F R O M	NAME & TITLE	THOMAS J. STOSUR, DIRECTOR Jul / 6. J.S. S.	CITY of	STATE OF STA
	AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS	DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING 417 E. FAYETTE STREET, 8 th FLOOR	BALTIMORE	
	SUBJECT	CITY COUNCIL BILL #10-0496/ METROPOLITAN DISTRICT OF BALTIMORE COUNTY- EXTENSION 157	МЕМО	
-	\sim		DATE: May 28 2010	

TO

DATE: May 28, 2010

The Honorable President and Members of the City Council City Hall, Room 400 100 N. Holliday Street

At its regular meeting of May 27, 2010 the Planning Commission considered City Council Bill #10-0496, for the purpose of consenting to and approving a petition to extend the Metropolitan District of Baltimore County to a certain tract of land; and providing for a special effective date.

In its consideration of this Bill, the Planning Commission reviewed the attached staff report which recommends approval of City Council Bill #10-0496 and adopted the following resolution, nine members being present (nine in favor)

RESOLVED, That the Planning Commission concurs with the recommendation of its departmental staff, and recommends that City Council Bill #10-0496 be passed by the City Council.

If you have questions, please contact Mr. Wolde Ararsa, Division Chief of Land Use and Urban Design at 410-396-4488.

TJS/WYA/ttl

Attachments

cc:

Ms. Kaliope Parthemos, Deputy Mayor Ms. Sophie Dagenais, Chief of Staff Ms. Angela Gibson, Mayor's Office The Honorable Bill Henry, Council Rep. for Planning Commission Mr. David Tanner, BMZA Mr. Geoffrey Veal, Zoning Enforcement, DHCD Ms. Nikol Nabors-Jackson, DHCD Ms. Marcia Collins, DPW Ms. Karen Randle, Council Services



Stephanie Rawlings-Blake

Mayor

PLANNING COMMISSION

Wilbur E. "Bill" Cunningham, Chairman

STAFF REPORT



Thomas J. Stosur Director

May 27, 2010

REQUEST: <u>City Council Bill #10-0496</u> /<u>Metropolitan District of Baltimore County –</u> <u>Extension 157</u>

For the purpose of consenting to and approving a petition to extend the Metropolitan District of Baltimore County to a certain tract of land; and providing for a special effective date.

RECOMMENDATION: Approval

STAFF: Ervin McDaniel

PETITIONER: Baltimore County Department of Public Works

OWNER: Michael Greenspun

SITE / GENERAL AREA

<u>Site Conditions</u>: This area is located in Baltimore County, in the vicinity of 7 Church Road, on the west side of Church Road. This site to be developed comprises 3.329 acres.

<u>General Area</u>: The site is located in the 4C4 District of Baltimore County and is zone DR 3.5 – Density Residential.

HISTORY

Baltimore City and Baltimore and Carroll Counties signed the first Watershed Protection Agreement in 1979. After intensive inter-jurisdictional efforts for improvement a second agreement was implemented in 1984. On November 16, 1990 the Reservoir Watershed Protection Subcommittee adopted the 1990 Declaration of Reaffirmation of the Reservoir Watershed Management Agreement.

CONFORMITY TO PLANS

- This site is within the Baltimore County Growth Area.
- This water extension conforms to the 1989-2000 Baltimore County Land Use Master Plan.
- This water extension conforms to Baltimore County's 1990 2000 Water Supply and Sewerage Plan.

ANALYSIS

This request is for the owners of 7 Church Road for the future development of the 3.329 acre site.

The Planning Department staff reviews each request to confirm that the extension is in compliance with the Baltimore County Land Use Master Plan and zoning. This site is within Baltimore County's growth area (Priority Funding Area, the Urban Rural Demarcation Line, and the Water and Sewer Master Plan) and is not within the City reservoirs' watersheds. As such, the extension will not negatively impact the watersheds adjacent to the City's reservoirs.

This area is contiguous to, but outside of the jurisdiction of the Metropolitan District. These tracts are intended for urban development pursuant to the Baltimore County Land Use Master Plan, Zoning and the Baltimore County Master Water Supply and Sewerage Plan.

The Metropolitan District Act (1924), jointly supported by Baltimore City and Baltimore County before State Legislature, defined geographic limits within Baltimore county whereby the City would develop a water system and supply water to the County, at cost. The Act further allowed for expansion of the District provided 3/5 of the property owners in an area contiguous to the District request an exception. The Act places no limits on the expansions. The city's responsibility to supply water to District Extensions was upheld in the Maryland Court of Appeals decision in Dinneen v. Rider, 152 Md. 343 (1927). The Court states the City is "... directed to make installation of water supply service pipes to be connected to its water mains, whenever and wherever requested..."

Staff has notified the Directors of Department of Public Works for Baltimore City and County, the Director of Planning Baltimore County and the property owners concerning City Council Bill #10-0496 Metropolitan District of Baltimore County – Extension 157.

Thomas for Horizo

Thomas J. Stosur Director

