**CITY OF BALTIMORE** 

BRANDON M. SCOTT, Mayor



#### **OFFICE OF COUNCIL SERVICES**

LARRY E. GREENE, Director 415 City Hall, 100 N. Holliday Street Baltimore, Maryland 21202 410-396-7215 / Fax: 410-545-7596 email: larry.greene@baltimorecity.gov

# **HEARING NOTES**

## Bill: 21-0068R

### **Informational Hearing - Naming City Properties**

**Committee:** Economic and Community Development **Chaired By:** Councilwoman Sharon Green Middleton

Hearing Date:	December 14, 2021				
Time (Beginning):	3:00 PM				
Time (Ending):	3:45 PM				
Location:	Virtual WEBEX		·		
<b>Total Attendance</b>	Approximately 30				
Committee Members in Attendance:					
John Bullock Ma	rk Conway Ryan Dorsey	Antonio Glover	Robert Stokes	Odette Ramos	

Bill Synopsis in the file? Attendance sheet in the file?			│ n/a │ n/a
Agency reports read?			n/a
Video or audio-digitally recorded?	🖂 yes	no	🗌 n/a
Certification of advertising/posting notices in the file?	🗌 yes	no	🖂 n/a
Evidence of notification to property owners?	🗌 yes	no	🔀 n/a
Final vote taken at this hearing?	. 🖂 yes	🗌 no	🗌 n/a
Motioned by:Co	uncilme	<b>nber</b> Ode	tte Ramos
Seconded by:Cou	uncilmer	<b>nber</b> Mar	k Conway
Final Vote:Fav	orable		

### **Major Speakers**

(This *is not* an attendance record.)

- Victor Tervala, Department of Law
- Eric Holcomb, Commission for Historical and Architectural Preservation
- Chi-Chi Nyagah-Nash, Department of General Services

- Jenny Morgan, Department of Recreation and Parks
- KC Kelleher, Department of Real Estate
- Justin Lane, Baltimore Development Corporation
- Liam Davis, Department of Transportation
- Nina Themelis, Office of the Mayor
- Lindsey Anderson, Baltimore City Public Schools
- Melissa Broome, Baltimore City Public Schools

### **Major Issues Discussed**

- Chairwoman Middleton opened the meeting, introduced committee members and City representatives and read the bill into the record. As the primary sponsor of the bill, she commented about the purpose of the bill emphasizing the need to take a look at current criteria and processes for naming of public facilities and streets. She emphasized that a streamlined process should be created for naming properties.
- 2. Agency representatives testified on behalf of their respective agencies.
- 3. Victor Tervala testified on behalf of the Office of the City Solicitor. He presented key points from the agency's report. He indicated that the Baltimore City Charter and Baltimore City Code specify which government entities may authorize the naming of government property. He noted that the Department of Transportation is responsible for approving the names of "new" streets. The City Code has specific guidelines for naming and renaming streets. If a regulation is needed to create a uniform process for all agencies by formulation of a program, then legislation will be needed.
- 4. Councilmember Dorsey questioned what was meant by "the name shall be given to the entire length of a street." Victor Tervala stated that the term "entire length of a street" could be clarified in the Code.
- 5. Chi-Chi Nyagah-Nash testified on behalf of the Department of General Services (DGS) emphasizing that DGS generally does not propose name changes, but rather focuses on maintenance and providing for the design and installation of signage once a facility is named.
- 6. Liam Davis testified on behalf of the Department of Transportation (DOT)and emphasized that DOT is responsible for the naming of streets and street signage. DOT is revising its ceremonial street sign process to meet American Planning Association standards. The new policy will have clear guidelines. The chair requested that the new ceremonial street naming process be shared.
- 7. The Department of Real Estate does not have a process in place for the naming of property and generally, when asked to review the naming of property, defers to the agency which has responsibility for the property.
- 8. Baltimore Development Corporation does not have a process for the naming of property and generally defers to the bill sponsor and area planner when reviewing name changes.
- 9. Chris Holcombe testified on behalf of the Commission for Historical and Architectural Preservation. He stated that CHAP is exploring creating a commission to review and report on contested property under its control. The same process could be used and enhanced for reviewing the proposed names of properties. He is hopeful that a commission or program can be created to streamline the process.
- 10. Councilmember Bullock emphasized that there should be coordination of the process.

- 11. Melissa Broome testified on behalf of Baltimore City Public Schools emphasizing that the school system already has a process in place to engage stakeholders for naming facilities. There is a difference between naming a school building and a school program.
- 12. Jenny Morgan testified on behalf of the Department of Recreation and Parks emphasizing that a letter explaining the basis of the request must be written to the director and an escrow account is requested for maintenance of the property. She shared a copy of the guidelines from the Department of Recreation and Parks.
- 13. Councilmember Ramos emphasized the need for a streamlined, centralized comprehensive process for naming. She also pointed out that the criteria to be used for naming should be fully developed.
- 14. According to the Law Department a streamlined process could be established through the creation of a program via legislation or via a directive for all agencies from the Executive Branch.
- 15. Lindsey Anderson commented about criteria for BCPS' policy.
- 16. The hearing was adjourned.

Further Study					
Was further study requested?	🗌 Yes 🛛 No				
If yes, describe.					
Committee Vote:					
Sharon Green Middleton, Chair John Bullock: Mark Conway: Ryan Dorsey: Antonio Glover: Robert Stokes: Odette Ramos:	Yea Yea Yea Yea Yea				

Jennifer L. Coates, Committee Staff

Date: December 14, 2021

cc: Bill File OCS Chrono File