

CITY OF BALTIMORE

STEPHANIE RAWLINGS-BLAKE, Mayor




DEPARTMENT OF LAW

GEORGE A. NILSON, City Solicitor
101 City Hall
Baltimore, Maryland 21202

November 20, 2014

The Honorable President and Members
of the Baltimore City Council
Attn: Natawna B. Austin, Executive Secretary
Room 409, City Hall
100 N. Holliday Street
Baltimore, Maryland 21202



Re: City Council Bill 14-0414 – Planned Unit Development – Amendment 1 –
Johns Hopkins South of Orleans

Dear Mr. President and City Council Members:

The Law Department has reviewed City Council Bill 14-0414 for form and legal sufficiency. The bill approves amendments to the Development Plan of the Johns Hopkins South of Orleans Planned Unit Development.

With the exception of certain minor modifications, every deviation from a PUD must be processed and approved, under Title 9 of the Zoning Code of Baltimore City (ZC), as a new application. ZC §9-118(a). To be lawful, the proposed changes to the PUD cannot make the PUD incompatible with the surrounding neighborhood or negate the purposes for which the PUD was originally created. *See Maryland Overpak Corp. v. Mayor and City Council of Baltimore*, 396 Md. 16, 30-31 (2006) (“[A] PUD partakes more of the characteristics of a conditional use than any other zoning construct or mechanism recognized in Baltimore City The hallmarks examined for their approval generally are compatibility with a Master Plan, conformance to regulatory criteria, and an examination of potential deleterious effects vis-a-vis adjacent property and uses.”). According to the Staff Report from the Planning Department, this amendment is in conformance with the PUD and allows for the continued implementation of the Johns Hopkins East Baltimore Campus Master Plan. *See Report at 2.* It also conforms to the Baltimore City Comprehensive Master Plan. *Id.*

Certain procedural requirements also apply to this bill because an amendment to a Planned Unit Development is deemed a “legislative authorization.” ZC §§16-101(c)(3); 16-101(d). Specifically, special notice requirements apply to the bill’s introduction and the bill must be referred to certain City agencies, which are obligated to review it in a specified manner. *See* ZC §§16-203, 16-301, 16-303. Additional public notice and hearing requirements apply to the bill, including advertising the time, place and subject of the hearing in a paper of general circulation for 15 days and posting the property conspicuously with this same information. *See* Md. Code, Land Use, §10-303; ZC §16-402. Finally, certain limitations on the City Council’s ability to amend the bill apply, including a Third Reading hold-over before final passage by the Council. *See* ZC §§16-403, 16-404.



4

This bill is the appropriate method for the City Council to review the facts and make the determination as to whether the legal standard for amending the Johns Hopkins South of Orleans Planned Unit Development has been met. Assuming all procedural requirements are met, the Law Department approves the bill for form and legal sufficiency.

Sincerely,



Jennifer Landis
Special Assistant Solicitor

cc: George Nilson, City Solicitor
Angela C. Gibson, Mayor's Legislative Liaison
Elena DiPietro, Chief, General Counsel Division
Hilary Ruley, Chief Solicitor
Victor Tervala, Chief Solicitor