful as the happiness you bring to everyone around you.
- CR 2445 President Mosby, All Members
A Baltimore City Council Resolution on the death of Alice W. White, November 9, 1935 – September 21, 2024.
- CR 2446 President Mosby, All Members
A Baltimore City Council Resolution to the Family of Mildred Teresa Moore, October 27, 1954 – September 22, 2024.
- CR 2447 President Mosby, All Members
A Baltimore City Council Resolution to the Family of Melvin L. Stukes, March 15, 1948 – September 21, 2024.
- CR 2448 President Mosby, All Members
A Baltimore City Council Resolution to the Family of Patricia D. Berkley, September 22, 1952 – September 26, 2024.
- CR 2449 President Mosby, All Members
A Baltimore City Council Resolution to the Family of Carl L. Johnson, Sr., October 31, 1928 – September 20, 2024.
- CR 2450 President Mosby, All Members
A Baltimore City Council Resolution congratulating Matthew Grzelik on your 44 years of service with the City of Baltimore.
- CR 2451 President Mosby, All Members
A Baltimore City Council Resolution congratulating Richard L. Luna on your dedicated service to the Baltimore City Department of Public Works.
- CR 2452 President Mosby, All Members
A Baltimore City Council Resolution to the Family of Ebony L. Lucas, September 13, 1981 – September 29, 2024.
- CR 2453 President Mosby, All Members
A Baltimore City Council Resolution congratulating Jerome Wedell Johnson, August 22, 1966 – September 8, 2024.
- CR 2454 President Mosby, All Members
A Baltimore City Council Resolution on the death of Apostle Charles E. Waters, III, September 12, 1971 – September 23, 2024.
- CR 2455 President Mosby, All Members
A Baltimore City Council Resolution congratulating St. John Christian Community Church on 60 years of faith, service and dedication to spiritual growth and community impact.
- CR 2456 President Mosby, All Members

A Baltimore City Council Resolution congratulating Pastor Tommie L. Jenkins on your 16 years of inspiring leadership and faithful service to St. John Christia Community Church.

- CR 2457 President Mosby, All Members
A Baltimore City Council Resolution congratulating Kamala D. Harris on your 60th Birthday.
- CR 2458 President Mosby, All Members
A Baltimore City Council Resolution congratulating Bishop Dr. Orlando Allen Wilson on your 41 years of preaching at New Antioch Baptist Church, you have inspired the community.
- CR 2459 President Mosby, All Members
A Baltimore City Council Resolution on the death of Ralph Steward, June 5, 1952 – September 25, 2024.
- CR 2460 Cohen
A Baltimore City Council Resolution congratulating Kat Jones on your service to Baltimorean children and on the Maryland Teacher of the Year Award.
- CR 2461 President Mosby, All Members
A Baltimore City Council Resolution on the death of Rose J. Smith, February 29, 1960 – October 2, 2024.
- CR 2462 President Mosby, All Members
A Baltimore City Council Resolution congratulating Irene “Apple” Hudson on your 59th Birthday, may your special day be as wonderful as the happiness you bring to everyone around you.
- CR 2463 Burnett
A Baltimore City Council Resolution congratulating Deacon Claude Leopold David on your service as Marine and his accomplishments as Congressional Gold Medal Recipient.
- CR 2464 President Mosby, All Members
A Baltimore City Council Resolution congratulating Donnette Edmonds on 4 years as a breast cancer survivor, volunteering with the American Cancer Society and teaching liturgical dancing for the Tata Tuesdays organization.
- CR 2465 President Mosby, All Members
A Baltimore City Council Resolution congratulating Zion Baptist Church on your 90th anniversary, commemorating resilience dedication and values.
- CR 2466 President Mosby, All Members
A Baltimore City Council Resolution congratulating Reverend Marshall F. Prentice on 39 years of preaching at Zion Baptist Church, you have inspired the community.
- CR 2467 President Mosby, All Members
A Baltimore City Council Resolution congratulating Theresa Price on 18 years of service to the City of Baltimore.
- CR 2468 Bullock
A Baltimore City Council Resolution on the death of Vernell Clark, March 1, 1948 – September 30, 2024.

1968

Journal of the City Council

- CR 2469 Middleton
A Baltimore City Council Resolution on the death of Gertrude Lyndall Robinson, June 24, 1933 – October 6, 2024.
- CR 2470 President Mosby, All Members
A Baltimore City Council Resolution congratulating Freddie Gaskin on your 99th Birthday, may your special day be as wonderful as the Happiness you bring to everyone around you.
- CR 2471 Middleton
A Baltimore City Council Resolution congratulating Reverend Dr. R. Lee Johnson on your 35 years of faithful and dedicated service to Brown's Memorial Baptist Church and the Park Heights Community.
- CR 2472 President Mosby, All Members
A Baltimore City Council Resolution congratulating Cleveland C. Washington, Jr. on your Minority Business Directory's 2025 Edition – 45 Publication, success of small business.
- CR 2473 Middleton
A Baltimore City Council Resolution congratulating Bishop Kevia F. Elliott on your 40 years of faithful and dedicated service to the Lord's church and your street renaming ceremony.
- CR 2474 Cohen
A Baltimore City Council Resolution congratulating Pastor Stephen L. Thomas on your 10 years of charitable, religious, and advocacy work for the community.
- CR 2475 Porter
A Baltimore City Council Resolution congratulating Ernestine Brockington on your 100th Birthday, marking this incredible milestone as a centenarian and a cherished pillar of the Cherry Hill Community.