

SPECIAL MEETING

FIFTH COUNCILMANIC YEAR - SESSION OF 2011-2016

JOURNAL
CITY COUNCIL OF BALTIMORE

October 20, 2016

The Council Meeting opened with a moment of Silence.

The President and members of the Council recited the Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag.

The City Council of Baltimore met pursuant to adjournment. Present: Bernard C. “Jack” Young, President, and Councilmembers Kraft, Scott, Curran, Henry, Spector, Middleton, Mosby, Holton, Welch, Reisinger, Costello, Branch, Clarke

Absent - Councilmember Stokes

JOURNAL APPROVED

The Journal of September 19, 2016 was read and approved.

COMMUNICATIONS FROM THE MAYOR

APPROVED BY THE MAYOR

OFFICE OF THE MAYOR

September 19, 2016

Honorable Bernard C. “Jack” Young, President
and Members of the City Council

Dear Council Members:

I am pleased to inform your Honorable Body that I have signed into law this day these bills which are routine and require no special treatment:

C.C. Bill 16-0656 - An Ordinance concerning - Zoning – Conditional Use Conversion of 2 Dwelling Units to 3 Dwelling Units in the R-8 Zoning District – Variances – 844 North Carey Street - For the purpose of permitting, subject to certain conditions, the conversion of 2 dwelling units to 3 dwelling units in the R-8 Zoning District on the property known as 844 North Carey Street, as outlined in red on the accompanying plat; and granting variances from certain lot area size, off-street parking, and floor area ratio requirements.

C.C. Bill 16-0676 - An Ordinance concerning - Planned Unit Development – Amendment 1 – Montgomery Park Business Center - For the purpose of approving certain amendments to the Development Plan of the Montgomery Park Business Center Planned Unit Development.

Sincerely,

Stephanie Rawlings-Blake
Mayor

September 22, 2016

Honorable Bernard C. “Jack” Young, President
and Members of the City Council

Dear Council Members:

I am pleased to inform your Honorable Body that I have signed into law this day these bills which are routine and require no special treatment:

C.C. Bill 16-0669 - An Ordinance concerning - Port Covington Development District - For the purpose of designating a “development district” to be known as the “Port Covington Development District”; providing for and determining various matters in connection with the establishment of the development district; creating a special, tax increment fund for the development district; allocating certain property taxes to that fund; making certain findings and determinations; providing for a special effective date; and generally providing for matters relating to the designation and operation of the development district, the establishment and use of the special, tax increment fund to provide for the payment by or reimbursement to the City for debt service, which the City is obligated to pay or has paid (whether such obligation is general or limited) on any special obligation bonds or notes issued by the City, the authorization of a pledge by the City, subject to appropriation, of the tax increment revenues, pursuant to a Contribution Agreement (defined herein), to provide for the payment by the State Issuer (defined herein) of the principal of and interest on the applicable State Obligations (defined herein) issued in connection with the development district and other related costs, the replenishment of any reserve fund established for any bonds or State Obligations, and the payment of certain expenses and administrative costs related to the operation of the development district.

C.C. Bill 16-0670 - An Ordinance concerning - Port Covington Bond Issuance - For the purpose of authorizing the issuance of special obligation bonds and the pledge, subject to appropriation, of Tax Increment Revenues and Special Tax Revenues (as such terms are defined herein) to the payment of debt service thereon; authorizing the pledge by the City, subject to appropriation, of the Tax Increment Revenues and Special Tax Revenues pursuant to a Contribution Agreement (defined herein) to provide for the payment by the State Issuer (defined herein) of the principal of and interest on the applicable State Obligations (defined herein) and other related costs; providing that such bonds or State Obligations may be issued from time to time and in one or more issues or series in an aggregate principal amount not exceeding \$660,000,000 for the purpose of financing infrastructure improvements and related costs, including, without limitation, the Project (defined herein), and other necessary improvements to, from, or within the development district, and certain other infrastructure improvements permitted by the Acts (defined herein); providing for the method and sources of payment for such special obligation bonds; authorizing the Board of Finance to specify, prescribe, determine, provide for and approve the details, forms, documents or procedures in connection with such special obligation bonds and any other matters necessary or desirable in connection with the authorization, issuance, sale, and payment of such special obligation bonds; providing for the execution and delivery by the City of a Contribution Agreement with respect to State Obligations; authorizing the Board of Finance to specify, prescribe, determine, provide for, and approve the method and sources of such pledge, the details, forms, documents, or procedures in connection with such pledge, and any other matters necessary or desirable in connection with such pledge; providing for a special effective date; providing that the City, in each fiscal year in which any such bonds are outstanding, use its best efforts to obtain the appropriation of the Tax Increment Revenues and the Special Tax Revenues in the amounts and at the times specified in a trust agreement or agreements or in one or more Contribution Agreements between the City and a bank or trust company appointed as trustee thereunder; and generally relating to the issuance and payment of special obligation bonds or such pledge of Tax Increment Revenues and Special Tax Revenues, subject to appropriation, pursuant to a Contribution Agreement for the payment of debt service on State Obligations and other related costs.

C.C. Bill 16-0671 - An Ordinance concerning - Port Covington Special Taxing District - For the purpose of designating a “special taxing district” to be known as the “Port Covington Special Taxing District”; providing for and determining various matters in connection with the establishment of the special taxing district; creating a special fund for the special taxing district; providing for the levy of a special tax on all taxable real and personal property located in the special taxing district; providing for a special effective date; and generally providing for matters relating to the designation and operation of the special taxing district, the establishment and use of the special fund to provide for the payment by or reimbursement to the City for debt service, which the City is obligated to pay or has paid (whether such obligation is general or limited) on any special obligation bonds or notes issued by the City, the authorization of the pledge, subject to appropriation, of the special tax revenues, pursuant to a Contribution Agreement (defined herein), to provide for the payment by the State Issuer

(defined herein) of the principal of and interest on the applicable State Obligations (defined herein) issued in connection with the special taxing district and other related costs, the replenishment of any reserve fund established for any bonds or State Obligations, and the payment of certain expenses and administrative costs related to the operation of the special taxing district.

C.C. Bill 16-0691 - An Ordinance concerning - RPP Area 41 (Sharp/Leadenhall) – Plan Amendment - For the purpose of amending the Parking Management Plan for RPP Area 41 to authorize certain Special Permits for the Baltimore Station, 140 W. West Street; and correcting related provisions.

C.C. Bill 16-0727 - An Ordinance concerning - Charles Village Community Benefits District Supplemental Tax – Exclusion from High-Performance Market-Rate Rental Housing Tax Credit - For the purpose of excluding the Charles Village Community Benefits District's supplemental tax from a certain commercial tax credit; setting a special effective date; and generally relating to the Charles Village Community Benefits District's supplemental tax.

Sincerely,

Stephanie Rawlings-Blake
Mayor

INTRODUCTION AND READ FIRST TIME

The President laid before the City Council the following bills and Resolutions of the Mayor and City Council for first reading:

Bill No. 16-0762 - By Councilmember Scott, President Young, Councilmembers Middleton, Mosby, Holton, Henry, Clarke:

An Ordinance declaring the 2nd Monday in October of each year to be Indigenous Peoples' Day and requiring the Mayor to proclaim Indigenous Peoples' Day on the 2nd Monday in October of each year.

The bill was read the first time and referred to the City Solicitor, Judiciary and Legislative Investigations Committee.

Bill No. 16-0763 - By Councilmembers Henry, Kraft:

An Ordinance changing the collection period of the license fee for the York Road Retail Business District from annual to semiannual; clarifying and conforming related provisions;

providing for a special effective date; and generally relating to the purposes and operations of Retail Business Districts.

The bill was read the first time and referred to the City Solicitor, Department of Housing and Community Development, Baltimore Development Corporation, Department of Finance, Board of Estimates, Judiciary and Legislative Investigations Committee.

The President laid before the City Council the following Council Resolutions for first reading:

Bill No. 16-0317R - By Councilmembers Henry, Kraft, Middleton, Costello, Scott, Mosby, Curran, Holton, Branch, Welch, Clarke, Reisinger:

Introduced: October 20, 2016
(Read and adopted)

A COUNCIL RESOLUTION CONCERNING

Condemning Violence and Hate Speech

FOR the purpose of condemning violence and hate speech; expressing solidarity with Muslims and all those targeted for their ethnicity, race, or religion; and categorically rejecting political tactics that use fear to manipulate voters or to gain power or influence.

Recitals

WHEREAS, the United States was founded by immigrants, many fleeing religious persecution, who enshrined freedom of religion as one of our nation's fundamental legal and ethical principles.

WHEREAS, the history of Islam in the United States began even before its founding when African Muslims were enslaved and brought to the Americas, where they later helped in numerous ways to build this country, including sacrificing their lives on the line in every major war from the Revolutionary War on.

WHEREAS, there are more than 3 million Muslims living in the United States today, and, according to a 2010 survey, approximately 15,000 living in the Baltimore metropolitan area, making invaluable contributions to our economy, our social and political life, and our culture.

WHEREAS, there has been an unprecedented backlash since 9/11 in the form of hate crimes and employment discrimination toward Arab and Muslim Americans and those perceived as Muslims.

WHEREAS, Arab and Muslim Americans, and those perceived as Muslims, are frequently the targets of abusive and discriminatory police practices sanctioned by the state including surveillance in their neighborhoods and places of worship.

WHEREAS, we are saddened and outraged at the recent escalation of hateful rhetoric against Muslims, those perceived to be Muslims, immigrants, and all people of color, and we are particularly concerned to see political figures and elected government officials leading this escalation and using it in order to gain power.

WHEREAS, in the face of extreme bigotry and violence, Muslim communities and their leaders are using the language and teachings of Islam to promote peace, justice, and service, and their institutions are continuing to play an essential societal role providing charitable and humanitarian services to those in need.

WHEREAS, we, as elected representatives of the people, have a special responsibility not to stay silent in the face of hate violence and discrimination against any of our constituents or countrymen.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF BALTIMORE, that the Council condemns all hateful speech and violent action directed at Muslims, those perceived to be Muslims, immigrants and people of color; categorically rejects political tactics that use fear to manipulate voters or to gain power or influence; commits to pursuing a policy agenda that affirms civil and human rights, and ensures that those targeted on the basis of race, religion or immigration status can turn to government without fear of recrimination; and reaffirms the value of a pluralistic society, the beauty of a culture composed of multiple cultures, and the inalienable right of every person to live and practice their faith without fear.

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That a copy of this Resolution be sent to the Mayor and the Mayor's Legislative Liaison to the City Council.

Councilmember Henry made a motion, which was duly seconded, that the Rules be suspended.

The roll was called on the motion, resulting as follows:

Yeas - President and Councilmembers Kraft, Scott, Curran, Henry, Spector, Middleton, Mosby, Holton, Welch, Reisinger, Costello, Branch, Clarke - Total 14.

Nays - 0.

Absent - Councilmember Stokes - Total 1.

The President declared the Rules "Suspended".

Then Councilmember Henry made a motion, which was duly seconded, that the Resolution be adopted.

The roll was called on the motion, resulting as follows:

Yeas - President and Councilmembers Kraft, Scott, Curran, Henry, Spector, Middleton, Mosby, Holton, Welch, Reisinger, Costello, Branch, Clarke - Total 14.

Nays - 0.

Absent - Councilmember Stokes - Total 1.

The President declared the Resolution “Adopted”.

Bill No. 16-0318R - By Councilmembers Scott, Kraft, Mosby, Curran, Holton, Henry, Clarke, Costello, Middleton, President Young:

Introduced: October 20, 2016
(Read and adopted)

A COUNCIL RESOLUTION CONCERNING

United States Department of Justice Consent Decree with the City of Baltimore Regarding the Baltimore Police Department

FOR the purpose of calling on the Department of Justice to include certain provisions in its Consent Decree with the City of Baltimore to ensure that the agreement includes the best thoughts and suggestions from all in Baltimore.

Recitals

Baltimore City’s Police Department has been criticized for decades about extreme deficiencies in how it operates in Baltimore. While thoroughly discussed, this was never truly documented until the release of the recent Department of Justice Pattern and Practice report on the Baltimore Police Department. Racial bias, civil rights violations, gender bias, policy deficiencies, and lack of community policing are just a few areas highlighted in the report.

In order to have a Consent Decree that will represent the needs of the entire City of Baltimore, and truly set a frame for rebuilding the relationship between the Baltimore Police Department and the community, the following must be included:

- (1) increased civilian oversight;

- (2) a release of the names of officers mentioned in report;
- (3) increased Police Department transparency;
- (4) community policing;
- (5) training and policy reform;
- (6) officer support investments; and
- (7) technology investments.

These 7 items represent many of the most critical areas in need of repair. A failure to address each of these needed reforms will hinder any progress in repairing the relationship between the Baltimore Police Department and Baltimore's citizens.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF BALTIMORE, That the Council calls on the Department of Justice to include certain provisions in its Consent Decree with the City of Baltimore to ensure that the agreement includes the best thoughts and suggestions from all in Baltimore.

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That a copy of this Resolution be sent to the Mayor, the United States Attorney General, the Police Commissioner, and the Mayor's Legislative Liaison to the City Council.

Councilmember Scott made a motion, which was duly seconded, that the Rules be suspended.

The roll was called on the motion, resulting as follows:

Yeas - President and Councilmembers Kraft, Scott, Curran, Henry, Spector, Middleton, Mosby, Holton, Welch, Reisinger, Costello, Branch, Clarke - Total 14.

Nays - 0.

Absent - Councilmember Stokes - Total 1.

The President declared the Rules "Suspended".

Then Councilmember Scott made a motion, which was duly seconded, that the Resolution be adopted.

The roll was called on the motion, resulting as follows:

Yeas - President and Councilmembers Kraft, Scott, Curran, Henry, Spector, Middleton, Mosby, Holton, Welch, Reisinger, Costello, Branch, Clarke - Total 14.

Nays - 0.

Absent - Councilmember Stokes - Total 1.

The President declared the Resolution “Adopted”.

CONSENT CALENDAR

CEREMONIAL RESOLUTIONS NO. 6788, 6789, 6790, 6791, 6792, 6793, 6794, 6795, 6796, 6797, 6798, 6799, 6800, 6801, 6802, 6803, 6804, 6805, 6806, 6807, 6808, 6809, 6810, 6811, 6812, 6813, 6814, 6815, 6816, 6817, 6818, 6819, 6820, 6821, 6822, 6823, 6824, 6825, 6826, 6827, 6828, 6829, 6830, 6831, 6832, 6833, 6834, 6835, 6836, 6837, 6838, 6839, 6840, 6841, 6842, 6843, 6844, 6845, 6846, 6847, 6848, 6849, 6850, 6851, 6852, 6853, 6854, 6855, 6856, 6857, 6858, 6859, 6860, 6861, 6862, 6863, 6864, 6865, 6866, 6867, 6868, 6869, 6870, 6871, 6872, 6873, 6874, 6875, 6876, 6877, 6878, 6879, 6880, 6881, 6882, 6883, 6884, 6885, AND 6886 ADOPTED UNDER RULE 3-9.

The resolutions were read.

The roll was called on the adoption of the resolutions, resulting as follows:

Yeas - President and Councilmembers Kraft, Scott, Curran, Henry, Spector, Middleton, Mosby, Holton, Welch, Reisinger, Costello, Branch, Clarke - Total 14.

Nays - 0.

Absent - Councilmember Stokes - Total 1.

The President declared the resolutions adopted under Rule 3-9.

Ceremonial Resolutions will be found at the end of the Journal.

COMMITTEE REPORTS

**BILL NO. 16-0755 REPORTED FAVORABLY
AND ORDERED PRINTED FOR THIRD READING**

Councilmember Holton, for the Budget and Appropriations Committee, reported Bill No. 16-0755 favorably.

An Ordinance providing a Supplementary State Fund Operating Appropriation in the amount of \$1,457,640 to the Department of Public Works – Service 730 (Public and Private Energy Performance), to provide funding for 2 Combined Heat and Power (CHP) systems for the Patapsco Waste Water Treatment Plant and the Back River Waste Water Treatment Plant, as well as to provide funding for energy efficient upgrades at the following properties: Brooklyn Branch Library, Callow Hill Aquatics Center, Carroll F. Cook Recreation Center, City of Baltimore Health Clinic, Clifton Library, Druid Hill Family Center, Dunbar Day Care Center, Edgewood Day Care Center, Herring Run Library, Northwood Library, Patterson Park Library, Reisterstown Road Library, Roosevelt Recreation Center, Sarah’s Hope Homeless Shelter, Southeast Anchor Library, Waxter Senior Center, and the Westside Youth Opportunity Center; and providing for a special effective date.

Favorable report adopted.

The bill was read the second time and ordered printed for third reading.

**BILL NO. 16-0288R REPORTED FAVORABLY
AND ADOPTED**

Councilmember Holton, for the Budget and Appropriations Committee, reported Bill No. 16-0288R favorably.

A COUNCIL RESOLUTION CONCERNING

Traffic Camera Revenue for Crossing Guards

FOR the purpose of calling on the Administration to act to improve the safety of Baltimore’s schoolchildren by dedicating funds from the planned redeployment of speed and red light cameras by the City to increasing the number of crossing guards working to protect our children.

Recitals

Crossing guards are our children’s first – and often only – line of defense against the dangers they may encounter moving between the safer and more controlled environments of school and home. Unfortunately, at current funding levels, there simply are not enough of them to provide all of the protection that parents need and deserve for their children.

Currently, there are just barely enough of the Department of Transportation’s crossing guards to cover the most dangerous traffic threats to schoolchildren. There are not adequate funds to hire backup personnel for even these intersections – if regular guards are sick or otherwise absent, their posts go unmanned, putting our children at risk where they were expecting aid and protection.

Beyond these intermittent and unpredictable shortcomings, the sad, but all too regular and predictable everyday reality for too many of Baltimore's students is that it is not just traffic that poses a threat coming to and from school. Many elementary and middle school students must walk through open-air drug markets or other crime ridden areas to reach their classes. These children crossing corners where the risk comes from something other than just traffic could benefit from the security of passing under the watchful eye of a crossing guard just as much as those with only cars and trucks to be concerned about. But there are too few guards to watch over them everywhere that they should.

Troublingly, planned realignments of both the school system and the MTA-run bus system appear likely to stretch crossing guards even thinner in the near future. The closure and consolidation of some neighborhood schools as part of the school system's modernization plans will force some students to walk further to reach new locations; as will the planned reduction in the number of bus stops and routes as part of the Governor's transit proposals for Baltimore. Longer walks will mean more dangerous spots where young children need assistance from crossing guards, but there are simply not any spare guards available today to fill out these new posts.

In order to keep our children safe today, and in the future, more crossing guards are desperately needed. And more funding must be found to provide them.

A natural source for this funding would be the City's reinstituted speed and red light camera program. These cameras have long been touted as primarily traffic safety devices – devices particularly concerned with protecting schoolchildren – rather than mere revenue traps. Dedicating money from the fines they generate to funding more crossing guards would make them doubly effective in this role while helping to reassure residents that the cameras aren't being used simply to underwrite the City's general fund.

Dedicating traffic camera revenue to funding crossing guards is an ideal solution to the very real problem of current and future crossing guard shortages that imperils our children. It should be adopted as part of the RFP and approval process for Baltimore's next red light and speed camera program that is currently underway.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF BALTIMORE, That the Council calls on the Administration to act to improve the safety of Baltimore's schoolchildren by dedicating funds from the planned redeployment of speed and red light cameras by the City to increasing the number of crossing guards working to protect our children.

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That a copy of this Resolution be sent to the Mayor, the Director of Finance, the Director of Transportation, the CEO of Baltimore City Public Schools, and the Mayor's Legislative Liaison to City Council.

Favorable report adopted.

The roll was called on the adoption of the Resolution, resulting as follows:

Yeas - President and Councilmembers Kraft, Scott, Curran, Henry, Spector, Middleton, Mosby, Holton, Welch, Reisinger, Costello, Branch, Clarke - Total 14.

Nays - 0.

Absent - Councilmember Stokes - Total 1.

The President declared the Resolution adopted.

**BILL NO. 16-0648 REPORTED FAVORABLY, WITH AMENDMENTS,
AND ORDERED PRINTED FOR THIRD READING**

Councilmember Henry, for the Housing and Community Development Committee, reported Bill No. 16-0648 favorably, with amendments.

An Ordinance permitting, subject to certain conditions, the construction and maintenance of a portion of a building that will project into the public right-of-way of North Charles Street on the east side of the property known as 1 West North Avenue; and providing for a special effective date.

Amendments to City Council Bill No. 16-0648

Amendment No. 1

On page 1, in line 2, strike “1” and substitute “3”; and, on page 1, in lines 5 and 14, in each instance, strike “1” and substitute “3”.

The amendments were read and adopted.

Favorable report, as amended, adopted.

The bill, as amended, was read the second time and ordered printed for third reading.

**BILL NO. 16-0720 REPORTED FAVORABLY
AND ORDERED PRINTED FOR THIRD READING**

Councilmember Henry, for the Housing and Community Development Committee, reported Bill No. 16-0720 favorably.

An Ordinance condemning and opening a portion of a 12-foot alley, extending from another 12-foot alley Northeasterly 52 feet, more or less, to the southernmost extremity of Block 3563A,

Lot 42B, as shown on Plat 128-A-35A in the Office of the Department of Transportation; and providing for a special effective date.

Favorable report adopted.

The bill was read the second time and ordered printed for third reading.

**BILL NO. 16-0721 REPORTED FAVORABLY
AND ORDERED PRINTED FOR THIRD READING**

Councilmember Henry, for the Housing and Community Development Committee, reported Bill No. 16-0721 favorably.

An Ordinance condemning and closing a portion of a 12-foot alley, extending from another 12-foot alley Northeasterly 52 feet more or less to the southernmost extremity of Block 3563A, Lot 42B, as shown on Plat 128-A-35B in the Office of the Department of Transportation; and providing for a special effective date.

Favorable report adopted.

The bill was read the second time and ordered printed for third reading.

**BILL NO. 16-0724 REPORTED FAVORABLY
AND ORDERED PRINTED FOR THIRD READING**

Councilmember Henry, for the Housing and Community Development Committee, reported Bill No. 16-0724 favorably.

An Ordinance granting a franchise to Comcast of Baltimore City, LLC, to construct, operate, and maintain a cable communications system in and across certain streets and public ways, subject to certain terms, conditions, and reservations; and providing for a special effective date.

Favorable report adopted.

The bill was read the second time and ordered printed for third reading.

**BILL NO. 16-0741 REPORTED FAVORABLY
AND ORDERED PRINTED FOR THIRD READING**

Councilmember Henry, for the Housing and Community Development Committee, reported Bill No. 16-0741 favorably.

An Ordinance condemning and opening a portion of Creek Alley, extending from W. West Street southeasterly 56 feet to the southernmost extremity thereof, as shown on Plat 257-A-40 in the Office of the Department of Transportation; and providing for a special effective date.

Favorable report adopted.

The bill was read the second time and ordered printed for third reading.

**BILL NO. 16-0742 REPORTED FAVORABLY
AND ORDERED PRINTED FOR THIRD READING**

Councilmember Henry, for the Housing and Community Development Committee, reported Bill No. 16-0742 favorably.

An Ordinance condemning and closing a portion of Creek Alley, extending from W. West Street southeasterly 56 feet to the southernmost extremity thereof, as shown on Plat 257-A-40A in the Office of the Department of Transportation; and providing for a special effective date.

Favorable report adopted.

The bill was read the second time and ordered printed for third reading.

**BILL NO. 16-0744 REPORTED FAVORABLY
AND ORDERED PRINTED FOR THIRD READING**

Councilmember Henry, for the Housing and Community Development Committee, reported Bill No. 16-0744 favorably.

An Ordinance condemning and opening a portion of South Eden Street, extending from Lancaster Street northerly 308 feet, more or less, to Aliceanna Street, and a portion of Aliceanna Street, extending from South Central Avenue easterly 170 feet, more or less, to South Eden Street, as shown on Plat 235-A-42 in the Office of the Department of Transportation; and providing for a special effective date.

Favorable report adopted.

The bill was read the second time and ordered printed for third reading.

**BILL NO. 16-0745 REPORTED FAVORABLY
AND ORDERED PRINTED FOR THIRD READING**

Councilmember Henry, for the Housing and Community Development Committee, reported Bill No. 16-0745 favorably.

An Ordinance condemning and closing a portion of South Eden Street, extending from Lancaster Street northerly 308 feet, more or less, to Aliceanna Street, and a portion of Aliceanna Street, extending from South Central Avenue easterly 170 feet, more or less, to South Eden Street, as shown on Plat 235-A-42A in the Office of the Department of Transportation; and providing for a special effective date.

Favorable report adopted.

The bill was read the second time and ordered printed for third reading.

**BILL NO. 16-0747 REPORTED FAVORABLY
AND ORDERED PRINTED FOR THIRD READING**

Councilmember Henry, for the Housing and Community Development Committee, reported Bill No. 16-0747 favorably.

An Ordinance condemning and closing a portion of East Fairmount Avenue, extending from the Norfolk Southern Railway Right of Way easterly 146.5 feet, more or less, to intersect the west side of a 10-foot alley, as shown on Plat 295-A-35A in the Office of the Department of Transportation; and providing for a special effective date.

Favorable report adopted.

The bill was read the second time and ordered printed for third reading.

**BILL NO. 16-0313R REPORTED FAVORABLY
AND ADOPTED**

Councilmember Henry, for the Housing and Community Development Committee, reported Bill No. 16-0313R favorably.

A COUNCIL RESOLUTION CONCERNING

Informational Hearing – Homeless Outreach Efforts

FOR the purpose of inviting representatives from the Mayor's Office of Human Services, the Health Department, and Behavioral Health Systems Baltimore, along with other providers of homeless outreach, to discuss the need for and current status of professional intervention for homeless people without shelter in Baltimore City.

Recitals

Nationally, the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) estimates at least 610,000 people find themselves in shelters, on the streets, or in other places unfit for human habitation on any given night in the United States – a number that does not include the hundreds of thousands who are hidden from public sight or unstably “doubled up” with family and friends, to include a growing number of children and families. Indeed, another report from the National Center on Family Homelessness found 2.5 million children experienced homelessness each year.

In Baltimore City, recent counts of people experiencing homelessness have reported between 2,600 and 4,100 people without housing on any given night – a small fraction of the number served annually by the City’s homeless service providers.

These surveys also report that the three top health concerns that impact the homeless population in the City of Baltimore are substance abuse, mental health, and chronic illness – all of which are significantly and negatively impacted by homelessness. A 2005 study by the National Health Care for the Homeless Council found that this “tri-morbidity” of substance abuse and mental illness together with chronic health problems increases the risk of early death. Because of this compounding effect, people experiencing homelessness are 3 to 4 times more likely to die prematurely than their housed counterparts and have an average life expectancy of between 42 and 52 years old.

With homelessness so strongly linked to premature death and other serious health risks, it is vital that providers make every effort to reach out to this population and proactively seek to connect them with the services that they need. City and Federal sources have allocated millions of dollars to local providers to support these essential outreach efforts.

Since homelessness is a persistent problem that is too often ignored, it is important that the Council make every effort to keep it at the forefront of decision makers’ minds. With too few resources allocated to this complicated issue, it is vitally important to ensure that all of those resources are being employed in the most effective way possible. In particular, a clearer understanding of how government funds are being used to provide professional interventions into homeless people without shelter in Baltimore City is needed.

Homelessness is a problem that requires constant engagement, cooperative efforts amongst many stakeholders, and a focused approach to making use of scant resources where they can do the most good. Periodic discussions about how well the City’s approach meets these principles, and where improvements can be made, are essential if Baltimore is ever to achieve its goal of making homelessness a rare and brief experience.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF BALTIMORE, That the Council invites representatives from the Mayor’s Office of Human Services, the Health Department, and Behavioral Health Systems Baltimore, along with other providers of homeless outreach, to discuss the need for and current status of professional intervention for homeless people without shelter in Baltimore City.

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That a copy of this Resolution be sent to the Mayor, the Director of the Mayor's Office of Human Services, the Director of MOHS's Homeless Services Program, the Health Commissioner, the President and CEO of Behavioral Health System Baltimore, and the Mayor's Legislative Liaison to the City Council.

Favorable report adopted.

The roll was called on the adoption of the Resolution, resulting as follows:

Yeas - President and Councilmembers Kraft, Scott, Curran, Henry, Spector, Middleton, Mosby, Holton, Welch, Reisinger, Costello, Branch, Clarke - Total 14.

Nays - 0.

Absent - Councilmember Stokes - Total 1.

The President declared the Resolution adopted.

**BILL NO. 16-0692 REPORTED FAVORABLY, WITH AMENDMENTS,
AND ORDERED PRINTED FOR THIRD READING**

Councilmember Kraft, for the Judiciary and Legislative Investigations Committee, reported Bill No. 16-0692 favorably, with amendments.

An Ordinance establishing a Property Assessed Clean Energy Loan Program ("PACE Loan Program") to assist qualifying commercial property owners to finance energy improvements through non-accelerating PACE Loans from participating private lenders; authorizing the City to collect PACE Loan payments owed to a private lender, as well as certain PACE Program administrative costs, through a surcharge on the property owner's real property tax bill; providing that an unpaid surcharge constitutes a lien on the real property on which it is imposed; providing for the scope of and eligibility for participation in the PACE Loan Program; providing for the administration of the PACE Loan Program; establishing default procedures; defining certain terms; and providing for a special effective date.

Amendments to City Council Bill No. 16-0692

Amendment No. 1 {Comm #7}

On page 2, in line 19, before "MORE", strike "4 OR"; and, in the same line, after "MORE", insert "THAN 4".

Amendment No. 2 {Comm #1}

On page 4, at the start of line 24, strike “THE” and substitute “SUBJECT TO THE APPROVAL OF THE BOARD OF ESTIMATES AND TO ANY APPLICABLE REQUIREMENTS IMPOSED BY OR ADOPTED UNDER CITY CHARTER ARTICLE VI, § 11 {“PROCUREMENT”}, THE”.

Amendment No. 3 {Comm #8 and #4}

On page 5, in line 7, strike “ADMINISTRATION” and substitute “ADMINISTRATIVE”; and, in line 10, after “MAY”, strike “SHALL”.

Amendment No. 4 {Comm #5 and #12}

On page 6, in line 4, after “RECORDED”, insert “BY THE CLEAN ENERGY LENDER OR THE PROGRAM ADMINISTRATOR”; and, on page 9, in line 15, strike “FINANCE DIRECTOR” and substitute “CLEAN ENERGY LENDER OR THE ADMINISTRATOR”.

Amendment No. 5 {Comm #2}

On page 6, strike lines 7 through 9, in their entirety; and, in line 10 and in line 11, strike “(VIII)” and “(IX)”, respectively, and substitute “(VII)” and “(VIII)”, respectively.

Amendment No. 6 {Comm #14 and #16}

On page 8, on line 29, before “SURCHARGE”, strike “A” and substitute “AN ANNUAL”; and, on page 9, in line 25, after “THE”, insert “ANNUAL”.

Amendment No. 7 {Comm #11 and #9}

On page 8, in line 30, after “PROPERTY”, insert “OR STAND-ALONE”; and, on page 9, in line 1, strike “BECOMES PAYABLE” and substitute “IS BILLED ON THE PROPERTY OWNER’S REAL PROPERTY OR STAND-ALONE TAX BILL”.

Amendment No. 8 {Comm #3 and #6}

On page 9, at the start of line 5, before “THE TERMS”, insert “THE AMOUNT DUE UNDER”; and, on the same page, strike lines 21 and 22, in their entirety.

Amendment No. 9 {Comm #15}

On page 9, at the start of line 9, strike “IF” and substitute “EXCEPT AS PROVIDED IN § 30-3(A)(2) OF THIS SUBTITLE, IF”.

Amendment No. 10 {Comm #13}

On page 10, strike beginning in line 4 with “THAT THE” through “SERVICE” in line 5 and substitute “OF THE FINANCING AGREEMENT’S REPAYMENT SCHEDULE”.

Amendment No. 11 {Comm #17}

On page 10, in line 12, strike “30” and substitute “45”.

Amendment No. 12 {Comm #18}

On page 10, in line 20, strike “PROPERTY TAX COLLECTIONS” and substitute “SURCHARGE PAYMENTS COLLECTED THROUGH A PROPERTY OWNER’S REAL PROPERTY OR STAND-ALONE TAX BILL”.

Amendment No. 13 {Comm #19}

On page 10, in line 21, strike “CITY”; and, in the same line, after “TAXES”, insert “AS DEFINED BY § 14-801(C) OF THE PROPERTY TAX ARTICLE”.

Amendment No. 14 {Comm # 10}

On page 10, in line 30, before “LIEN”, insert “TAX”.

Amendment No. 15 {Comm # 20}

On page 11, in line 32, after “apply to”, insert “a property owner’s real property or stand-alone tax bill rendered on or after July 1, 2017 for”.

The amendments were read and adopted.

Favorable report, as amended, adopted.

The bill, as amended, was read the second time and ordered printed for third reading.

**BILL NO. 16-0718 REPORTED FAVORABLY, WITH AN AMENDMENT,
AND ORDERED PRINTED FOR THIRD READING**

Councilmember Kraft, for the Judiciary and Legislative Investigations Committee, reported Bill No. 16-0718 favorably, with an amendment.

An Ordinance prohibiting the use of ticket purchasing software to bypass controls or measures that limit the number of tickets that can be purchased for admission to an entertainment

venue; prohibiting the resale of tickets obtained by using ticket purchasing software; prohibiting certain interests in or control of ticket purchasing software; defining various terms; imposing certain civil and criminal penalties; and generally relating to the use of ticket purchasing software for certain purposes.

Amendment to City Council Bill No. 16-0718

Amendment No. 1

On page 1, at the end of the 2nd line of bill sponsors, insert “, Henry”.

The amendment was read and adopted.

Favorable report, as amended, adopted.

The bill, as amended, was read the second time and ordered printed for third reading.

**BILL NO. 16-0736 REPORTED FAVORABLY, WITH AMENDMENTS,
AND ORDERED PRINTED FOR THIRD READING**

Councilmember Kraft, for the Judiciary and Legislative Investigations Committee, reported Bill No. 16-0736 favorably, with amendments.

An Ordinance modifying certain provisions concerning the determination of the amount of credit to be granted for the High-Performance Market-Rate Rental Housing Tax Credit for Targeted Areas and for Citywide Areas; and providing that the Director of Finance may adopt rules and regulations for granting partial credits for eligibility for less than a full taxable year.

Amendments to City Council Bill No. 16-0736

Amendment No. 1

On page 3, before line 16, insert:

“SECTION 2. AND BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED, That this Ordinance shall apply retroactively to all projects that have qualified for the credit prior to the effective date of this Ordinance, unless the amount of the credit will be reduced.”

and, in line 16 and line 19, strike “2.” and “3.”, respectively, and substitute “3.” and “4.”, respectively.

The amendments were read and adopted.

Favorable report, as amended, adopted.

The bill, as amended, was read the second time and ordered printed for third reading.

**BILL NO. 16-0739 REPORTED FAVORABLY
AND ORDERED PRINTED FOR THIRD READING**

Councilmember Kraft, for the Judiciary and Legislative Investigations Committee, reported Bill No. 16-0739 favorably.

An Ordinance changing the composition of the Environmental Control Board; and generally relating to the Environmental Control Board.

Favorable report adopted.

The bill was read the second time and ordered printed for third reading.

**BILL NO. 16-0740, LISTED ON TONIGHT'S PRINTED AGENDA,
TO BE HELD ONE MEETING**

Councilmember Kraft, for the Judiciary and Legislative Investigations Committee, made a motion, which was seconded, to hold the bill for consideration until the next Council Meeting. The motion was approved.

**BILL NO. 16-0743 REPORTED FAVORABLY
AND ORDERED PRINTED FOR THIRD READING**

Councilmember Kraft, for the Judiciary and Legislative Investigations Committee, reported Bill No. 16-0743 favorably.

An Ordinance authorizing the Mayor and City Council of Baltimore to sell, at either public or private sale, all its interest in a certain parcel of land known as a portion of the former bed of Creek Alley, extending from W. West Street southeasterly 56 feet to the southernmost extremity thereof, and no longer needed for public use; and providing for a special effective date.

Favorable report adopted.

The bill was read the second time and ordered printed for third reading.

**BILL NO. 16-0746 REPORTED FAVORABLY
AND ORDERED PRINTED FOR THIRD READING**

Councilmember Kraft, for the Judiciary and Legislative Investigations Committee, reported Bill No. 16-0746 favorably.

An Ordinance authorizing the Mayor and City Council of Baltimore to sell, at either public or private sale, all its interest in certain parcels of land known as a portion of South Eden Street, extending from Lancaster Street northerly 308 feet, more or less, to Aliceanna Street, and a portion of Aliceanna Street, extending from South Central Avenue easterly 170 feet, more or less, to South Eden Street and no longer needed for public use; and providing for a special effective date.

Favorable report adopted.

The bill was read the second time and ordered printed for third reading.

**BILL NO. 16-0748 REPORTED FAVORABLY
AND ORDERED PRINTED FOR THIRD READING**

Councilmember Kraft, for the Judiciary and Legislative Investigations Committee, reported Bill No. 16-0748 favorably.

An Ordinance authorizing the Mayor and City Council of Baltimore to sell, at either public or private sale, all its interest in a certain parcel of land known as the former bed of a portion of Dulaney Valley Road within the Loch Raven Reservoir, adjacent to 12460 Dulaney Valley Road, and no longer needed for public use; and providing for a special effective date.

Favorable report adopted.

The bill was read the second time and ordered printed for third reading.

**BILL NO. 15-0575 REPORTED FAVORABLY, WITH AMENDMENTS,
AND ORDERED PRINTED FOR THIRD READING**

Councilmember Reisinger, for the Land Use and Transportation Committee, reported that the Committee has complied with the Land Use Article of the Maryland Code and recommends the adoption of Bill No. 15-0575 favorably, with amendments.

An Ordinance changing the zoning for the properties known as 1520-1530 Beason Street, 1600 Beason Street, and 1220 Towson Street, as outlined in red on the accompanying plat, from the M-2-2 Zoning District to the B-1-3 Zoning District.

Findings of Fact**City Council Bill No. 15-0575****Rezoning – 1520-1530 Beason Street, 1600 Beason Street, and 1220 Towson Street****1. Boundaries of the Neighborhood:**

These properties are located on the northern edge of the Locust Point neighborhood. Locust Point is located in south Baltimore at the end of a peninsula, with its traditional boundaries being Lawrence Street and Key Highway to the west and the Patapsco River to the north, south, and east.

2. Population Change:

Between the 2000 and 2010 decennial Censuses, the Locust Point neighborhood increased by a little more than 200 households, indicating a trend toward an increasing residential population.

3. Availability of public facilities:

The area is well served by public utilities and services and will remain so for the foreseeable future.

4. Present and future transportation pattern:

These properties are served by the existing City street grid and will remain in that configuration. The reduction in the intensity of use from an industrial district to a residential district could further reduce potential impacts on traffic in the area.

5. Compatibility with existing and proposed development:

The proposed residential use for these properties will complement the surrounding residential neighborhoods and will not have a negative impact on the community.

6. Recommendations of the Planning Commission:

Approval X

Disapproval

7. Recommendation of the BMZA:Approval X Disapproval **8. Relation of the proposal to the City's Master Plan:**Conforms X Does not conform **9. Changes in the character of the neighborhood:**

The nature of industrial use has changed in recent decades, such that small and scattered properties such as these are no longer viable for the industrial uses for which they were originally classified. For that reason, the amendment and approval of an R-8 district in this area will better serve the change in character for this neighborhood.

10. A mistake in the existing zoning classification:

N/A

Land Use Committee:Edward Reisinger

Chair

James B. Kraft

Member

Mary Pat Clarke

Member

Sharon Middleton

Member

Nick Mosby

Member

Warren Branch

Member

Findings of Fact adopted.

Amendments to City Council Bill No. 15-0575

Amendment No. 1

On page 1, in lines 6 and 15, in each instance, strike “B-1-3” and substitute “R-8”.

Amendment No 2.

On page 1, in lines 5, 16, and 18, in each instance, before “plat”, insert “amended”.

The amendments were read and adopted.

Favorable report, as amended, adopted.

The bill, as amended, was read the second time and ordered printed for third reading.

**BILL NO. 16-0686 REPORTED FAVORABLY, WITH AMENDMENTS,
AND ORDERED PRINTED FOR THIRD READING**

Councilmember Reisinger, for the Land Use and Transportation Committee, reported Bill No. 16-0686 favorably, with amendments.

An Ordinance authorizing certain alternative methods for the operation of multi-space metered parking; correcting, clarifying, and conforming related language; repealing obsolescent provisions; and generally relating to the installation and operation of metered parking systems.

Amendments to City Council Bill No. 16-0686

Amendment No. 1

On page 3, in line 6, strike the brackets surrounding “install parking meters with”; and, in the same line, strike “SET”.

The amendments were read and adopted.

Favorable report, as amended, adopted.

The bill, as amended, was read the second time and ordered printed for third reading.

**BILL NO. 16-0688 REPORTED FAVORABLY
AND ORDERED PRINTED FOR THIRD READING**

Councilmember Reisinger, for the Land Use and Transportation Committee, reported that the Committee has complied with the Land Use Article of the Maryland Code and recommends the adoption of Bill No. 16-0688 favorably.

An Ordinance changing the zoning for the property known as 320 South Highland Avenue, as outlined in red on the accompanying plat, from the B-2-2 Zoning District to the B-2-3 Zoning District.

Findings of Fact

**City Council Bill No. 16-0688
Rezoning – 320 South Highland Avenue**

1. Boundaries of the Neighborhood:

The property is located in the Highlandtown neighborhood of Southeastern Baltimore City and is directly adjacent to the Highlandtown Business Area Urban Renewal Plan area.

2. Population Change:

Between the 2000 and 2010 census, the three areas that border the Highlandtown Business Area Urban Renewal Plan, Highlandtown, Canton, and Brewer's Hill have experienced an overall growth of 7.6%.

3. Availability of public facilities:

The property is well served by streets, utilities, police, fire and schools and will remain so for the foreseeable future.

4. Present and future transportation pattern:

The property is served by the existing street grid and will remain in that configuration. The closest primary street is Eastern Avenue, which does experience periods of congestion; however the changes proposed by this bill are not expected to greatly impact the existing street network.

5. Compatibility with existing and proposed development:

The proposed zoning change is compatible with existing and proposed development along the nearby Eastern Avenue corridor and overall Highlandtown area. It allows for a higher density development, which will assist in making larger parcels more feasible to be redeveloped for market rate housing. This is consistent with the community's vision for development in this area as expressed in recent amendments to the adjacent urban renewal plan. Other large scale multi-family developments within the neighborhood area also support this project's compatibility with existing and proposed development.

6. Recommendations of the Planning Commission:

Approval _____

Disapproval X

7. Recommendation of the BMZA:

Approval X

Disapproval _____

8. Relation of the proposal to the City's Master Plan:

Conforms X

Does not conform _____

9. Changes in the character of the neighborhood:

Highlandtown has experienced significant growth and redevelopment over recent years. As stated in the population change, the greater neighborhood has grown 7.6% since between the 2000 and 2010 census years. In addition, two large scale multi-family projects in the past several years will add an additional 203 housing units. The old Highlandtown Middle School was renovated for use as 168 units, and the former Hausner's restaurant, located less than 500' from the subject property, underwent a rezoning to allow a new 65 unit building that is currently under construction. Highlandtown has now also been designated part of the Highlandtown Arts and Entertainment District, which is the State's single largest designated arts area. The Baltimore City Planning Commission has recommended this parcel to be zoned C-1 under Transform Baltimore, which would be more in line with the allowable density and uses that this ordinance proposes.

10. A mistake in the existing zoning classification:

NA

Land Use Committee:

Edward Reisinger
Chair

James B. Kraft
Member

Sharon Middleton
Member

Warren Branch
Member

Mary Pat Clarke
Member

Nick Mosby
Member

Bill Henry
Member

Findings of Fact and favorable report adopted.

The bill was read the second time and ordered printed for third reading.

**BILL NO. 16-0689 REPORTED FAVORABLY
AND ORDERED PRINTED FOR THIRD READING**

Councilmember Reisinger, for the Land Use and Transportation Committee, reported that the Committee has complied with the Land Use Article of the Maryland Code and recommends the adoption of Bill No. 16-0689 favorably.

An Ordinance changing the zoning for the property known as 300 North Warwick Avenue, as outlined in red on the accompanying plat, from the M-2-1 Zoning District to the B-3-3 Zoning District.

Findings of Fact**City Council Bill No. 16-0689
Rezoning – 300 North Warwick Avenue****1. Boundaries of the Neighborhood:**

The subject property is within the Penrose/Fayette St. outreach and part of greater Southwest Baltimore. Penrose/Fayette St. Outreach is generally bounded by Monroe Street to the east, Franklinton Road to the west, Mulberry/Franklin to the north and Baltimore Street and Lexington Street to the south.

2. Population change:

Since 1971 the City of Baltimore lost over 200,000 people, and this particular area experienced population loss and disinvestment due to the I-70 condemnation and property demolition.

3. Availability of public facilities:

The property is well served by public utilities and services and will remain so for the foreseeable future.

4. Present and future transportation pattern:

The property is well served by the existing street grid and will remain in that configuration. The property is also within walking distance of several bus routes and is less than a quarter mile from the West Baltimore MARC Station. The State has also undertaken a comprehensive bus system overhaul with a nearby hub service.

5. Compatibility with existing and proposed development:

The West Baltimore MARC Station Area Master Plan undertaken by the City and community from 2006-2008 called for rezoning in the immediate area to allow for mixed-use and residential development to occur to take advantage of the MARC and AMTRAK station. In addition, although the adjacent properties are industrially zoned, their uses are commercial and institutional, not industrial.

6. Recommendations of the Planning Commission:

Approval _____

Disapproval X

7. Recommendation of the BMZA:Approval X Disapproval **8. Relation of the proposal to the City's Master Plan:**Conforms X Does not conform **9. Changes in the character of the neighborhood:**

The subject property is in the midst of an area undergoing substantial change. Existing uses have moved away from industrial towards commercial and institutional, with a new church being constructed directly behind 300 North Warwick Avenue. The increase in investment to the West Baltimore MARC station was not anticipated in the 1971 zoning code and has led to an effort to develop a comprehensive planning strategy to encourage transit oriented development, which the City has recognized by supporting large scale rezonings under Transform Baltimore to TOD.

10. A mistake in the existing zoning classification:

NA

Land Use Committee:Edward Reisinger

Chair

James B. Kraft

Member

Sharon Middleton

Member

Warren Branch

Member

Mary Pat Clarke

Member

Bill Henry
Member

Findings of Fact and favorable report adopted.

The bill was read the second time and ordered printed for third reading.

**BILL NO. 16-0695 REPORTED FAVORABLY
AND ORDERED PRINTED FOR THIRD READING**

Councilmember Reisinger, for the Land Use and Transportation Committee, reported that the Committee has complied with the Land Use Article of the Maryland Code and recommends the adoption of Bill No. 16-0695 favorably.

An Ordinance approving certain amendments to the Development Plan of the New Shiloh Baptist Church Planned Unit Development; and conforming a reference.

Findings of Fact

**City Council Bill No. 16-0695
Planned Unit Development – Amendment 1 – New Shiloh Baptist Church**

According to the Baltimore City Zoning Code, agency reports, and public testimony, an amendment to a Planned Unit Development is being granted under:

Title 9-112

After consideration of:

- (1) the standards in Title 14 (Conditional Uses) of this article governing conditional uses; and
- (2) the plans for the Planned Unit Development are in general conformance with:
 - (A) all elements of the Master Plan; and
 - (B) the character and nature of existing and contemplated development in the vicinity of the proposed Planned Unit Development;
- (3) the Planned Unit Development will preserve unusual topographic or natural features of the land;
- (4) the design of the Planned Unit Development will best utilize and be compatible with the topography of the land;

- (5) the physical characteristics of the Planned Unit Development will not adversely affect:
 - (A) future development or the value of the undeveloped neighboring areas; or
 - (B) the use, maintenance, or value of neighboring areas already developed;
- (6) with respect to availability of light, air, open space, and street access, the Planned Unit Development will secure for its residents and neighboring residents substantially the same benefits as would be provided by application of the basic district regulations;
- (7) with respect to fire, health hazards, and other dangers, the Planned Unit Development will secure for its residents and neighboring residents substantially the same protection as would be provided by application of the basic district regulations; and
- (8) the Planned Unit Development will permit design features that would not be possible by application of the basic district regulations.

Land Use and Transportation Committee:

Edward Reisinger
Chair

Nick Mosby
Member

Sharon Middleton
Member

Mary Pat Clarke
Member

Bill Henry
Member

Findings of Fact and favorable report adopted.

The bill was read the second time and ordered printed for third reading.

**BILL NO. 16-0696 REPORTED FAVORABLY
AND ORDERED PRINTED FOR THIRD READING**

Councilmember Reisinger, for the Land Use and Transportation Committee, reported that the Committee has complied with the Land Use Article of the Maryland Code and recommends the adoption of Bill No. 16-0696 favorably.

An Ordinance approving certain amendments to the Development Plan of the Kennedy Krieger Institute Planned Unit Development.

Findings of Fact

**City Council Bill No. 16-0696
Planned Unit Development – Amendment 1 – Kennedy Krieger Institute**

According to the Baltimore City Zoning Code, agency reports, and public testimony, an amendment to a Planned Unit Development is being granted under:

Title 9-112

After consideration of:

- (1) the standards in Title 14 (Conditional Uses) of this article governing conditional uses; and
- (2) the plans for the Planned Unit Development are in general conformance with:
 - (A) all elements of the Master Plan; and
 - (B) the character and nature of existing and contemplated development in the vicinity of the proposed Planned Unit Development;
- (3) the Planned Unit Development will preserve unusual topographic or natural features of the land;
- (4) the design of the Planned Unit Development will best utilize and be compatible with the topography of the land;
- (5) the physical characteristics of the Planned Unit Development will not adversely affect:
 - (A) future development or the value of the undeveloped neighboring areas; or
 - (B) the use, maintenance, or value of neighboring areas already developed;

- (6) with respect to availability of light, air, open space, and street access, the Planned Unit Development will secure for its residents and neighboring residents substantially the same benefits as would be provided by application of the basic district regulations;
- (7) with respect to fire, health hazards, and other dangers, the Planned Unit Development will secure for its residents and neighboring residents substantially the same protection as would be provided by application of the basic district regulations; and
- (8) the Planned Unit Development will permit design features that would not be possible by application of the basic district regulations.

Land Use and Transportation Committee:

Edward Reisinger
Chair

Nick Mosby
Member

Sharon Middleton
Member

Mary Pat Clarke
Member

Bill Henry
Member

Findings of Fact and favorable report adopted.

The bill was read the second time and ordered printed for third reading.

**BILL NO. 16-0707 REPORTED FAVORABLY
AND ORDERED PRINTED FOR THIRD READING**

Councilmember Reisinger, for the Land Use and Transportation Committee, reported that the Committee has complied with the Land Use Article of the Maryland Code and recommends the adoption of Bill No. 16-0707 favorably.

An Ordinance changing the zoning for the property known as 1410 North Monroe Street, as outlined in red on the accompanying plat, from the B-3-2 Zoning District to the M-2-1 Zoning District.

Findings of Fact**City Council Bill No. 16-0707
Rezoning – 1410 North Monroe Street****1. Boundaries of the Neighborhood:**

The site is located in the Bridgeview/Greenlawn neighborhood, in the western part of Baltimore City. Its boundaries are the north side of West Lafayette Street, the east side of Braddish Avenue, the west side of North Monroe Street, and the south side of Presstman Street.

2. Population Change:

Between the 2000 and 2010 decennial Censuses, the Bridgeview/Greenlawn neighborhood decreased by 15.6%.

3. Availability of public facilities:

This area is well served by public utilities and services and will remain so for the foreseeable future.

4. Present and future transportation pattern:

There are no anticipated changes to present transportation patterns along this portion of Monroe Street, patterns that support industrial use of this property.

5. Compatibility with existing and proposed development:

The proposed rezoning is compatible with the site's location adjacent to existing industrial uses.

6. Recommendations of the Planning Commission:

Approval ___X___

Disapproval _____

7. Recommendation of the BMZA:

Approval ___X___

Disapproval _____

8. Relation of the proposal to the City's Master Plan:Conforms X Does not conform **9. Changes in the character of the neighborhood:**

The significant decrease in population indicates that there has been substantial change in the character of the neighborhood where the properties are located.

10. A mistake in the existing zoning classification:

N/A

Land Use Committee:

Edward Reisinger
Chair

Nick Mosby
Member

Bill Henry
Member

Sharon Middleton
Member

Mary Pat Clarke
Member

Findings of Fact and favorable report adopted.

The bill was read the second time and ordered printed for third reading.

**BILL NO. 16-0709 REPORTED FAVORABLY, WITH AMENDMENTS,
AND ORDERED PRINTED FOR THIRD READING**

Councilmember Reisinger, for the Land Use and Transportation Committee, reported that the Committee has complied with the Land Use Article of the Maryland Code and recommends the adoption of Bill No. 16-0709 favorably, with amendments.

An Ordinance approving certain amendments to the Development Plan of the Port Covington Planned Unit Development.

Findings of Fact

**City Council Bill No. 16-0709
Planned Unit Development – Amendment – Port Covington**

According to the Baltimore City Zoning Code, agency reports, and public testimony, an amendment to a Planned Unit Development is being granted under:

Title 9-112

After consideration of:

- (1) the standards in Title 14 (Conditional Uses) of this article governing conditional uses; and
- (2) the plans for the Planned Unit Development are in general conformance with:
 - (A) all elements of the Master Plan; and
 - (B) the character and nature of existing and contemplated development in the vicinity of the proposed Planned Unit Development;
- (3) the Planned Unit Development will preserve unusual topographic or natural features of the land;
- (4) the design of the Planned Unit Development will best utilize and be compatible with the topography of the land;
- (5) the physical characteristics of the Planned Unit Development will not adversely affect:
 - (A) future development or the value of the undeveloped neighboring areas; or
 - (B) the use, maintenance, or value of neighboring areas already developed;
- (6) with respect to availability of light, air, open space, and street access, the Planned Unit Development will secure for its residents and neighboring residents substantially the same benefits as would be provided by application of the basic district regulations;
- (7) with respect to fire, health hazards, and other dangers, the Planned Unit Development will secure for its residents and neighboring residents substantially the same protection as would be provided by application of the basic district regulations; and

(8) the Planned Unit Development will permit design features that would not be possible by application of the basic district regulations.

Land Use and Transportation Committee:

Edward Reisinger
Chair

Nick Mosby
Member

Bill Henry
Member

Mary Pat Clarke
Member

Findings of Fact adopted.

Amendments to City Council Bill No. 16-0709

Amendment No. 1

On page 2, in line 11, in each instance, strike “July 13” and substitute “August 15”; and, in the same line, strike the first “and”.

Amendment No. 2

On page 2, in line 12, after “2016”, insert “; and Sheet 3, “Conceptual Architecture”, dated August 15, 2016”.

The amendments were read and adopted.

Favorable report, as amended, adopted.

The bill, as amended, was read the second time and ordered printed for third reading.

**BILL NO. 16-0717 REPORTED FAVORABLY, WITH AMENDMENTS,
AND ORDERED PRINTED FOR THIRD READING**

Councilmember Reisinger, for the Land Use and Transportation Committee, reported Bill No. 16-0717 favorably, with amendments.

An Ordinance adding 3701 and 3703 N. Charles Street to the Guilford Residential Permit Parking Area.

Amendments to City Council Bill No. 16-0717

Amendment No. 1

On page 1, in line 4, after “Area”, insert “; and providing for a special effective date”.

Amendment No. 2

On page 2, in lines 1 and 2, strike “on the 30th day after the date” and substitute “when”.

The amendments were read and adopted.

Favorable report, as amended, adopted.

The bill, as amended, was read the second time and ordered printed for third reading.

**BILL NO. 16-0730 REPORTED FAVORABLY
AND ORDERED PRINTED FOR THIRD READING**

Councilmember Reisinger, for the Land Use and Transportation Committee, reported that the Committee has complied with the Land Use Article of the Maryland Code and recommends the adoption of Bill No. 16-0730 favorably.

An Ordinance amending Ordinance 01-236 to increase the maximum bed capacity of the residential substance abuse treatment facility and to clarify that, while no outpatient program may be conducted on the site to provide services to the general public, patients from the operator’s other facilities may be transported to and from this site to receive treatment as necessary; and conforming certain language to reflect the current Zoning Code reference.

Findings of Fact

**City Council Bill No. 16-0730
Zoning – Conditional Use – Amending Ordinance 01-236**

According to the Baltimore City Zoning Code, agency reports, and public testimony, a conditional use is being granted under:

Title 14-204

Upon finding that:

- (1) the establishment, location, construction, maintenance, and operation of the conditional use will not be detrimental to or endanger the public health, security, general welfare or morals;
- (2) the use is not in any way precluded by any other law, including an applicable Urban Renewal Plan;
- (3) the authorization is not otherwise in any way contrary to the public interest; and
- (4) the authorization is in harmony with the purpose and intent of this article

Title 14-205

After consideration of:

- (1) the nature of the proposed site, including its size and shape and the proposed size, shape, and arrangement of structures;
- (2) the resulting traffic patterns and adequacy of proposed off-street parking and loading;
- (3) the nature of the surrounding area and the extent to which the proposed use might impair its present and future development;
- (4) the proximity of dwellings, churches, schools, public structures, and other places of public gathering;
- (5) accessibility of the premises for fire and police protection;
- (6) accessibility of light and air to the premises and to the property in the vicinity;
- (7) the type and location of adequate utilities, access roads, drainage, and other necessary facilities that have been or will be provided;
- (8) the preservation of cultural and historic landmarks;
- (9) the provisions of the City Master Plan;
- (10) the provisions of any applicable Urban Renewal Plan;
- (11) all applicable standards and requirements of this article;
- (12) any other matters considered to be in the interest of the general welfare.

Land Use and Transportation Committee:

Edward Reisinger
Member

Sharon Middleton
Member

Mary Pat Clarke
Member

James B. Kraft
Member

Findings of Fact and favorable report adopted.

The bill was read the second time and ordered printed for third reading.

**BILL NO. 16-0732 REPORTED FAVORABLY, WITH AMENDMENTS,
AND ORDERED PRINTED FOR THIRD READING**

Councilmember Reisinger, for the Land Use and Transportation Committee, reported that the Committee has complied with the Land Use Article of the Maryland Code and recommends the adoption of Bill No. 16-0732 favorably, with amendments.

An Ordinance changing the zoning for the property known as 325 West Baltimore Street, as outlined in blue on the accompanying plat, from the B-4-1 Zoning District to the B-4-2 Zoning District.

Findings of Fact

**City Council Bill No. 16-0732
Rezoning – 325 West Baltimore Street**

1. Boundaries of the Neighborhood:

This property is located in Downtown Baltimore; the central business district of Baltimore traditionally bounded by Martin Luther King, Jr. Boulevard to the west, Mt. Royal Avenue to the north, President Street to the east and the Inner Harbor area to the south. It consists of five neighborhoods: Westside, Mount Vernon, City Centre, Inner Harbor, and Camden Yards.

2. Population Change:

The population of the downtown area has seen an increase in the last couple of decades. In the one-block radius area from this property alone has seen an increase in population from 239 residents as of the 1990 Census to 1302 residents in the 2010 Census.

3. Availability of public facilities:

This property is located in the downtown area which is fully served by public facilities.

4. Present and future transportation pattern:

These properties are served by the existing City street grid and will remain in the configuration. The increase in the bulk allowed in the B-4-2 district should not meaningfully impact the traffic patterns in the immediate area.

5. Compatibility with existing and proposed development:

The proposed district will allow for a larger redevelopment opportunity of the magnitude appropriate for the downtown core of the City. This change will be an incremental step in bringing the downtown core area into a unitary zoning classification under Transform.

6. Recommendations of the Planning Commission:

Approval X

Disapproval \

7. Recommendation of the BMZA:

Approval X

Disapproval

8. Relation of the proposal to the City's Master Plan:

Conforms X

Does not conform

This change is compatible with the proposed zoning under TransForm Baltimore and will conform to the Market Center URP upon the Adoption of CCB#16-0731.

9. Changes in the character of the neighborhood:

The increase in redevelopment, especially for residential use, has increased significantly in recent years.

10. A mistake in the existing zoning classification:

N/A

Land Use Committee:

Edward Reisinger
Chair

Sharon Middleton
Member

Mary Pat Clarke
Member

James B. Kraft
Member

Finding of Facts adopted.

Amendments to City Council Bill No. 16-0732

Amendment No. 1

On page 1, in lines 4, 15, and 17, in each instance, before “plat”, insert “amended”.

The amendments were read and adopted.

Favorable report, as amended, adopted.

The bill was read the second time and ordered printed for third reading.

**BILL NO. 16-0734 REPORTED FAVORABLY, WITH AMENDMENTS,
AND ORDERED PRINTED FOR THIRD READING**

Councilmember Reisinger, for the Land Use and Transportation Committee, reported that the Committee has complied with the Land Use Article of the Maryland Code and recommends the adoption of Bill No. 16-0734 favorably, with amendments.

An Ordinance changing the zoning for certain properties in the Remington Central Business Area, as outlined in blue on the accompanying plat, from the R-7 Zoning, District to the B-1-2 Zoning District; as outlined in red on the accompanying plat, from the R-8 Zoning District to the B-1-2 Zoning District; and as outlined in green on the accompanying plat, from the R-9 Zoning District to the B-1-2 Zoning District.

Findings of Fact

**City Council Bill No. 16-0734
501 West 27th Street, Baltimore, Maryland 21211**

1. Boundaries of the Neighborhood:

Remington is a neighborhood in northern Baltimore bordered to the north by Hampden, Wyman Park, and Johns Hopkins University and to the east by Charles Village. The southernmost boundary is North Avenue and the long southwestern boundary is formed by Falls Road in the I-83 corridor.

2. Population Change:

The Remington neighborhood has experienced a 6.8% population increase between the 2000 and 2010 Census, which translates into 157 additional residents within Remington in the last 10 years.

3. Availability of public facilities:

The property is well served by City services, with no expected impacts as a result of the rezoning. There is no new construction proposed for this parcel. Given the size of the parcel, we do not expect there to be substantial changes or upgrades to the existing public infrastructure.

4. Present and future transportation pattern:

The existing transportation pattern of this area is not expected to change as a result of this rezoning. Currently, there are no major street configurations proposed as a result of this rezoning. The property is currently served by bus, automobile, bicycle or

pedestrian. This is expected to be the future transportation pattern, no change from the impact of this rezoning. All newly established uses will have to work with the Zoning office to determine the required parking as some may be eligible for a parking credit because the buildings were established prior to 1971 and provided no parking. The lot size of the parcels in this rezoning proposal are relatively small and parking requirements, if any, would be minimal. Though the exact future impact is not known at this time, some parts of Remington have Residential Permit Parking that restricts parking from 7 am to 7 pm. For those residential streets that do not have RPP, residents could work with the Parking Authority of Baltimore City (PABC) to potentially expand the program within the neighborhood.

5. Compatibility with existing and proposed development:

The rezoning proposal will allow for development that is consistent with the existing small scale commercial establishments which exist throughout the Remington neighborhood. It will also allow for business that complement and serve the many industrial business and residents in the area. Also, the proposal is consistent with proposed development in the area which typically consists of mixed-use, residential, commercial, and office uses.

6. Recommendations of the Planning Commission:

Approval X

Disapproval

7. Recommendation of the BMZA:

Approval X

Disapproval

8. Relation of the proposal to the City's Master Plan:

Conforms X

Does not conform

9. Changes in the character of the neighborhood:

Yes. The Remington neighborhood is undergoing substantial change in the reuse of some of the former industrial buildings and two major redevelopment proposals in the neighborhood.

10. A mistake in the existing zoning classification:

N/A

Land Use Committee:

Edward Reisinger
Chair

Nick Mosby
Member

Bill Henry
Member

Sharon Middleton
Member

Findings of Fact adopted, concerning the rezoning of 501 West 27th Street, Baltimore, Maryland 21211.

Findings of Fact

By motion made and seconded, the City Council adopted the Findings of Fact contained in the staff report of the Planning Department as approved and adopted by the Planning Commission on September 15, 2016

City Council Bill No. 16-0734
501 West 27th Street, Baltimore, Maryland 21211

Land Use Committee:

Edward Reisinger
Chair

Nick Mosby
Member

Bill Henry
Member

Sharon Middleton
Member

Findings of Fact adopted.

Findings of Fact

City Council Bill No. 16-0734 2701 Huntingdon Avenue, Baltimore, Maryland 21211

1. Boundaries of the Neighborhood:

Remington is a neighborhood in northern Baltimore bordered to the north by Hampden, Wyman Park, and Johns Hopkins University and to the east by Charles Village. The southernmost boundary is North Avenue and the long southwestern boundary is formed by Falls Road in the I-83 corridor.

2. Population Change:

The Remington neighborhood has experienced a 6.8% population increase between the 2000 and 2010 Census, which translates into 157 additional residents within Remington in the last 10 years.

3. Availability of public facilities:

The property is well served by City services, with no expected impacts as a result of the rezoning. There is no new construction proposed for this parcel. Given the size of the parcel, we do not expect there to be substantial changes or upgrades to the existing public infrastructure.

4. Present and future transportation pattern:

The existing transportation pattern of this area is not expected to change as a result of this rezoning. Currently, there are no major street configurations proposed as a result of this rezoning. The property is currently served by bus, automobile, bicycle or pedestrian. This is expected to be the future transportation pattern, no change from the impact of this rezoning. All newly established uses will have to work with the Zoning office to determine the required parking as some may be eligible for a parking credit because the buildings were established prior to 1971 and provided no parking. The lot size of the parcels in this rezoning proposal are relatively small and parking requirements, if any, would be minimal. Though the exact future impact is not known at this time, some parts of Remington have Residential Permit Parking that restricts parking from 7 am to 7 pm. For those residential streets that do not have RPP, residents could work with the Parking Authority of Baltimore City (PABC) to potentially expand the program within the neighborhood.

5. Compatibility with existing and proposed development:

The rezoning proposal will allow for development that is consistent with the existing small scale commercial establishments which exist throughout the Remington neighborhood. It will also allow for business that complement and serve the many industrial business and residents in the area. Also, the proposal is consistent with proposed development in the area which typically consists of mixed-use, residential, commercial, and office uses.

6. Recommendations of the Planning Commission:

Approval X

Disapproval

7. Recommendation of the BMZA:

Approval X

Disapproval

8. Relation of the proposal to the City's Master Plan:

Conforms X

Does not conform

9. Changes in the character of the neighborhood:

Yes. The Remington neighborhood is undergoing substantial change in the reuse of some of the former industrial buildings and two major redevelopment proposals in the neighborhood.

10. A mistake in the existing zoning classification:

N/A

Land Use Committee:

Edward Reisinger
Chair

Nick Mosby
Member

Bill Henry
Member

Sharon Middleton
Member

Findings of Fact adopted, concerning the rezoning of 2701 Huntingdon Avenue, Baltimore, Maryland 21211.

Findings of Fact

By motion made and seconded, the City Council adopted the Findings of Fact contained in the staff report of the Planning Department as approved and adopted by the Planning Commission on September 15, 2016

**City Council Bill No. 16-0734
2701 Huntingdon Avenue, Baltimore, Maryland 21211**

Land Use Committee:

Edward Reisinger
Chair

Nick Mosby
Member

Bill Henry
Member

Sharon Middleton
Member

Findings of Fact adopted.

Findings of Fact

**City Council Bill No. 16-0734
200 West Lorraine Avenue, Baltimore, Maryland 21211**

1. Boundaries of the Neighborhood:

Remington is a neighborhood in northern Baltimore bordered to the north by Hampden, Wyman Park, and Johns Hopkins University and to the east by Charles

Village. The southernmost boundary is North Avenue and the long southwestern boundary is formed by Falls Road in the I-83 corridor.

2. Population Change:

The Remington neighborhood has experienced a 6.8% population increase between the 2000 and 2010 Census, which translates into 157 additional residents within Remington in the last 10 years.

3. Availability of public facilities:

The property is well served by City services, with no expected impacts as a result of the rezoning. There is no new construction proposed for this parcel. Given the size of the parcel, we do not expect there to be substantial changes or upgrades to the existing public infrastructure.

4. Present and future transportation pattern:

The existing transportation pattern of this area is not expected to change as a result of this rezoning. Currently, there are no major street configurations proposed as a result of this rezoning. The property is currently served by bus, automobile, bicycle or pedestrian. This is expected to be the future transportation pattern, no change from the impact of this rezoning. All newly established uses will have to work with the Zoning office to determine the required parking as some may be eligible for a parking credit because the buildings were established prior to 1971 and provided no parking. The lot size of the parcels in this rezoning proposal are relatively small and parking requirements, if any, would be minimal. Though the exact future impact is not known at this time, some parts of Remington have Residential Permit Parking that restricts parking from 7 am to 7 pm. For those residential streets that do not have RPP, residents could work with the Parking Authority of Baltimore City (PABC) to potentially expand the program within the neighborhood.

5. Compatibility with existing and proposed development:

The rezoning proposal will allow for development that is consistent with the existing small scale commercial establishments which exist throughout the Remington neighborhood. It will also allow for business that complement and serve the many industrial business and residents in the area. Also, the proposal is consistent with proposed development in the area which typically consists of mixed-use, residential, commercial, and office uses.

6. Recommendations of the Planning Commission:

Approval X

Disapproval

7. Recommendation of the BMZA:

Approval X

Disapproval

8. Relation of the proposal to the City's Master Plan:

Conforms X

Does not conform

9. Changes in the character of the neighborhood:

Yes. The Remington neighborhood is undergoing substantial change in the reuse of some of the former industrial buildings and two major redevelopment proposals in the neighborhood.

10. A mistake in the existing zoning classification:

N/A

Land Use Committee:

Edward Reisinger
Chair

Nick Mosby
Member

Bill Henry
Member

Sharon Middleton
Member

Findings of Fact adopted, concerning the rezoning of 200 West Lorraine Avenue, Baltimore, Maryland 21211.

Findings of Fact

By motion made and seconded, the City Council adopted the Findings of Fact contained in the staff report of the Planning Department as approved and adopted by the Planning Commission on September 15, 2016

City Council Bill No. 16-0734
200 West Lorraine Avenue, Baltimore, Maryland 21211

Land Use Committee:

Edward Reisinger
Chair

Nick Mosby
Member

Bill Henry
Member

Sharon Middleton
Member

Findings of Fact adopted.

Findings of Fact

City Council Bill No. 16-0734
2658 Huntingdon Avenue, Baltimore, Maryland 21211

1. Boundaries of the Neighborhood:

Remington is a neighborhood in northern Baltimore bordered to the north by Hampden, Wyman Park, and Johns Hopkins University and to the east by Charles Village. The southernmost boundary is North Avenue and the long southwestern boundary is formed by Falls Road in the I-83 corridor.

2. Population Change:

The Remington neighborhood has experienced a 6.8% population increase between the 2000 and 2010 Census, which translates into 157 additional residents within Remington in the last 10 years.

3. Availability of public facilities:

The property is well served by City services, with no expected impacts as a result of the rezoning. There is no new construction proposed for this parcel. Given the size of the parcel, we do not expect there to be substantial changes or upgrades to the existing public infrastructure.

4. Present and future transportation pattern:

The existing transportation pattern of this area is not expected to change as a result of this rezoning. Currently, there are no major street configurations proposed as a result of this rezoning. The property is currently served by bus, automobile, bicycle or pedestrian. This is expected to be the future transportation pattern, no change from the impact of this rezoning. All newly established uses will have to work with the Zoning office to determine the required parking as some may be eligible for a parking credit because the buildings were established prior to 1971 and provided no parking. The lot size of the parcels in this rezoning proposal are relatively small and parking requirements, if any, would be minimal. Though the exact future impact is not known at this time, some parts of Remington have Residential Permit Parking that restricts parking from 7 am to 7 pm. For those residential streets that do not have RPP, residents could work with the Parking Authority of Baltimore City (PABC) to potentially expand the program within the neighborhood.

5. Compatibility with existing and proposed development:

The rezoning proposal will allow for development that is consistent with the existing small scale commercial establishments which exist throughout the Remington neighborhood. It will also allow for business that complement and serve the many industrial business and residents in the area. Also, the proposal is consistent with proposed development in the area which typically consists of mixed-use, residential, commercial, and office uses.

6. Recommendations of the Planning Commission:

Approval X

Disapproval

7. Recommendation of the BMZA:

Approval X

Disapproval

8. Relation of the proposal to the City's Master Plan:Conforms X Does not conform **9. Changes in the character of the neighborhood:**

Yes. The Remington neighborhood is undergoing substantial change in the reuse of some of the former industrial buildings and two major redevelopment proposals in the neighborhood.

10. A mistake in the existing zoning classification:

N/A

Land Use Committee:Edward Reisinger

Chair

Nick Mosby

Member

Bill Henry

Member

Sharon Middleton

Member

Findings of Fact adopted, concerning the rezoning of 2658 Huntingdon Avenue, Baltimore, Maryland 21211.

Findings of Fact

By motion made and seconded, the City Council adopted the Findings of Fact contained in the staff report of the Planning Department as approved and adopted by the Planning Commission on September 15, 2016

**City Council Bill No. 16-0734
2658 Huntingdon Avenue, Baltimore, Maryland 21211**

Land Use Committee:

Edward Reisinger
Chair

Nick Mosby
Member

Bill Henry
Member

Sharon Middleton
Member

Findings of Fact adopted.

Findings of Fact

City Council Bill No. 16-0734
2648 Hampden Avenue, Baltimore, Maryland 21211

1. Boundaries of the Neighborhood:

Remington is a neighborhood in northern Baltimore bordered to the north by Hampden, Wyman Park, and Johns Hopkins University and to the east by Charles Village. The southernmost boundary is North Avenue and the long southwestern boundary is formed by Falls Road in the I-83 corridor.

2. Population Change:

The Remington neighborhood has experienced a 6.8% population increase between the 2000 and 2010 Census, which translates into 157 additional residents within Remington in the last 10 years.

3. Availability of public facilities:

The property is well served by City services, with no expected impacts as a result of the rezoning. There is no new construction proposed for this parcel. Given the size of the parcel, we do not expect there to be substantial changes or upgrades to the existing public infrastructure.

4. Present and future transportation pattern:

The existing transportation pattern of this area is not expected to change as a result of this rezoning. Currently, there are no major street configurations proposed as a result of this rezoning. The property is currently served by bus, automobile, bicycle or pedestrian. This is expected to be the future transportation pattern, no change from the impact of this rezoning. All newly established uses will have to work with the Zoning office to determine the required parking as some may be eligible for a parking credit because the buildings were established prior to 1971 and provided no parking. The lot size of the parcels in this rezoning proposal are relatively small and parking requirements, if any, would be minimal. Though the exact future impact is not known at this time, some parts of Remington have Residential Permit Parking that restricts parking from 7 am to 7 pm. For those residential streets that do not have RPP, residents could work with the Parking Authority of Baltimore City (PABC) to potentially expand the program within the neighborhood.

5. Compatibility with existing and proposed development:

The rezoning proposal will allow for development that is consistent with the existing small scale commercial establishments which exist throughout the Remington neighborhood. It will also allow for business that complement and serve the many industrial business and residents in the area. Also, the proposal is consistent with proposed development in the area which typically consists of mixed-use, residential, commercial, and office uses.

6. Recommendations of the Planning Commission:

Approval X

Disapproval

7. Recommendation of the BMZA:

Approval X

Disapproval

8. Relation of the proposal to the City's Master Plan:

Conforms X

Does not conform

9. Changes in the character of the neighborhood:

Yes. The Remington neighborhood is undergoing substantial change in the reuse of some of the former industrial buildings and two major redevelopment proposals in the neighborhood.

10. A mistake in the existing zoning classification:

N/A

Land Use Committee:

Edward Reisinger
Chair

Nick Mosby
Member

Bill Henry
Member

Sharon Middleton
Member

Findings of Fact adopted, concerning the rezoning of 2648 Hampden Avenue, Baltimore, Maryland 21211.

Findings of Fact

By motion made and seconded, the City Council adopted the Findings of Fact contained in the staff report of the Planning Department as approved and adopted by the Planning Commission on September 15, 2016

**City Council Bill No. 16-0734
2648 Hampden Avenue, Baltimore, Maryland 21211**

Land Use Committee:

Edward Reisinger
Chair

Nick Mosby
Member

Bill Henry
Member

Sharon Middleton
Member

Findings of Fact adopted.

Findings of Fact

City Council Bill No. 16-0734 2800 Huntingdon Avenue, Baltimore, Maryland 21211

1. Boundaries of the Neighborhood:

Remington is a neighborhood in northern Baltimore bordered to the north by Hampden, Wyman Park, and Johns Hopkins University and to the east by Charles Village. The southernmost boundary is North Avenue and the long southwestern boundary is formed by Falls Road in the I-83 corridor.

2. Population Change:

The Remington neighborhood has experienced a 6.8% population increase between the 2000 and 2010 Census, which translates into 157 additional residents within Remington in the last 10 years.

3. Availability of public facilities:

The property is well served by City services, with no expected impacts as a result of the rezoning. There is no new construction proposed for this parcel. Given the size of the parcel, we do not expect there to be substantial changes or upgrades to the existing public infrastructure.

4. Present and future transportation pattern:

The existing transportation pattern of this area is not expected to change as a result of this rezoning. Currently, there are no major street configurations proposed as a result of this rezoning. The property is currently served by bus, automobile, bicycle or pedestrian. This is expected to be the future transportation pattern, no change from the impact of this rezoning. All newly established uses will have to work with the Zoning office to determine the required parking as some may be eligible for a parking credit because the buildings were established prior to 1971 and provided no parking. The lot size of the parcels in this rezoning proposal are relatively small and parking

requirements, if any, would be minimal. Though the exact future impact is not known at this time, some parts of Remington have Residential Permit Parking that restricts parking from 7 am to 7 pm. For those residential streets that do not have RPP, residents could work with the Parking Authority of Baltimore City (PABC) to potentially expand the program within the neighborhood.

5. Compatibility with existing and proposed development:

The rezoning proposal will allow for development that is consistent with the existing small scale commercial establishments which exist throughout the Remington neighborhood. It will also allow for business that complement and serve the many industrial business and residents in the area. Also, the proposal is consistent with proposed development in the area which typically consists of mixed-use, residential, commercial, and office uses.

6. Recommendations of the Planning Commission:

Approval X

Disapproval

7. Recommendation of the BMZA:

Approval X

Disapproval

8. Relation of the proposal to the City's Master Plan:

Conforms X

Does not conform

9. Changes in the character of the neighborhood:

Yes. The Remington neighborhood is undergoing substantial change in the reuse of some of the former industrial buildings and two major redevelopment proposals in the neighborhood.

10. A mistake in the existing zoning classification:

N/A

Land Use Committee:

Edward Reisinger
Chair

Nick Mosby
Member

Bill Henry
Member

Sharon Middleton
Member

Findings of Fact adopted, concerning the rezoning of 2800 Huntingdon Avenue, Baltimore, Maryland 21211.

Findings of Fact

By motion made and seconded, the City Council adopted the Findings of Fact contained in the staff report of the Planning Department as approved and adopted by the Planning Commission on September 15, 2016

**City Council Bill No. 16-0734
2800 Huntingdon Avenue, Baltimore, Maryland 21211**

Land Use Committee:

Edward Reisinger
Chair

Nick Mosby
Member

Bill Henry
Member

Sharon Middleton
Member

Findings of Fact adopted.

Findings of Fact

**City Council Bill No. 16-0734
2623 Huntingdon Avenue, Baltimore, Maryland 21211**

1. Boundaries of the Neighborhood:

Remington is a neighborhood in northern Baltimore bordered to the north by Hampden, Wyman Park, and Johns Hopkins University and to the east by Charles Village. The southernmost boundary is North Avenue and the long southwestern boundary is formed by Falls Road in the I-83 corridor.

2. Population Change:

The Remington neighborhood has experienced a 6.8% population increase between the 2000 and 2010 Census, which translates into 157 additional residents within Remington in the last 10 years.

3. Availability of public facilities:

The property is well served by City services, with no expected impacts as a result of the rezoning. There is no new construction proposed for this parcel. Given the size of the parcel, we do not expect there to be substantial changes or upgrades to the existing public infrastructure.

4. Present and future transportation pattern:

The existing transportation pattern of this area is not expected to change as a result of this rezoning. Currently, there are no major street configurations proposed as a result of this rezoning. The property is currently served by bus, automobile, bicycle or pedestrian. This is expected to be the future transportation pattern, no change from the impact of this rezoning. All newly established uses will have to work with the Zoning office to determine the required parking as some may be eligible for a parking credit because the buildings were established prior to 1971 and provided no parking. The lot size of the parcels in this rezoning proposal are relatively small and parking requirements, if any, would be minimal. Though the exact future impact is not known at this time, some parts of Remington have Residential Permit Parking that restricts parking from 7 am to 7 pm. For those residential streets that do not have RPP, residents could work with the Parking Authority of Baltimore City (PABC) to potentially expand the program within the neighborhood.

5. Compatibility with existing and proposed development:

The rezoning proposal will allow for development that is consistent with the existing small scale commercial establishments which exist throughout the Remington neighborhood. It will also allow for business that complement and serve the many industrial business and residents in the area. Also, the proposal is consistent with proposed development in the area which typically consists of mixed-use, residential, commercial, and office uses.

6. Recommendations of the Planning Commission:

Approval X

Disapproval

7. Recommendation of the BMZA:

Approval X

Disapproval

8. Relation of the proposal to the City's Master Plan:

Conforms X

Does not conform

9. Changes in the character of the neighborhood:

Yes. The Remington neighborhood is undergoing substantial change in the reuse of some of the former industrial buildings and two major redevelopment proposals in the neighborhood.

10. A mistake in the existing zoning classification:

N/A

Land Use Committee:

Edward Reisinger
Chair

Nick Mosby
Member

Bill Henry
Member

Sharon Middleton
Member

Findings of Fact adopted, concerning the rezoning of 2623 Huntingdon Avenue, Baltimore, Maryland 21211.

Findings of Fact

By motion made and seconded, the City Council adopted the Findings of Fact contained in the staff report of the Planning Department as approved and adopted by the Planning Commission on September 15, 2016

**City Council Bill No. 16-0734
2623 Huntingdon Avenue, Baltimore, Maryland 21211**

Land Use Committee:

Edward Reisinger
Chair

Nick Mosby
Member

Bill Henry
Member

Sharon Middleton
Member

Findings of Fact adopted.

Findings of Fact

**City Council Bill No. 16-0734
2743 Miles Avenue, Baltimore, Maryland 21211**

1. Boundaries of the Neighborhood:

Remington is a neighborhood in northern Baltimore bordered to the north by Hampden, Wyman Park, and Johns Hopkins University and to the east by Charles

Village. The southernmost boundary is North Avenue and the long southwestern boundary is formed by Falls Road in the I-83 corridor.

2. Population Change:

The Remington neighborhood has experienced a 6.8% population increase between the 2000 and 2010 Census, which translates into 157 additional residents within Remington in the last 10 years.

3. Availability of public facilities:

The property is well served by City services, with no expected impacts as a result of the rezoning. There is no new construction proposed for this parcel. Given the size of the parcel, we do not expect there to be substantial changes or upgrades to the existing public infrastructure.

4. Present and future transportation pattern:

The existing transportation pattern of this area is not expected to change as a result of this rezoning. Currently, there are no major street configurations proposed as a result of this rezoning. The property is currently served by bus, automobile, bicycle or pedestrian. This is expected to be the future transportation pattern, no change from the impact of this rezoning. All newly established uses will have to work with the Zoning office to determine the required parking as some may be eligible for a parking credit because the buildings were established prior to 1971 and provided no parking. The lot size of the parcels in this rezoning proposal are relatively small and parking requirements, if any, would be minimal. Though the exact future impact is not known at this time, some parts of Remington have Residential Permit Parking that restricts parking from 7 am to 7 pm. For those residential streets that do not have RPP, residents could work with the Parking Authority of Baltimore City (PABC) to potentially expand the program within the neighborhood.

5. Compatibility with existing and proposed development:

The rezoning proposal will allow for development that is consistent with the existing small scale commercial establishments which exist throughout the Remington neighborhood. It will also allow for business that complement and serve the many industrial business and residents in the area. Also, the proposal is consistent with proposed development in the area which typically consists of mixed-use, residential, commercial, and office uses.

6. Recommendations of the Planning Commission:Approval X Disapproval **7. Recommendation of the BMZA:**Approval X Disapproval **8. Relation of the proposal to the City's Master Plan:**Conforms X Does not conform **9. Changes in the character of the neighborhood:**

Yes. The Remington neighborhood is undergoing substantial change in the reuse of some of the former industrial buildings and two major redevelopment proposals in the neighborhood.

10. A mistake in the existing zoning classification:

N/A

Land Use Committee:

Edward Reisinger
Chair

Nick Mosby
Member

Bill Henry
Member

Sharon Middleton
Member

Findings of Fact adopted, concerning the rezoning of 2743 Miles Avenue, Baltimore, Maryland 21211.

Journal of the City Council**Findings of Fact**

By motion made and seconded, the City Council adopted the Findings of Fact contained in the staff report of the Planning Department as approved and adopted by the Planning Commission on September 15, 2016

**City Council Bill No. 16-0734
2743 Miles Avenue, Baltimore, Maryland 21211**

Land Use Committee:

Edward Reisinger
Chair

Nick Mosby
Member

Bill Henry
Member

Sharon Middleton
Member

Findings of Fact adopted.

Findings of Fact

**City Council Bill No. 16-0734
2656 Miles Avenue, Baltimore, Maryland 21211**

1. Boundaries of the Neighborhood:

Remington is a neighborhood in northern Baltimore bordered to the north by Hampden, Wyman Park, and Johns Hopkins University and to the east by Charles Village. The southernmost boundary is North Avenue and the long southwestern boundary is formed by Falls Road in the I-83 corridor.

2. Population Change:

The Remington neighborhood has experienced a 6.8% population increase between the 2000 and 2010 Census, which translates into 157 additional residents within Remington in the last 10 years.

3. Availability of public facilities:

The property is well served by City services, with no expected impacts as a result of the rezoning. There is no new construction proposed for this parcel. Given the size of the parcel, we do not expect there to be substantial changes or upgrades to the existing public infrastructure.

4. Present and future transportation pattern:

The existing transportation pattern of this area is not expected to change as a result of this rezoning. Currently, there are no major street configurations proposed as a result of this rezoning. The property is currently served by bus, automobile, bicycle or pedestrian. This is expected to be the future transportation pattern, no change from the impact of this rezoning. All newly established uses will have to work with the Zoning office to determine the required parking as some may be eligible for a parking credit because the buildings were established prior to 1971 and provided no parking. The lot size of the parcels in this rezoning proposal are relatively small and parking requirements, if any, would be minimal. Though the exact future impact is not known at this time, some parts of Remington have Residential Permit Parking that restricts parking from 7 am to 7 pm. For those residential streets that do not have RPP, residents could work with the Parking Authority of Baltimore City (PABC) to potentially expand the program within the neighborhood.

5. Compatibility with existing and proposed development:

The rezoning proposal will allow for development that is consistent with the existing small scale commercial establishments which exist throughout the Remington neighborhood. It will also allow for business that complement and serve the many industrial business and residents in the area. Also, the proposal is consistent with proposed development in the area which typically consists of mixed-use, residential, commercial, and office uses.

6. Recommendations of the Planning Commission:

Approval ___X___

Disapproval _____

7. Recommendation of the BMZA:

Approval ___X___

Disapproval _____

8. Relation of the proposal to the City's Master Plan:Conforms X Does not conform **9. Changes in the character of the neighborhood:**

Yes. The Remington neighborhood is undergoing substantial change in the reuse of some of the former industrial buildings and two major redevelopment proposals in the neighborhood.

10. A mistake in the existing zoning classification:

N/A

Land Use Committee:Edward Reisinger

Chair

Nick Mosby

Member

Bill Henry

Member

Sharon Middleton

Member

Findings of Fact adopted, concerning the rezoning of 2656 Miles Avenue, Baltimore, Maryland 21211.

Findings of Fact

By motion made and seconded, the City Council adopted the Findings of Fact contained in the staff report of the Planning Department as approved and adopted by the Planning Commission on September 15, 2016

**City Council Bill No. 16-0734
2656 Miles Avenue, Baltimore, Maryland 21211**

Land Use Committee:

Edward Reisinger
Chair

Nick Mosby
Member

Bill Henry
Member

Sharon Middleton
Member

Findings of Fact adopted.

Findings of Fact

City Council Bill No. 16-0734
201 West Lorraine Avenue, Baltimore, Maryland 21211

1. Boundaries of the Neighborhood:

Remington is a neighborhood in northern Baltimore bordered to the north by Hampden, Wyman Park, and Johns Hopkins University and to the east by Charles Village. The southernmost boundary is North Avenue and the long southwestern boundary is formed by Falls Road in the I-83 corridor.

2. Population Change:

The Remington neighborhood has experienced a 6.8% population increase between the 2000 and 2010 Census, which translates into 157 additional residents within Remington in the last 10 years.

3. Availability of public facilities:

The property is well served by City services, with no expected impacts as a result of the rezoning. There is no new construction proposed for this parcel. Given the size of the parcel, we do not expect there to be substantial changes or upgrades to the existing public infrastructure.

4. Present and future transportation pattern:

The existing transportation pattern of this area is not expected to change as a result of this rezoning. Currently, there are no major street configurations proposed as a result of this rezoning. The property is currently served by bus, automobile, bicycle or pedestrian. This is expected to be the future transportation pattern, no change from the impact of this rezoning. All newly established uses will have to work with the Zoning office to determine the required parking as some may be eligible for a parking credit because the buildings were established prior to 1971 and provided no parking. The lot size of the parcels in this rezoning proposal are relatively small and parking requirements, if any, would be minimal. Though the exact future impact is not known at this time, some parts of Remington have Residential Permit Parking that restricts parking from 7 am to 7 pm. For those residential streets that do not have RPP, residents could work with the Parking Authority of Baltimore City (PABC) to potentially expand the program within the neighborhood.

5. Compatibility with existing and proposed development:

The rezoning proposal will allow for development that is consistent with the existing small scale commercial establishments which exist throughout the Remington neighborhood. It will also allow for business that complement and serve the many industrial business and residents in the area. Also, the proposal is consistent with proposed development in the area which typically consists of mixed-use, residential, commercial, and office uses.

6. Recommendations of the Planning Commission:

Approval X

Disapproval _____

7. Recommendation of the BMZA:

Approval X

Disapproval _____

8. Relation of the proposal to the City's Master Plan:

Conforms X

Does not conform _____

9. Changes in the character of the neighborhood:

Yes. The Remington neighborhood is undergoing substantial change in the reuse of some of the former industrial buildings and two major redevelopment proposals in the neighborhood.

10. A mistake in the existing zoning classification:

N/A

Land Use Committee:

Edward Reisinger
Chair

Nick Mosby
Member

Bill Henry
Member

Sharon Middleton
Member

Findings of Fact adopted, concerning the rezoning of 201 West Lorraine Avenue, Baltimore, Maryland 21211.

Findings of Fact

By motion made and seconded, the City Council adopted the Findings of Fact contained in the staff report of the Planning Department as approved and adopted by the Planning Commission on September 15, 2016

**City Council Bill No. 16-0734
201 West Lorraine Avenue, Baltimore, Maryland 21211**

Land Use Committee:

Edward Reisinger
Chair

Nick Mosby
Member

Bill Henry
Member

Sharon Middleton
Member

Findings of Fact adopted.

Findings of Fact

City Council Bill No. 16-0734 2745 Huntingdon Avenue, Baltimore, Maryland 21211

1. Boundaries of the Neighborhood:

Remington is a neighborhood in northern Baltimore bordered to the north by Hampden, Wyman Park, and Johns Hopkins University and to the east by Charles Village. The southernmost boundary is North Avenue and the long southwestern boundary is formed by Falls Road in the I-83 corridor.

2. Population Change:

The Remington neighborhood has experienced a 6.8% population increase between the 2000 and 2010 Census, which translates into 157 additional residents within Remington in the last 10 years.

3. Availability of public facilities:

The property is well served by City services, with no expected impacts as a result of the rezoning. There is no new construction proposed for this parcel. Given the size of the parcel, we do not expect there to be substantial changes or upgrades to the existing public infrastructure.

4. Present and future transportation pattern:

The existing transportation pattern of this area is not expected to change as a result of this rezoning. Currently, there are no major street configurations proposed as a result of this rezoning. The property is currently served by bus, automobile, bicycle or pedestrian. This is expected to be the future transportation pattern, no change from the impact of this rezoning. All newly established uses will have to work with the Zoning office to determine the required parking as some may be eligible for a parking credit because the buildings were established prior to 1971 and provided no parking. The lot size of the parcels in this rezoning proposal are relatively small and parking

requirements, if any, would be minimal. Though the exact future impact is not known at this time, some parts of Remington have Residential Permit Parking that restricts parking from 7 am to 7 pm. For those residential streets that do not have RPP, residents could work with the Parking Authority of Baltimore City (PABC) to potentially expand the program within the neighborhood.

5. Compatibility with existing and proposed development:

The rezoning proposal will allow for development that is consistent with the existing small scale commercial establishments which exist throughout the Remington neighborhood. It will also allow for business that complement and serve the many industrial business and residents in the area. Also, the proposal is consistent with proposed development in the area which typically consists of mixed-use, residential, commercial, and office uses.

6. Recommendations of the Planning Commission:

Approval X

Disapproval

7. Recommendation of the BMZA:

Approval X

Disapproval

8. Relation of the proposal to the City's Master Plan:

Conforms X

Does not conform

9. Changes in the character of the neighborhood:

Yes. The Remington neighborhood is undergoing substantial change in the reuse of some of the former industrial buildings and two major redevelopment proposals in the neighborhood.

10. A mistake in the existing zoning classification:

N/A

Land Use Committee:

Edward Reisinger
Chair

Nick Mosby
Member

Bill Henry
Member

Sharon Middleton
Member

Findings of Fact adopted, concerning the rezoning of 2745 Huntingdon Avenue, Baltimore, Maryland 21211.

Findings of Fact

By motion made and seconded, the City Council adopted the Findings of Fact contained in the staff report of the Planning Department as approved and adopted by the Planning Commission on September 15, 2016

City Council Bill No. 16-0734
2745 Huntingdon Avenue, Baltimore, Maryland 21211

Land Use Committee:

Edward Reisinger
Chair

Nick Mosby
Member

Bill Henry
Member

Sharon Middleton
Member

Findings of Fact adopted.

Findings of Fact

**City Council Bill No. 16-0734
2740 Huntingdon Avenue, Baltimore, Maryland 21211**

1. Boundaries of the Neighborhood:

Remington is a neighborhood in northern Baltimore bordered to the north by Hampden, Wyman Park, and Johns Hopkins University and to the east by Charles Village. The southernmost boundary is North Avenue and the long southwestern boundary is formed by Falls Road in the I-83 corridor.

2. Population Change:

The Remington neighborhood has experienced a 6.8% population increase between the 2000 and 2010 Census, which translates into 157 additional residents within Remington in the last 10 years.

3. Availability of public facilities:

The property is well served by City services, with no expected impacts as a result of the rezoning. There is no new construction proposed for this parcel. Given the size of the parcel, we do not expect there to be substantial changes or upgrades to the existing public infrastructure.

4. Present and future transportation pattern:

The existing transportation pattern of this area is not expected to change as a result of this rezoning. Currently, there are no major street configurations proposed as a result of this rezoning. The property is currently served by bus, automobile, bicycle or pedestrian. This is expected to be the future transportation pattern, no change from the impact of this rezoning. All newly established uses will have to work with the Zoning office to determine the required parking as some may be eligible for a parking credit because the buildings were established prior to 1971 and provided no parking. The lot size of the parcels in this rezoning proposal are relatively small and parking requirements, if any, would be minimal. Though the exact future impact is not known at this time, some parts of Remington have Residential Permit Parking that restricts parking from 7 am to 7 pm. For those residential streets that do not have RPP, residents could work with the Parking Authority of Baltimore City (PABC) to potentially expand the program within the neighborhood.

5. Compatibility with existing and proposed development:

The rezoning proposal will allow for development that is consistent with the existing small scale commercial establishments which exist throughout the Remington neighborhood. It will also allow for business that complement and serve the many industrial business and residents in the area. Also, the proposal is consistent with proposed development in the area which typically consists of mixed-use, residential, commercial, and office uses.

6. Recommendations of the Planning Commission:

Approval X

Disapproval

7. Recommendation of the BMZA:

Approval X

Disapproval

8. Relation of the proposal to the City's Master Plan:

Conforms X

Does not conform

9. Changes in the character of the neighborhood:

Yes. The Remington neighborhood is undergoing substantial change in the reuse of some of the former industrial buildings and two major redevelopment proposals in the neighborhood.

10. A mistake in the existing zoning classification:

N/A

Land Use Committee:

Edward Reisinger
Chair

Nick Mosby
Member

Bill Henry
Member

Sharon Middleton
Member

Findings of Fact adopted, concerning the rezoning of 2740 Huntingdon Avenue, Baltimore, Maryland 21211.

Findings of Fact

By motion made and seconded, the City Council adopted the Findings of Fact contained in the staff report of the Planning Department as approved and adopted by the Planning Commission on September 15, 2016

**City Council Bill No. 16-0734
2740 Huntingdon Avenue, Baltimore, Maryland 21211**

Land Use Committee:

Edward Reisinger
Chair

Nick Mosby
Member

Bill Henry
Member

Sharon Middleton
Member

Findings of Fact adopted.

Amendments to City Council Bill No. 16-0734

Amendment No. 1

On page 1, in line 7, after the second “District”, insert “; and providing for a special effective date”.

Amendment No. 2

On page 2, in lines 25 and 26, strike “on the 30th day after the date” and substitute “when”.

The amendments were read and adopted.

Favorable report, as amended, adopted.

The bill, as amended, was read the second time and ordered printed for third reading.

**BILL NO. 16-0750 REPORTED FAVORABLY, WITH AMENDMENTS,
AND ORDERED PRINTED FOR THIRD READING**

Councilmember Reisinger, for the Land Use and Transportation Committee, reported that the Committee has complied with the Land Use Article of The Maryland Code and recommends the adoption of Bill No. 16-0750 favorably, with amendments.

An Ordinance approving certain amendments to the Development Plan of the Mt. Washington Mill Planned Unit Development.

Findings of Fact

**City Council Bill No. 16-0750
Planned Unit Development – Amendment 1 – Kennedy Krieger Institute**

Title 9-112

After consideration of:

- (1) the standards in Title 14 (Conditional Uses) of this article governing conditional uses; and
- (2) the plans for the Planned Unit Development are in general conformance with:
 - (A) all elements of the Master Plan; and
 - (B) the character and nature of existing and contemplated development in the vicinity of the proposed Planned Unit Development;
- (3) the Planned Unit Development will preserve unusual topographic or natural features of the land;
- (4) the design of the Planned Unit Development will best utilize and be compatible with the topography of the land;

- (5) the physical characteristics of the Planned Unit Development will not adversely affect:
 - (A) future development or the value of the undeveloped neighboring areas; or
 - (B) the use, maintenance, or value of neighboring areas already developed;
- (6) with respect to availability of light, air, open space, and street access, the Planned Unit Development will secure for its residents and neighboring residents substantially the same benefits as would be provided by application of the basic district regulations;
- (7) with respect to fire, health hazards, and other dangers, the Planned Unit Development will secure for its residents and neighboring residents substantially the same protection as would be provided by application of the basic district regulations; and
- (8) the Planned Unit Development will permit design features that would not be possible by application of the basic district regulations.

Land Use and Transportation Committee:

Edward Reisinger
Chair

Nick Mosby
Chair

Bill Henry
Member

Mary Pat Clarke
Member

Sharon Middleton
Member

James B. Kraft
Member

Finding of Facts adopted.

Amendments to City Council Bill No. 16-0750

Amendment No. 1

On page 2, after line 23, insert

“SECTION 3. AND BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED, That new Section 7 is added to Ordinance 08-97 to read as follows:

SECTION 7. AND BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED, THAT PRIOR TO THE ISSUANCE OF OCCUPANCY PERMITS FOR BUILDINGS IN A FLOODPLAIN, A FLOOD HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN MUST BE APPROVED BY THE DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING. THIS FLOOD HAZARD MITIGATION PLAN WILL INCLUDE, BUT NOT BE LIMITED TO: MANDATORY INTERIOR AND EXTERIOR SIGNAGE, AN OPERATIONS AND NOTIFICATION PLAN, AN EVACUATION PLAN, AND OTHER ELEMENTS AS REQUIRED BY THE BALTIMORE CITY FLOODPLAIN MANAGEMENT PROGRAM.”;

and, in Ordinance 08-97, renumber Sections 7, 8, 9, 10, and 11, respectively, to be Sections 8, 9, 10, 11, and 12, respectively.

Amendment No. 2

On page 2, in lines 24, 27, and 36, strike “3”, “4”, and “5”, respectively, and substitute “4”, “5”, and “6”, respectively.

The amendments were read and adopted.

Favorable report, as amended, adopted.

The bill, as amended, was read the second time and ordered printed for third reading.

**BILL NO. 16-0751 REPORTED FAVORABLY
AND ORDERED PRINTED FOR THIRD READING**

Councilmember Reisinger, for the Land Use and Transportation Committee, reported that the Committee has complied with the Land Use Article of the Maryland Code and recommends the adoption of Bill No. 16-0751 favorably.

An Ordinance changing the zoning for the property known as 300 South Patterson Park Avenue, as outlined in red on the accompanying plat, from the R-8 Zoning District to the O-R-1 Zoning District.

Findings of Fact

City Council Bill No. 16-0751
Rezoning – 300 South Patterson Park Avenue

1. Boundaries of the Neighborhood:

The subject property is located within the Upper Feels Point neighborhood: a community bounded roughly by Lombard and Pratt Streets to the north, Patterson Park Avenue to the east, Eastern Avenue to the south, and Regester Street to the west.

2. Population Change:

Between the 2000 and 2010 decennial Censuses, the Upper Fells Point area decreased by roughly 8%, indicating a trend toward a decreasing residential population.

3. Availability of public facilities:

The area is well served by public utilities and services and will remain so for the foreseeable future.

4. Present and future transportation pattern:

This property is served by the existing City street grid and will remain in that configuration.

5. Compatibility with existing and proposed development:

The proposed office-residential use for this property will permit the existing building to be used for office uses. Development in the area is a mix of medium density residential, multi-family and commercial. Eastern Ave is two blocks to the south. It consists of primarily commercial uses. There is a school directly across from the subject property on Gough Street and two tavern/restaurants of the southeast and northeast corners of Gough and S. Collington (one block from the subject property).

6. Recommendations of the Planning Commission:

Approval X

Disapproval

7. Recommendation of the BMZA:

Approval _____

Disapproval _____

*** No Objection****8. Relation of the proposal to the City's Master Plan:**

Conforms _____

Does not conform X

(TransForm Baltimore proposes for this property to remain zoned R-8)

9. Changes in the character of the neighborhood:

This neighborhood's character has changed to a younger and more professional population. As reflected in the proposed Transform Baltimore legislation, there is a desire and need for residents to work close to where they live and for workers to live near where they work.

10. A mistake in the existing zoning classification

N/A

Land Use Committee:

Edward Reisinger
Chair

James B. Kraft
Member

Sharon Middleton
Member

Mary Pat Clarke
Member

Findings of Fact and favorable report adopted.

The bill was read the second time and ordered printed for third reading.

**BILL NO. 16-0752 REPORTED FAVORABLY
AND ORDERED PRINTED FOR THIRD READING**

Councilmember Reisinger, for the Land Use and Transportation Committee, reported that the Committee has complied with the Land Use Article of the Maryland Code and recommends the adoption of Bill No. 16-0752 favorably.

An Ordinance changing the zoning for the property known as 900 Fleet Street, as outlined in red on the accompanying plat, from the M-2-2 Zoning District to the B-2-4 Zoning District.

Findings of Fact

**City Council Bill No. 16-0752
Rezoning – 900 Fleet Street**

1. Boundaries of the Neighborhood:

This site is located on the eastern edge of the Inner Harbor area, bordering Little Italy to the north and Fells Point to the east.

2. Population Change:

The immediate area surrounding this site is forecasted for a significant increase in population due to recent mixed-use developments such as Inner Harbor East and the ongoing Harbor Point project.

3. Availability of public facilities:

This area is well served by basic infrastructure and will continue to be so served.

4. Present and future transportation pattern:

This property is included within the eastern edge of the Southeast Strategic Transportation Vision plan developed by the Department of Transportation. This area has been under increased pressure by traffic due to increased investment and development in the vicinity, but it is served by road, bus (Charm City Circulator green and orange lines), and bicycle routes.

5. Compatibility with existing and proposed development:

This action will eliminate some of the remnant industrial zoning in this area and will support existing commercial uses to the north and south.

6. Recommendations of the Planning Commission:Approval X Disapproval **7. Recommendation of the BMZA:**Approval X Disapproval **8. Relation of the proposal to the City's Master Plan:**Conforms X Does not conform **9. Changes in the character of the neighborhood:**

Yes.

10. A mistake in the existing zoning classification: N/A**Land Use Committee:**Edward Reisinger
ChairBill Henry
MemberNick Mosby
MemberMary Pat Clarke
MemberSharon Middleton
MemberJames B. Kraft
Member

Findings of Fact and favorable report adopted.

The bill was read the second time and ordered printed for third reading.

**BILL NO. 16-0757 REPORTED FAVORABLY, WITH AMENDMENTS,
AND ORDERED PRINTED FOR THIRD READING**

Councilmember Reisinger, for the Land Use and Transportation Committee, reported that the Committee has complied with the Land Use Article of the Maryland Code and recommends the adoption of Bill No. 16-0757 favorably, with amendments.

An Ordinance repealing the existing Development Plan for the Loch Raven Boulevard and Argonne Drive Planned Unit Development, and approving a new Development Plan for the property, to be known as Northwood Commons Planned Unit Development.

Findings of Fact

**City Council Bill No. 16-0757
Planned Unit Development – Designation – Northwood Commons**

Title 9-112

After consideration of:

- (1) the standards in Title 14 (Conditional Uses) of this article governing conditional uses; and
- (2) the plans for the Planned Unit Development are in general conformance with:
 - (A) all elements of the Master Plan; and
 - (B) the character and nature of existing and contemplated development in the vicinity of the proposed Planned Unit Development;
- (3) the Planned Unit Development will preserve unusual topographic or natural features of the land;
- (4) the design of the Planned Unit Development will best utilize and be compatible with the topography of the land;
- (5) the physical characteristics of the Planned Unit Development will not adversely affect:
 - (A) future development or the value of the undeveloped neighboring areas; or

- (B) the use, maintenance, or value of neighboring areas already developed;
- (6) with respect to availability of light, air, open space, and street access, the Planned Unit Development will secure for its residents and neighboring residents substantially the same benefits as would be provided by application of the basic district regulations;
- (7) with respect to fire, health hazards, and other dangers, the Planned Unit Development will secure for its residents and neighboring residents substantially the same protection as would be provided by application of the basic district regulations; and
- (8) the Planned Unit Development will permit design features that would not be possible by application of the basic district regulations.

Land Use and Transportation Committee:

Edward Reisinger
Chair

Nick Mosby
Member

Bill Henry
Member

Mary Pat Clarke
Member

James B. Kraft
Member

Sharon Middleton
Member

Findings of Fact adopted

Amendments to City Council Bill No. 16-0757

Amendment No. 1

On page 1, in line 20, after the period, insert “The Applicant has entered into a Memorandum of Understanding with the Hillen Road Improvement Association, the New Northwood Community Association, Inc., the Original Northwood Association, and the Stonewood-

Pentwood-Winston Neighborhood Association, dated September 28, 2016, which is recorded among the Land Records of Baltimore City.”.

Amendment No. 2

On page 2, strike lines 13 and 14 in their entirety and substitute

- “(a) Sheet 1, “Existing Conditions Plan”, dated October 6, 2016;
- (b) Sheet 2, “Proposed Conditions Plan - Street Level”, dated October 6, 2016;
- (c) Sheet 3, “Outdoor Seating Plan”, dated October 6, 2016;
- (d) Sheet 4, “Proposed Conditions Plan - Lower, Second and Apartment Levels”, dated October 6, 2016; and
- (e) Sheet 5, “Sectional Views”, dated October 6, 2016.”.

Amendment No. 3

On page 2, in line 18, before “All”, insert “(a)”; and, on the same page, after line 19, insert

- “(b) Outdoor seating and table service.
- (c) The following additional use is specifically permitted within Area D of the Planned Unit Development:
 - Drive-in restaurants (including pick-up drives with window service).

SECTION 5. AND BE IT FURTHER ORDAINED, That the following uses are prohibited within the Planned Unit Development:

- (a) Adult entertainment;
- (b) After hours establishments;
- (c) Amusement parks and permanent carnivals;
- (d) Arcades;
- (e) Automobile painting shops;
- (f) Bail bondsmen;

- (g) Books or video stores - adult;
- (h) Check cashing establishments;
- (i) Community corrections centers;
- (j) Contractor construction shops and yards;
- (k) Firearms and ammunition sales;
- (l) Fraternity and sorority houses;
- (m) Garages, other than accessory, for storage, repair and servicing of motor vehicles not over 1½ tons capacity - including body repair, painting, and engine rebuilding;
- (n) Highway maintenance shops and yards;
- (o) Mobile home sales;
- (p) Parole and probation centers;
- (q) Pawnshops;
- (r) Payday loan shops;
- (s) Peep show establishments;
- (t) Rooming houses;
- (u) Stables for horses;
- (v) Stadiums;
- (w) Tattoo parlors;
- (x) Taxidermist shops;
- (y) Tobacco shops; and
- (z) Video lottery facilities.”.

Amendment No. 4

On page 2, strike lines 20 through 24 in their entirety.

The amendments were read and adopted.

Favorable report, as amended, adopted.

The bill, as amended, was read the second time and ordered printed for third reading.

**BILL NO. 15-0254R REPORTED FAVORABLY
AND ADOPTED**

Councilmember Branch, for the Public Safety Committee, reported Bill No. 15-0254R favorably.

A COUNCIL RESOLUTION CONCERNING

Implementing Law Enforcement Assisted Diversion in Baltimore

FOR the purpose of expressing support for an expansion of the Law Enforcement Assisted Diversion (LEAD) program nationwide and requesting that law enforcement and other stakeholders appear before the City Council to discuss how the LEAD program could be implemented in Baltimore, what partnerships and structures need to be put in place to allow LEAD to succeed, and how to provide it with the necessary human and financial resources.

Recitals

Law Enforcement Assisted Diversion, or LEAD, is a public safety program designed to use human resources tools to address public order problems that do not respond well to a traditional prosecution and punishment approach. The LEAD program allows street level police officers to divert individuals committing low-level crimes, typically minor drug or prostitution offenses, away from formal arrest and prosecution and instead into an intensively managed social services program.

Officers can avoid arresting the same people over and over for non-violent addiction driven offenses, and offenders can be directly connected to social services providers with the ability to provide drug treatment services, housing, or other interventions that can remove the root causes of disruptive behavior more effectively than an encounter with the criminal justice system could.

LEAD has proven to be effective in its primary goal of reducing offenses and improving public order. The nation's longest running LEAD program in Seattle has shown a 58% drop in

recidivism among its participants compared to similar individuals instead processed through the traditional criminal justice system.

In Seattle, LEAD has also helped to improve relations between the Police Department and heavily policed communities by positioning the Department as a partner genuinely interested in improving both public order and the lives of offenders as well as the community, rather than a punitive external force focused on arrest statistics more than actual problem solving.

With improved outcomes for communities, participants, and community-police relations, LEAD is a program worth taking a hard look at for any city. What it is not though is a straight out of the box, one size fits all, solution to every crime and policing problem in every community.

In order to succeed the LEAD program requires genuine buy-in and cooperation from a range of stakeholders, including street level police officers, the police command staff, prosecutors, political leaders, service providers, and business and community leaders. It also needs adequate resources to ensure that participants receive timely and targeted interventions without detracting from others' ability to get needed assistance.

LEAD has been successful elsewhere, and could potentially work well in Baltimore if properly implemented. That implementation will require a broad effort on the City's behalf. Discussions about its feasibility and how to establish the framework necessary for LEAD to succeed should begin immediately.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF BALTIMORE, That the Council expresses support for an expansion of the Law Enforcement Assisted Diversion (LEAD) program nationwide and requests that law enforcement and other stakeholders appear before the City Council to discuss how the LEAD program could be implemented in Baltimore, what partnerships and structures need to be put in place to allow LEAD to succeed, and how to provide it with the necessary human and financial resources.

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That a copy of this Resolution be sent to the Mayor, the Police Commissioner, the Health Commissioner, the Baltimore City State's Attorney, the District Public Defender for Baltimore City, the Commissioner of Housing, the Administrative Judge for the Baltimore City District Court, the President and Chief Executive Officer of Behavioral Health System Baltimore, and the Mayor's Legislative Liaison to the City Council.

Favorable report adopted.

The roll was called on the adoption of the Resolution, resulting as follows:

Yeas - President and Councilmembers Kraft, Scott, Curran, Henry, Spector, Middleton, Mosby, Holton, Welch, Reisinger, Costello, Branch, Clarke - Total 14.

Nays - 0.

Absent - Councilmember Stokes - Total 1.

The President declared the Resolution adopted.

**BILL NO. 13-0242 REPORTED FAVORABLY
AND ORDERED PRINTED FOR THIRD READING**

Councilmember Henry, for the Taxation, Finance and Economic Development Committee, reported Bill No. 13-0242 favorably.

An Ordinance repealing the deadlines by which a qualified person must apply for an arts and entertainment property tax credit or register for an arts and entertainment admissions and amusement tax exemption; conforming certain obsolete statutory references to their current counterparts; providing for a special effective date; and generally relating to tax credits and tax exemptions in Arts and Entertainment Districts.

Favorable report adopted.

The bill was read the second time and ordered printed for third reading.

**BILL NO. 16-0700 REPORTED FAVORABLY, WITH AMENDMENTS,
AND ORDERED PRINTED FOR THIRD READING**

Councilmember Henry, for the Taxation, Finance and Economic Development Committee, reported Bill No. 16-0700 favorably, with amendments.

A Resolution of the Mayor and City Council authorizing an economic development project to be known as “Eager Square”, in order that the Board of Estimates of Baltimore City (the “Board”) may enter into a Payment in Lieu of Taxes Agreement with Eager Place, LLC, a Maryland limited liability company, its successors or assigns (the “Developer”), for a project encompassing approximately (i) 246 residential market rate apartments and townhomes; (ii) 3,485 square feet of street retail; (iii) 152 on-site parking spaces; and (iv) related improvements/amenities; providing for a special effective date; and generally relating to payments in lieu of taxes for the Eager Square development.

Amendments to City Council Bill No. 16-0700

Amendment No. 1

On page 1, in line 15, after “7-504.3”, insert “(a)(2)”.

Amendment No. 2

On page 1, in line 20, after “townhomes”, insert “, which comprise a multifamily residential facility that has a private capital investment of equity and debt combined of at least \$5,000,000 in compliance with § 7-504.3(a)(2)(ii)(4) of the Tax-Property Article of the Annotated Code of Maryland”.

Amendment No. 3

On page 2, in line 12, after “Resolution”, insert “and upon the satisfaction of the requirements of § 7-504.3 of the Tax- Property Article of the Annotated Code of Maryland”; and, on the same page, in line 14, after “7-504.3(a)(2)(ii)”, insert “4 and”.

The amendments were read and adopted.

Favorable report, as amended, adopted.

The bill, as amended, was read the second time and ordered printed for third reading.

**BILL NO. 16-0722 REPORTED FAVORABLY, WITH AN AMENDMENT,
AND ORDERED PRINTED FOR THIRD READING**

Councilmember Henry, for the Taxation, Finance and Economic Development Committee, reported Bill No. 16-0722 favorably, with an amendment.

An Ordinance authorizing the Mayor and City Council of Baltimore to sell, at either public or private sale, all its interest in a certain parcel of land known as the former bed of a portion of a 12-foot alley, extending from another 12-foot alley Northeasterly 52 feet, more or less, to the southernmost extremity of Block 3563A, Lot 42B and no longer needed for public use; and providing for a special effective date.

Amendment to City Council Bill No. 16-0722

Amendment No. 1

On page 2, in line 3, after “land”, insert “, and an easement for ingress and egress in favor of the owner of the properties known as 3800 and 3810-3818 Roland Avenue and their invitees”.

The amendment was read and adopted.

Favorable report, as amended, adopted.

The bill, as amended, was read the second time and ordered printed for third reading.

**BILL NO. 16-0312R REPORTED FAVORABLY
AND ADOPTED**

Councilmember Henry, for the Taxation, Finance and Economic Development Committee, reported Bill No. 16-0312R favorably.

A COUNCIL RESOLUTION CONCERNING

**Approving the Submission of an Application to the State of Maryland
for the Creation of a West Baltimore City Focus Area**

FOR the purpose of approving the submission of an application to the State of Maryland to create a new Focus Area in West Baltimore City.

WHEREAS, The General Assembly of the State of Maryland established an Enterprise Zone Focus Area Program to focus State and local resources on the encouragement of economic growth in economically distressed areas and on employment opportunities for the unemployed; and

WHEREAS, The City Council recognizes that there is a significant need to stimulate the creation of jobs by establishing a Focus Area in the Penn North area of West Baltimore City. By doing so, opportunities will increase for revitalization, rehabilitation, and job creation (See the attached Exhibit, "Proposed West Baltimore Focus Area", for a map of the area affected by the approval of this Resolution); and

WHEREAS, The Focus Area will follow Maryland Annotated Code Article 83A, § 5- 402 and have a 5-year term, whereby reverting back to Enterprise Zone status at the end of the 5 years.

WHEREAS, The City of Baltimore, through the Baltimore Development Corporation (BDC), has prepared an application for the creation of the Focus Area to include this aforementioned area; and

WHEREAS, The regulations of the Enterprise Zone Focus Area Program require the written expression of the sentiment of the Baltimore City Council.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF BALTIMORE, That the City Council of Baltimore:

1. Hereby endorses this October 2016 application of the City for the creation of the Penn North Focus Area.
2. Resolves that copies of this Resolution be sent to the Secretary of the Maryland Department of Commerce, State of Maryland, Honorable Mayor of the City of Baltimore, and President of the Baltimore Development Corporation (BDC).

Favorable report adopted.

The roll was called on the adoption of the Resolution, resulting as follows:

Yeas - President and Councilmembers Kraft, Scott, Curran, Henry, Spector, Middleton, Mosby, Holton, Welch, Reisinger, Costello, Branch, Clarke - Total 14.

Nays - 0.

Absent - Councilmember Stokes - Total 1.

The President declared the Resolution adopted.

**BILL NO. 16-0693 REPORTED FAVORABLY
AND ORDERED PRINTED FOR THIRD READING**

Councilmember Middleton, for the Urban Affairs and Aging Committee, reported Bill No. 16-0693 favorably.

An Ordinance repealing Ordinance 87-1075, as amended by Ordinances 87-1179 and 88-1, which established the Port Covington Urban Renewal Area and Plan; and providing for a special effective date.

Favorable report adopted.

The bill was read the second time and ordered printed for third reading.

**BILL NO. 16-0708 REPORTED FAVORABLY, WITH AMENDMENTS,
AND ORDERED PRINTED FOR THIRD READING**

Councilmember Middleton, for the Urban Affairs and Aging Committee, reported Bill No. 16-0708 favorably, with amendments.

An Ordinance amending the Urban Renewal Plan for Rosemont to amend certain exhibits to reflect the change in zoning, upon approval by separate ordinance, for the property known as 1410 North Monroe Street; waiving certain content and procedural requirements; making the

provisions of this Ordinance severable; providing for the application of this Ordinance in conjunction with certain other ordinances; and providing for a special effective date.

Amendments to City Council Bill No. 16-0708

Amendment No. 1

On page 1, in line 3, in the blank, insert “1”; and, on page 2, in line 9, in the blank, insert “1”.

Amendment No. 2

On page 1, in lines 6 and 19, in each instance, after “Street”, insert “, and modifying a provision of the Plan for general control of landscaping and screening”.

Amendment No. 3

On page 2, after line 6, insert

“(3) In the Plan, amend F.2.d.(1) to read as follows:

F. General Regulations, Controls, and Restrictions

2. General Controls

d. Landscaping and Screening

....

(1) All parking, loading, and service areas must be buffered from a public right-of-way by landscaping, berms, or fencing combined with landscaping. The buffer area must be a minimum 10-foot-wide area, and any fencing must be a maximum of 10 feet high and must be located behind the landscaped area. Material for fencing should be of masonry, metal, or a combination of these materials, or other appropriate materials. THE USE OF BARBED WIRE, RAZOR WIRE, OR SIMILAR MATERIAL IS PROHIBITED. A minimum of 1 tree must be provided per 40 linear feet of dedicated public roadway. The remainder of the buffer area must be covered with shrubs, groundcover, grass, or other approved landscape treatment. The planting areas must be protected by curbing or wheel stops. The landscaping must not obscure sight distance at points of access and intersections.”.

The amendments were read and adopted.

Favorable report, as amended, adopted.

The bill, as amended, was read the second time and ordered printed for third reading.

**BILL NO. 16-0710 REPORTED FAVORABLY, WITH AMENDMENTS,
AND ORDERED PRINTED FOR THIRD READING**

Councilmember Middleton, for the Urban Affairs and Aging Committee, reported Bill No. 16-0710 favorably, with amendments.

An Ordinance amending the Urban Renewal Plan for Mondawmin Transit Station to correct Exhibit 1, "Land Use Plan", to conform the land use designation of the property known as 2700 Reisterstown Road to the property's existing zoning district classification; waiving certain content and procedural requirements; making the provisions of this Ordinance severable; providing for the application of this Ordinance in conjunction with certain other ordinances; and providing for a special effective date.

Amendments to City Council Bill No. 16-0710

Amendment No. 1

On page 1, in line 3, in the blank, insert "1"; and, on page 2, in line 8, in the blank, insert "1".

The amendments were read and adopted.

Favorable report, as amended, adopted.

The bill, as amended, was read the second time and ordered printed for third reading.

**BILL NO. 16-0725 REPORTED FAVORABLY, WITH AMENDMENTS,
AND ORDERED PRINTED FOR THIRD READING**

Councilmember Middleton, for the Urban Affairs and Aging Committee, reported Bill No. 16-0725 favorably, with amendments.

An Ordinance amending the Urban Renewal Plan for Carroll Camden to change the allowable land use and proposed development for an existing disposition lot, to add a new disposition lot to the Plan, and to revise certain exhibits to reflect these changes in the Plan; waiving certain content and procedural requirements; making the provisions of this Ordinance severable; providing for the application of this Ordinance in conjunction with certain other ordinances; and providing for a special effective date.

Amendments to City Council Bill No. 16-0725

Amendment No. 1

On page 1, in line 3, in the blank, insert “8”; and, on page 2, in line 27, in the blank, insert “8”.

The amendments were read and adopted.

Favorable report, as amended, adopted.

The bill, as amended, was read the second time and ordered printed for third reading.

**BILL NO. 16-0731 REPORTED FAVORABLY, WITH AMENDMENTS,
AND ORDERED PRINTED FOR THIRD READING**

Councilmember Middleton, for the Urban Affairs and Aging Committee, reported Bill No. 16-0731 favorably, with amendments.

An Ordinance amending the Urban Renewal Plan for Market Center to amend Exhibit 3 of the Plan to reflect the change in zoning, upon approval by separate ordinance, for the property known as 325 West Baltimore Street; waiving certain content and procedural requirements; making the provisions of this Ordinance severable; providing for the application of this Ordinance in conjunction with certain other ordinances; and providing for a special effective date.

Amendments to City Council Bill No. 16-0731

Amendment No. 1

On page 1, in line 3, in the blank, insert “18”; and, on page 2, in line 8, in the blank, insert “18”.

The amendments were read and adopted.

Favorable report, as amended, adopted.

The bill, as amended, was read the second time and ordered printed for third reading.

THIRD READING

The President laid before the City Council:

BILL NO. 16-0637 - An Ordinance changing the zoning for the properties known as 3000-3052 West North Avenue, as outlined in red on the accompanying plat, from the B-2-2 Zoning District to the B-2-3 Zoning District.

It was read the third time.

The bill being on its final passage, the yeas and nays were called, resulting as follows:

Yeas - President and Councilmembers Kraft, Scott, Curran, Henry, Spector, Middleton, Mosby, Holton, Welch, Reisinger, Costello, Branch, Clarke - Total 14.

Nays - 0.

Absent - Councilmember Stokes - Total 1.

The bill was read and approved, and the bill was declared "Passed".

The President laid before the City Council:

BILL NO. 16-0690 - An Ordinance changing the zoning for the properties known as 3020 Garrison Boulevard, ~~3013~~, 3015, 3017, 3019, and 3021 Chelsea Terrace, ~~and~~ 3805, 3807, and 3809 Bonner Road, Block 2755, Lots 40, 40A, 40B, 40C, 40D, and 40E, and the unnamed public alley that borders the properties, as outlined in red on the accompanying amended plat, from the R-6 Zoning District to the R-8 Zoning District.

It was read the third time.

The bill being on its final passage, the yeas and nays were called, resulting as follows:

Yeas - President and Councilmembers Kraft, Scott, Curran, Henry, Spector, Middleton, Mosby, Holton, Welch, Reisinger, Costello, Branch, Clarke - Total 14.

Nays - 0.

Absent - Councilmember Stokes - Total 1.

The bill was read and approved, and the bill was declared "Passed".

The President laid before the City Council:

BILL NO. 16-0711 - An Ordinance naming the skatepark, located in a portion of Roosevelt Park, ~~1121~~ ^{36th} 1201 West 36th Street, to be the Skatepark of Baltimore at Roosevelt Park.

It was read the third time.

The bill being on its final passage, the yeas and nays were called, resulting as follows:

Yeas - President and Councilmembers Kraft, Scott, Curran, Henry, Spector, Middleton, Mosby, Holton, Welch, Reisinger, Costello, Branch, Clarke - Total 14.

Nays - 0.

Absent - Councilmember Stokes - Total 1.

The bill was read and approved, and the bill was declared "Passed".

The President laid before the City Council:

BILL NO. 16-0716 - An Ordinance repealing the existing Development Plan for Roland Park Place and approving a new Development Plan for the Roland Park Place Planned Unit Development.

It was read the third time.

The bill being on its final passage, the yeas and nays were called, resulting as follows:

Yeas - President and Councilmembers Kraft, Scott, Curran, Henry, Spector, Middleton, Mosby, Holton, Welch, Reisinger, Costello, Branch, Clarke - Total 14.

Nays - 0.

Absent - Councilmember Stokes - Total 1.

The bill was read and approved, and the bill was declared "Passed".

The President laid before the City Council:

BILL NO. 16-0719 - An Ordinance amending Ordinance 16-487, which repealed the existing Development Plan for the Canton Crossing Planned Unit Development and approved a new Development Plan for the Canton Crossing Planned Unit Development, to conform the dates of

certain exhibit sheets to the dates of the exhibit sheets that were signed by the Mayor and attached to and made part of the Ordinance; and providing for a special effective date.

It was read the third time.

The bill being on its final passage, the yeas and nays were called, resulting as follows:

Yeas - President and Councilmembers Kraft, Scott, Curran, Henry, Spector, Middleton, Mosby, Holton, Welch, Reisinger, Costello, Branch, Clarke - Total 14.

Nays - 0.

Absent - Councilmember Stokes - Total 1.

The bill was read and approved, and the bill was declared "Passed".

ENROLLED READING

The President laid before the City Council Enrolled Bill No. 16-0723.

An Ordinance creating a Small Local Business Enterprise ("SLBE") Program, to be administered by the Minority and Women's Business Opportunity Office; requiring the establishment of Goal Setting Committees for certain specified industry categories; defining certain terms; establishing the eligibility requirements for firms seeking to participate in the SLBE Program; establishing graduation and suspension criteria for firms in the SLBE Program; authorizing the City to employ various affirmative procurement initiatives to promote the award of City contracts to SLBEs; requiring annual reports on the SLBE Program; requiring periodic hearings on and review of the SLBE Program; providing for the appeal of certain adverse determinations by the Minority and Women's Business Opportunity Office; setting certain penalties; correcting, conforming, and clarifying related provisions; setting a special effective date; and generally relating to City procurement requirements.

It was read the third time.

The bill being on its final passage, the yeas and nays were called, resulting as follows:

Yeas - President and Councilmembers Kraft, Curran, Henry, Spector, Middleton, Mosby, Holton, Welch, Reisinger, Costello, Branch, Clarke - Total 13.

Nays - 0.

Pass - Councilmember Scott - Total 1.

Absent - Councilmember Stokes - Total 1.

The bill was read and approved, and the bill was declared "Passed".

ADJOURNMENT

On motion of Councilmember Reisinger, duly seconded, the City Council adjourned to meet on Monday, October 24, 2016, at 5:00 p.m.

Consent Calendar

- CR 6788** President Young, All Members,
A City Council RESOLUTION congratulating Elizabeth R. Castro on your retirement after 31 years and 6 months of dedicate service to the United States Postal Service.
- CR 6789** Spector
A City Council RESOLUTION congratulating Noam Lewis on your achieving the rank of Eagle Scout, and congratulations on your Eagle Scout Project.
- CR 6790** Welch
A City Council RESOLUTION on the death of Reverend Errol D. Gilliard Sr., Pastor, Greater Harvest Baptist Church, November 11, 1957 – September 17, 2016.
- CR 6791** President Young, All Members,
A City Council RESOLUTION congratulating Reverend Dr. William C. Bailey on your 25th Pastoral and Church Anniversary Celebration, may you continue to be a blessing to those you serve at Christian Love Baptist Church.
- CR 6792** President Young, All Members,
A City Council RESOLUTION congratulating Adrian S. Tiggie on your retirement after 41 years of dedicated and outstanding employment with the Baltimore City Department of Social Services.
- CR 6793** Stokes
A City Council RESOLUTION on the death of Gene Raynor, November 17, 1935 – September 17, 2016.
- CR 6794** Holton
A City Council RESOLUTION congratulating Bishop M. Reid III on the elevation of God's servant to the people as the 138th elected and consecrated Bishop of the AMEC.
- CR 6795** President Young, All Members,
A City Council RESOLUTION congratulating Lori Boyd-Savage on your 50th Birthday and the blessing of reaching another year, may this special day be filled with the love and support of family and dear friends, Happy Birthday.
- CR 6796** President Young, All Members,
A City Council RESOLUTION congratulating Senator Robert Dalton on being named a 2016 Community Hero.
- CR 6797** President Young, All Members,
A City Council RESOLUTION congratulating Senator Nathaniel J. McFadden on being named a 2016 Community Hero.

- CR 6798** President Young, All Members,
A City Council RESOLUTION congratulating Reverend Kevin Anthony Carr on being installed assistant Pastor of Harlem Park Community Baptist Church and 29 years of preaching the gospel and 50 years of singing.
- CR 6799** President Young, All Members,
A City Council RESOLUTION congratulating Reverend Dr. Raymond Kelly, Jr. on being the Founder and Pastor of Harlem Park Community Baptist Church for 42 years.
- CR 6800** Welch
A City Council RESOLUTION on the death of Samuel S. Falls, Jr., December 9, 1937 – September 14, 2016.
- CR 6801** President Young, All Members,
A City Council RESOLUTION congratulating The Baltimore Times on 30 years of “positive stories about positive people”, Happy 30th Anniversary.
- CR 6802** President Young, All Members,
A City Council RESOLUTION congratulating Bagging for Hunger on the Klein Family, The Klein Family Foundation and Klein’s Shoprite of Maryland, and their long-term support for all the people of Howard Park and Baltimore City.
- CR 6803** Stokes
A City Council RESOLUTION on the death of Caldwell James Gaffney, Sr., March 18, 1922 – September 8, 1922.
- CR 6804** President Young, All Members,
A City Council RESOLUTION to the Family of Crystal “Anthony” Edmonds, May 20, 1984 – September 16, 2016.
- CR 6805** Holton
A City Council RESOLUTION on the death of Carolyn Peters Duncan, February 2, 1935 – September 13, 2016.
- CR 6806** Spector
A City Council RESOLUTION congratulating Bishop Frank M. Reid on your elevation to the rank of Bishop in the African American Episcopal Church.
- CR 6807** President Young, All Members,
A City Council RESOLUTION to the Family of Priscilla Ross, January 4, 1944 – September 15, 2016.
- CR 6808** President Young, All Members,
A City Council RESOLUTION congratulating Reverend Barry K. Hargrove on 10 years of outstanding leadership and dedicated service to the Price of Peace Baptist Church and Community.

- CR 6809** President Young, All Members,
A City Council RESOLUTION congratulating Traffik on your 2016 Sickel Cell Anemia Runway Show and your outstanding commitment to creating awareness and charitable events for sickle cell anemia.
- CR 6810** Welch
A City Council RESOLUTION congratulating Shani Phelan, Robert Lee, and Elaine Lee on enrichment of the learning environment at Steuart Hill Academic Academy by providing the resources to renovate both the school library and auditorium.
- CR 6811** President Young, All Members,
A City Council RESOLUTION congratulating Lilian Amaya on her dedication to Baltimore City's Latino LGBTQ Community through her role as the Founder of IRIS.
- CR 6812** President Young, All Members,
A City Council RESOLUTION congratulating Edwin Perez on his dedication to Baltimore City's Hispanic/Latino Community through his role as a Teacher at City College.
- CR 6813** President Young, All Members,
A City Council RESOLUTION congratulating Evelyn Vargas on her dedication to Baltimore City's Hispanic/Latino Community through her role as an advocate for Latino Crime Victims.
- CR 6814** President Young, All Members,
A City Council RESOLUTION congratulating Jennifer Mendez on her dedication to Baltimore City's Hispanic/Latino Community through her role as an emerging young leader.
- CR 6815** President Young, All Members,
A City Council RESOLUTION congratulating Roslyn L. Chester, Benia Richardson, Helene D. Goldberg, and Angela D. Gilliam on being appointed by Governor Lawrence J. Hogan, Jr. as a member of the Baltimore City Citizens Review Board for Children NW08, for a term of four years.
- CR 6816** Welch
A City Council RESOLUTION congratulating Carolyn A. Myers on 70 years of life and your service to your family and community, Happy Birthday.
- CR 6817** President Young, All Members,
A City Council RESOLUTION congratulating Mr. James and Mrs. Jacqueline Owings on your testimony of unconditional love, may you continue to celebrate the joys of today, memories of yesterday and hopes for tomorrow, Happy 75th Diamond Anniversary.

- CR 6818 Stokes**
A City Council RESOLUTION on the death of Danny E. Barnes, Jr., August 3, 1986 – September 23, 2016.
- CR 6819 Welch**
A City Council RESOLUTION congratulating the Students of the UMB Cure Scholars Program on your acceptance into the Class of UMB Cure Scholars, preparing for your future career in health care and research.
- CR 6820 Welch**
A City Council RESOLUTION congratulating Samuel McClendon on the benefit of your wisdom for eighty years, congratulations on your 80th Birthday!
- CR 6821 President Young, All Members,**
A City Council RESOLUTION congratulating Pia D. Butler on your retirement after 44 years and 6 months of service with the Baltimore City Police Department.
- CR 6822 Welch**
A City Council RESOLUTION congratulating Sister Josephine Stephenson on being a charter member of 61 years to the Triumph Christian Church and Ministries, blessing upon you as you continue to use your spiritual gifts and labor diligently to God's People.
- CR 6823 Welch**
A City Council RESOLUTION congratulating Triumph Christian Church and Ministries, Inc. on your 61st Church Anniversary, "A Church rooted in faith, a place of refuge and a preaching station", Happy Anniversary.
- CR 6824 Kraft**
A City Council RESOLUTION on the death of Helen M. Sutor, May 16, 1935 – September 26, 2016.
- CR 6825 President Young, All Members,**
A City Council RESOLUTION on the death of Doyle Everett, October 29, 1925 – September 26, 2016.
- CR 6826 Welch**
A City Council RESOLUTION congratulating Korean American Political Action Committee (KOAMPOC), Il-Soon Chang, Go-Eun Kang, Julian J. Min, Patrick T. Min, Jason H. Kim, John D. Shin, John S. Oh, Jay S. Ko, Byong-Wook An, Jun-Ho Lee, Richard Purtell, Matthew Lee, Ki-Hong Min, and Ki-Suk Kim on your outstanding service, commitment and various contributions to members of the Korean Community and to the Citizens of Baltimore City.
- CR 6827 Stokes**
A City Council RESOLUTION on the death of Henry A. Anderson, Jr., February 2, 1971 – September 28, 2016.

- CR 6828 Welch**
A City Council RESOLUTION on the death of Susan (“The Cake Lady”) Jackson, January 24, 1921 – September 30, 2016.
- CR 6829 President Young, All Members,**
A City Council RESOLUTION congratulating Robert Holland, Sr. on your 70th Birthday Celebration and the blessing of reaching threescore and ten, may your special day be filled with the love and support of family and dear friends.
- CR 6830 President Young, All Members,**
A City Council RESOLUTION congratulating Greater New Hope Baptist Church Towers Inc. on 30 years of providing housing to the senior citizens and persons with disabilities in Baltimore City.
- CR 6831 Welch**
A City Council RESOLUTION congratulating The Baltimore Times 2016 Women of Passion - Women of Purpose Awards on receiving the Baltimore Times 2016 Women of Passion – Women of Purpose Awards.
- CR 6832 President Young, All Members,**
A City Council RESOLUTION congratulating Barbara Brown on your 80th Birthday Celebration and the blessing of reaching fourscore, may you find joy in your special day with the love and support of family and dear friends.
- CR 6833 President Young, All Members,**
A City Council RESOLUTION to the Family of Alfred Boone, February 14, 1951 – October 2, 2016.
- CR 6834 President Young, All Members,**
A City Council RESOLUTION to the Family of Sylvia Lee Hardison, November 7, 1953 – October 1, 2016.
- CR 6835 President Young, All Members,**
A City Council RESOLUTION to the Family of Myrtle Harrison, October 4, 1933 – September 29, 2016.
- CR 6836 Clarke**
A City Council RESOLUTION congratulating James Gray, Jr. on a long time faithful service to the Church Council of Our Savior Lutheran Church.
- CR 6837 President Young, All Members,**
A City Council RESOLUTION congratulating Victor Oates on the formation of the Morrell Park Clean Up Crew and your dedication to making our neighborhood a safer and cleaner environment.

- CR 6838 Curran**
A City Council RESOLUTION congratulating Patricia Harris, President and C.E.O of the African American Authors and Empowerment Expo on your outstanding contributions to the community.
- CR 6839 Welch**
A City Council RESOLUTION congratulating St. Edward Roman Catholic Church on your 2016 Annual Homecoming Celebration.
- CR 6840 Reisinger**
A City Council RESOLUTION congratulating Officer Jerry Roney on being a true bridge builder to the SB6 Communities, we love, support, and thank you for your dedication service to our committees.
- CR 6841 Curran**
A City Council RESOLUTION on the death of William F. Vetter, July 31, 1924 – October 6, 2016.
- CR 6842 Holton**
A City Council RESOLUTION on the death of Bishop Elect Shirley Tisdale Walker, April 10, 1940 – September 30, 2016.
- CR 6843 Welch**
A City Council RESOLUTION congratulating Chimes, En Vogue, Kool and the Gang, Martin Lampner, and Ray Lewis on your support time and dedication to meeting needs and creating opportunities for people with disabilities and other special needs.
- CR 6844 Middleton**
City Council RESOLUTION congratulating Martha Legette Thompson on 40 years of diligent and dedicated service to the Baltimore City Public School System.
- CR 6845 President Young, All Members,**
A City Council RESOLUTION congratulating Northwood Appold Unit Methodist Church on the church's Reopening Ceremony, in everything give thanks, A good investment is the time spent in God's house.
- CR 6846 President Young, All Members,**
A City Council RESOLUTION to the Family of Charles E. Brown, September 1, 1950 – October 2, 2016.
- CR 6847 President Young, All Members,**
A City Council RESOLUTION to the Family of Raymond Joseph Hall, Sr., May 25, 1947 – October 3, 2016.

- CR 6848** President Young, All Members,
A City Council RESOLUTION congratulating Richard and Joan Savage on 40 years of marriage, wishing you more laughter, joy, and love for the both of you in the years to come, Happy 40th Anniversary.
- CR 6849** President Young, All Members,
A City Council RESOLUTION congratulating Mrs. Frances Harris on your retirement after 16 years of dedicated and committed service to Johns Hopkins Hospital Department of pediatrics.
- CR 6850** President Young, All Members,
A City Council RESOLUTION to the Family of Mary Claggett, June 15, 1923 – October 1, 2016.
- CR 6851** President Young, All Members,
A City Council RESOLUTION to the Family of Alan H. Nelson, July 25, 1951 – October 5, 2016.
- CR 6852** Welch
A City Council RESOLUTION on the death of Rudolph S. Curtis, October 8, 1961 – October 5, 2016.
- CR 6853** President Young, All Members,
A City Council RESOLUTION congratulating Pastor Drew Kyndall Ross on your 5th year Anniversary of Resurrection Church and your dedicated service to the community.
- CR 6854** President Young, All Members,
A City Council RESOLUTION on the death of Ashely Korin Thomas, May 22, 1989 – October 8, 2016.
- CR 6855** President Young, All Members,
A City Council RESOLUTION congratulating Hemingway Temple African Methodist Episcopal Church, Reverend Dr. Joan L. Wharton – Pastor on your 65th Church Anniversary, may this church continue to be a blessing to the families and communities it serves.
- CR 6856** President Young, All Members,
A City Council RESOLUTION congratulating Lynn C. Harris on your retirement from the Bureau of Treasury Management, after 47 years of dedicated service, good luck and best wishes to you on your retirement.
- CR 6857** Kraft
A City Council RESOLUTION congratulating Chrissy Anderson on her service on behalf of the Fells Prospect Community as Outgoing President of the Greater Fells Prospect Community Association.

- CR 6858 Holton**
A City Council RESOLUTION on the death of Oradale Valeria Knight, August 21, 1932 – October 9, 2016.
- CR 6859 Clarke**
A City Council RESOLUTION congratulating Alice Louise Fitts on 27 years of faithful service, dedication, commitment and unwavering allegiance to Baltimore City Public Schools.
- CR 6860 President Young, All Members,**
A City Council RESOLUTION congratulating Bishop Bryant K. Martin and Freedom Church and Ministries on your new church dedication and community service to Baltimore, you are a blessing to the families and communities you serve.
- CR 6861 President Young, All Members,**
A City Council RESOLUTION congratulating Christine McNeil on your 90th Birthday on October 5, 2016 and to honor your celebration of life and reaching four scores and ten.
- CR 6862 Clark**
A City Council RESOLUTION congratulating Sgt. Doug Gibson on holding us up and together for memorable decades.
- CR 6863 Clark**
A City Council RESOLUTION congratulating Officer Bill O'Donnell on being everywhere always when needed most.
- CR 6864 Clark**
A City Council RESOLUTION congratulating Major Richard Gibson on your leadership with heart, skill, and results in these most trying times.
- CR 6865 Clark**
A City Council RESOLUTION congratulating Captain Lloyd Wells on your leadership and support as he “breaks us in” to his quiet and effective service to community.
- CR 6866 President Young, All Members,**
A City Council RESOLUTION to the Family of Raymond Torain, October 22, 1949 – October 7, 2016.
- CR 6867 Clark**
A City Council RESOLUTION congratulating Design and Integration, Inc. on 20 years of Celebration.
- CR 6868 Middleton**
A City Council RESOLUTION to the Family of Lisa Lenay Rice-Green, February 26, 1961 – October 4, 2016.

- CR 6869** President Young, All Members,
A City Council RESOLUTION congratulating Pastor Merrill R. Griffin on 15 years of ministering the Gospel of Jesus Christ and 10 years as a Founder and Pastor of Soul Harvest Church and Ministries, Happy Anniversary.
- CR 6870** Holton
A City Council RESOLUTION congratulating Blanche Beckham on reaching the rip young age of 90, wishing you more years in your future.
- CR 6871** President Young, All Members,
A City Council RESOLUTION to the Family of Evangelist Inez Jones, July 7, 1924 – October 12, 2016.
- CR 6872** President Young, All Members,
A City Council RESOLUTION to the Family of Joseph Johnson, August 30, 1960 – October 4, 2016.
- CR 6873** Stokes
A City Council RESOLUTION congratulating Raymond McCoy, May 18, 1932 – October 10, 2016.
- CR 6874** President Young, All Members,
A City Council RESOLUTION congratulating Bishop Alfred and Marlene Reaves on celebrating 55 years of a beautifully blessed marriage, may you both have more years of loving each other more than yesterday, Happy 55th Anniversary.
- CR 6875** President Young, All Members,
A City Council RESOLUTION to the Family of John Paterakis, Sr., March 2, 1929 – October 16, 2016.
- CR 6876** President Young, All Members,
A City Council RESOLUTION congratulating Susan Berdell Collins on your retirement from the Maryland Department of Disability Administration, as a Direct Services Technician, after 34 years of dedicated service.
- CR 6877** President Young, All Members,
A City Council RESOLUTION congratulating Pete Collins on your 70th Birthday Celebration and the blessing of reaching threescore and ten, may your special day be filled with the love and support of family and dear friends.
- CR 6878** President Young, All Members,
A City Council RESOLUTION congratulating Calvin and Mavilyn Statham on 52 faithfully dedicated years of music ministry and service to the faith community of Baltimore and the Nation.

- CR 6879 Costello**
A City Council RESOLUTION congratulating Pastor Jake Simpson on your service to the Salem Lutheran Church and many hours in support of the Riverside Neighborhood and surrounding communities.
- CR 6880 President Young, All Members,**
A City Council RESOLUTION to the Family of Inez L. Cole, September 27, 1951 – October 18, 2016.
- CR 6881 Welch**
A City Council RESOLUTION congratulating Minister Rose McNeil on the benefit of your wisdom for sixty-seven years, Happy Sixty-Seventh Birthday.
- CR 6882 Welch**
A City Council RESOLUTION congratulating Co-pastor Hester Johnson on the benefit of your wisdom for sixty-two years, Happy Sixty-Second Birthday.
- CR 6883 President Young, All Members,**
A City Council RESOLUTION congratulating Bishop Alfred R. Reaves on your 75th Birthday Celebration and the blessing of reaching threescore and fifteen, may your special day be filled with the love and support of family and dear friends.
- CR 6884 Spector**
A City Council RESOLUTION congratulating Mustapha Snaussi on your 16th Anniversary of Crepe Due Jour Restaurant.
- CR 6885 Welch**
A City Council RESOLUTION on the death of Elizabeth A. Sutton, February 2, 1931 – October 15, 2016.
- CR 6886 President Young, All Members,**
A City Council RESOLUTION congratulating Adina Wright on your 80th Birthday Celebration and all you do for the residents of Hilltop 4100 Community, may God continue to bless you for many more years.