Coltac

F RO M	NAME &	Robert Cenname, Budget Director
	AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS	Bureau of the Budget and Management Research Room 432, City Hall (410) 396-4774
	SUBJECT	City Council Bill 20-0563—Charter Amendment- Disposition of City-Owned Real Property



September 23, 2020



TO

DATE:

The Honorable President and Members of the City Council City Hall, Room 400

Position: Oppose

The Department of Finance is herein reporting on City Council Bill 20-0563, Charter Amendment-Disposition of City-Owned Real Property, the purpose of which is to have qualified City voters vote on whether to repeal the City's authority to dispose of City-owned real property at private sale.

Background

The City owns a variety of properties and parcels, including public buildings, surplus schools, vacant buildings and lots, and roads and sidewalks. The City owns approximately 10,797 properties. Sales of property, public or private, go before the Board of Estimates and City Council for approval. City Council sale of property legislation includes the following standard language: "...in accordance with Article V, § 5(b) of the City Charter, the City Comptroller may sell, at either public or private sale, all the interest of the Mayor and City Council of Baltimore...."

Public sales of property use a Request For Proposal (RFP) process, which enables the public to apply to purchase the property. Private sales of property do not entail this level of public engagement. Sales of property are conducted as part of larger private development projects, as well as selling properties, such as surplus schools, that the City currently manages and leases to private owners, reducing the burden on agencies to maintain properties. Agencies across the City, including Baltimore Development Corporation (BDC), Department of General Services (DGS), Department of Housing and Community Development (DHCD), Department of Real Estate, and Department of Transportation (DOT), are engaged in property management and sales of property.

Fiscal Impact

The Department of Finance does not anticipate this legislation having a fiscal cost for the City. However, it does limit the City's ability to efficiently sell properties to community organizations, businesses, or developers.

Other Considerations

This legislation presents several issues when thinking about the complicated processes connected to sales of property and development, which BDC, DGS, DHCD, Real Estate, and DOT can better explain. However, it is important to note that there are times when specific lots or parcels are identified for sale that having a public sale could drastically impact projects already underway. For example, if a developer has purchased a set of lots through an RFP and upon starting the work identifies that they need to acquire

another lot or parcel to complete the project, it would be detrimental to open the sale of that property to the public rather than privately sell the property to the developer on the project.

Conclusion

While the Department of Finance recognizes the intent of this legislation is to increase transparency around sales of City-owned property, it is important for the City Council to consider the unintended consequences implementation of this legislation would result in.

For the reasons stated above, the Department of Finance opposes City Council Bill 20-0563.

cc: Henry Raymond Matthew Stegman Nina Themelis