## **CITY OF BALTIMORE**

BRANDON M. SCOTT Mayor



DEPARTMENT OF LAW EBONY M. THOMPSON ACTING CITY SOLICITOR 100 N. HOLLIDAY STREET SUITE 101, CITY HALL BALTIMORE, MD 21202

January 18, 2024

The Honorable President Members of the City Council c/o Natawna Austin, Executive Secretary 409 City Hall Baltimore, MD 21202

RE: City Council Bill 22-0205 Vacant Structures - Emergency Response Fee

Dear President and City Council Members:

The Law Department has reviewed City Council Bill 22-0205, which would establish a fee structure for City emergency response services provided or rendered at vacant structures.

There are various forms of charges the City is authorized to implement and each has its own legal parameters. "Generally, a tax is designed primarily to raise revenue, a regulatory fee funds a regulatory measure, and a user fee pays for a commodity consumed or a service rendered." 96 Opinions of the Attorney General at 67.

For a fee to qualify as regulatory, therefore, the fee generally must "require[] compliance with certain conditions beyond mere payment of a prescribed sum," and "[t]he amount of revenue generated by [[the] ... fee must be 'reasonable' and bear 'some definite relation to the purpose of the regulation." 91 Opinions of the Attorney General 152, 156 (2006) (quoting *Mayor & City Council of Ocean City v. Purnell-Jarvis*, 86 Md. App. 390, 405 (1991)). In other words, to be a regulatory fee, there must be a sufficient "nexus between the charges and the stated regulatory purpose." *Id.* (citing *Eastern Diversified Properties*, 319 Md. at 55).

Thus, if the amounts charged in the bill reflect the actual costs of the services being rendered, the Law Department would approve Council Bill 22-0205 for form and legal sufficiency.

Very truly yours,

Ashlea Brown Chief Solicitor

cc: Ebony Thompson, City Solicitor Stephen Salsbury Matthew Bradford Nikki Thompson, President's Office Nina Themelis, MOGR Hilary Ruley Jeff Hochstetler, Ashlea Brown Michele Toth Teresa Cummings Ahleah Knapp