



## Legislation Details (With Text)

<b>File #:</b>	18-0106R	<b>Version:</b>	0	<b>Name:</b>	The Community Reinvestment Act
<b>Type:</b>	City Council Resolution	<b>Status:</b>		<b>Status:</b>	Adopted
<b>File created:</b>	10/15/2018	<b>In control:</b>		<b>In control:</b>	City Council
<b>On agenda:</b>		<b>Final action:</b>		<b>Final action:</b>	10/15/2018
<b>Enactment date:</b>		<b>Enactment #:</b>			
<b>Title:</b>	The Community Reinvestment Act For the purpose of supporting the Community Reinvestment Act, which encourages lending and investment in low-and moderate-income communities.				
<b>Sponsors:</b>	John T. Bullock, President Young, Bill Henry, Sharon Green Middleton, Brandon M. Scott, Leon F. Pinkett, III, Ryan Dorsey, Kristerfer Burnett, Zeke Cohen, Robert Stokes, Sr., Shannon Sneed, Mary Pat Clarke, Edward Reisinger, Isaac "Yitzy" Schleifer				
<b>Indexes:</b>	Community, Reinvestment				
<b>Code sections:</b>					
<b>Attachments:</b>	1. 18-0106R~1st Reader, 2. Completed File_18-0106R				

Date	Ver.	Action By	Action	Result
10/15/2018	0	City Council	Adopted	
10/15/2018	0	City Council	Introduced	

\* **Warning:** This is an unofficial, introductory copy of the bill.  
The official copy considered by the City Council is the first reader copy.

### Introductory\*

## City of Baltimore Council Bill R (Resolution)

Introduced by: Councilmember Bullock

### A Resolution Entitled

#### A Council Resolution concerning **The Community Reinvestment Act**

For the purpose of supporting the Community Reinvestment Act, which encourages lending and investment in low-and moderate-income communities.

### Recitals

The Community Reinvestment Act (CRA) was enacted in 1977, to end the practice of “redlining” by financial institutions - a technique financial institutions used to cut off low-and-moderate income communities and any communities with large minority populations, from financial services. Residents of “redlined” communities could not access credit services, such as mortgages and business loans, regardless of their qualifications or creditworthiness.

The CRA established a regulatory regime for monitoring the level of lending, investments, and services in

low-and-moderate income communities traditionally underserved by lending institutions. The Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (OCC), the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, and the Federal Reserve Board, assess and “grade” lending institutions’ activities in low-and-moderate income communities. If a financial institution is not serving low-and-moderate income communities, the regulatory agencies can delay or deny that institution’s request to merge with another lender, open another branch, or expand any of its services. The regulatory agencies can also approve a merger application subject to specific improvements in the lender’s record in low-and-moderate income communities. The regulatory agencies can downgrade a lender’s “CRA” grade if an agency uncovers evidence of illegal, abusive, or discriminatory lending on their fair lending exams.

The CRA has provided tremendous benefits to low-and-moderate income communities. The annual dollar amount of community development loans increased 443 percent from \$17.7 billion in 1996, to \$96 billion, in 2016. A 2016 review of the CRA examinations of intermediate small banks and mid-sized banks found that intermediate small banks produced over \$9.3 billion of community development loans and grants. Studies have found that CRA-covered home lending is safer and sounder than non-CRA covered lending, and that when a larger share of lending is issued by CRA-covered banks rather than independent mortgage companies, a neighborhood experiences lower delinquency rates and less risky lending.

Despite these improvements to lending in low-and-moderate income communities, the full potential of the CRA has not been realized, as the CRA has not been updated to reflect modern changes in the banking industry and the economy. Independent mortgage companies not covered by the CRA now make more than 50 percent of American home mortgage loans, and financial technology companies operating via the internet and not covered by the CRA are rapidly increasing their lending.

Unfortunately, some ideas have been put forth that will substantially weaken the CRA, rather than modernize it. Geographic assessment areas must remain the focus of CRA exams for all banks; banks should continue to be graded based on every geography where they lend or receive a significant percentage of their deposits; banks cannot be allowed to cherry-pick where they lend - and where they don’t lend or to ignore the credit needs of distressed and vulnerable communities.

The review of a bank’s CRA commitment should not be based on “one ratio” approach. This approach consists of the dollar amount of a bank’s CRA activities divided by the bank’s assets or “Tier One” capital. One fraction cannot sum up how, if, and where a bank is lending and investing capital and whether a bank is being responsive to the particular credit needs of its local community.

In addition, more banks, not fewer banks, including intermediate small banks and mid-sized banks, should be examined under the CRA for their community development lending and investments. The CRA should also explicitly state the law’s obligation to fairly serve all races and ethnicities, and banks that engage in large-scale illegal and harmful activities should fail their CRA exams.

**Now, therefore, be it resolved by the City Council of Baltimore,** That the City Council of Baltimore opposes regulators’ efforts to raise bank thresholds and exempt more banks, such as intermediate small banks and mid-sized banks, from examination of their community development lending and investments; and

**And be it further resolved,** That the City Council of Baltimore supports efforts to modernize the CRA, but not relax or undermine the law’s goal and intent; and

**And be it further resolved,** That the City Council of Baltimore supports modernizing the CRA to apply it to non-bank institutions, including mortgage companies, financial technology companies, and credit unions; and

**And be it further resolved,** That the City Council of Baltimore opposes regulators’ efforts to water down the penalties under the CRA for discrimination; and

**And be it further resolved,** That the City Council of Baltimore supports a CRA with a clearly-defined

grading system that emphasizes lending, bank branches, fair lending performance, and responsible loan products for working class families; and

**And be it further resolved,** That the City Council of Baltimore supports efforts to hold a bank accountable if it fails its CRA exam or wishes to acquire a bank with a better CRA grade, and urges agencies to recognize and encourage community benefit agreements and efforts that motivate banks to make more loans, investments, and services available to traditionally underserved communities; and

**And be it further resolved,** That a copy of this Resolution be sent to the Office of the Chairman of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Jelena McWilliams; to the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency Joseph M. Otting; to the Office of the Federal Reserve Chairman Jerome H. Powell; to the Office of Congressman Elijah Cummings; and to the Mayor's Legislative Liaison to the Baltimore City Council.